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I. **Funding Opportunity Description**

A. **Agency Overview**

The Advanced Research Projects Agency – Energy (ARPA-E), an organization within the Department of Energy, is chartered by Congress in the America COMPETES Act of 2007 (P.L. 110-69), as amended by the America COMPETES Reauthorization Act of 2010 (P.L. 111-358), to support the creation of transformational energy technologies and systems through funding and managing Research and Development (R&D) efforts. Originally chartered in 2007, the Agency was first funded through the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009. Since that time, the Agency has invested over $900 million across 362 projects through 18 focused programs and two open funding solicitations across the entire technology landscape.¹

The mission of ARPA-E is to identify and fund research to translate science into breakthrough energy technologies that are too risky for the private sector and that, if successfully developed, will create the foundation for entirely new industries. To date, 22 ARPA-E projects have attracted more than $625 million in private-sector follow-on funding after ARPA-E’s investment of approximately $95 million. In addition, at least 24 ARPA-E project teams have formed new companies to advance their technologies, and more than 16 ARPA-E projects have partnered with other government agencies for further development.

Successful projects will address at least one of ARPA-E’s two Mission Areas:

1. Enhance the economic and energy security of the United States through the development of energy technologies that result in:
   a. reductions of imports of energy from foreign sources;
   b. reductions of energy-related emissions, including greenhouse gases; and
   c. improvement in the energy efficiency of all economic sectors.
2. Ensure that the United States maintains a technological lead in developing and deploying advanced energy technologies.

**ARPA-E funds applied research and development.** ARPA-E exists to fund applied research and development, defined by the Office of Management and Budget as a “study (designed) to gain knowledge or understanding necessary to determine the means by which a recognized and specific need may be met” and as the “systematic application of knowledge or understanding, directed toward the production of useful materials, devices, and systems or methods, including design, development, and improvement of prototypes and new processes to meet specific requirements.” ARPA-E funds technology-focused applied research to create real-world solutions to important problems in energy creation, distribution and use and, as such, will not support basic research, defined as a “systematic study directed toward fuller knowledge or understanding of the fundamental aspects of phenomena and of observable facts without specific applications towards processes or products in mind.” While it is anticipated that in

¹ Information on ARPA-E's projects is available at [http://arpa-e.energy.gov/?q=projects](http://arpa-e.energy.gov/?q=projects).
some instances some minor aspects of fundamental science will be clarified or uncovered during the conduct of the supported applied research, the major portion of activities supported by ARPA-E are directed towards applied research and development of new technologies.

While all technology-focused applied research will be considered, two instances are especially fruitful for the creation of transformational technologies:

- the first establishment of a technology based upon recently elucidated scientific principles; and
- the synthesis of scientific principles drawn from disparate fields that do not typically intersect.

![Figure 1: Description of transformational and disruptive technologies in terms of cost per unit performance versus time or scale. ARPA-E seeks to support research that establishes new learning curves that lead to disruptive technologies.](image)

**ARPA-E exists to support transformational, rather than incremental research.** Technologies exist on learning curves (Figure 1). Following the creation of a technology, refinements to that technology and the economies of scale that accrue as manufacturing and widespread distribution develop drive technology down that learning curve until an equilibrium cost/performance is reached. While this incremental improvement of technology is important to the ultimate success of a technology in the marketplace, ARPA-E exists to fund transformational research – i.e., research that creates fundamentally new learning curves rather than moving existing technologies down their learning curves.

Questions about this FOA? Email [ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov](mailto:ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov) (with FOA name and number in subject line); see FOA Sec. VII.A. Problems with ARPA-E eXCHANGE? Email [ExchangeHelp@hq.doe.gov](mailto:ExchangeHelp@hq.doe.gov) (with FOA name and number in subject line).
**ARPA-E funded technology has the potential to be disruptive in the marketplace.** The mere creation of a new learning curve does not ensure market penetration. Rather, the ultimate value of a technology is determined by the marketplace, and impactful technologies ultimately become disruptive – that is, they are widely adopted and displace existing technologies from the marketplace or create entirely new markets. Energy technologies typically become disruptive at maturity rather than close to inception and the maturation of nascent technologies often require significant incremental development to drives the technology down its natural learning curve to its ultimate equilibrium (see Figure 1 above). Such development might include modification of the technology itself, the means to produce and distribute that technology, or both. Thus, while early incarnations of the automobile were transformational in the sense that they created a fundamentally new learning curve for transportation, they were not disruptive, because of the unreliability and high cost of early automobiles. Continuous, incremental refinement of the technology ultimately led to the Ford Model T: as the first affordable, reliable, mass-produced vehicle, the Model T had a disruptive effect on the transportation market.

ARPA-E will not support technology development for extended periods of time; rather, ARPA-E supports the initial creation of technology. Following initial testing of the first prototype of a device, a system, or a process, other Federal agencies and the private sector will support the incremental development necessary to bring the technology to market.

While ARPA-E does not require technologies to be disruptive at the conclusion of ARPA-E funding, ARPA-E will not support technologies that cannot be disruptive even if successful. Examples of such technologies are approaches that require elements with insufficient abundances of materials to be deployed at scale, or technologies that could not scale to levels required to be impactful because of, for example, physical limits to productivity.

**ARPA-E will not support basic research aimed at discovery and fundamental knowledge generation, nor will it undertake large-scale demonstration projects of existing technologies.** ARPA-E is not a substitute for existing R&D organizations within the Department of Energy, but rather complements existing organizations by supporting R&D objectives that are transformational and translational. Applicants interested in receiving basic research financial assistance should work with the Department of Energy’s Office of Science (http://science.energy.gov/). Similarly, projects focused on the improvement of existing technology platforms may be appropriate for support by the applied programs – for example, the Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy (http://www.eere.energy.gov/), the Office of Fossil Energy (http://fossil.energy.gov/), the Office of Nuclear Energy (http://nuclear.energy.gov/), and the Office of Electricity Delivery and Energy Reliability (http://energy.gov/oe/office-electricity-delivery-and-energy-reliability).

*Questions about this FOA? Email ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov (with FOA name and number in subject line); see FOA Sec. VII.A. Problems with ARPA-E eXCHANGE? Email ExchangeHelp@hq.doe.gov (with FOA name and number in subject line).*
B. **PROGRAM OVERVIEW**

1. **SUMMARY**

Building heating, ventilation, and air conditioning (HVAC) account for 13% of energy consumption in the United States.

The DELTA program seeks to enable saving 2% of domestic energy use by funding the development of Localized Thermal Management Systems (LTMS). LTMS modify the local thermal envelope around the human body rather than the building. When implemented in a built environment, LTMS are expected to enable an expansion of the temperature setpoints in buildings. ARPA-E analyses demonstrate that a potential energy savings for building heating and cooling >15% is available when compared to traditional HVAC setpoints.

ARPA-E envisions DELTA supporting a broad range of LTMS that would enable the energy savings and emissions reduction objectives described in this FOA. Such technologies may range from on-body wearable devices to off-body installed systems. Installed systems could provide near range (< 1 m) and long range (>1 m) energy transfer to the human body without substantially heating or cooling the surrounding air. ARPA-E expects adoption of LTMS for both the commercial and residential sectors. Due to the lower cost and ease of implementation, it is expected that wearable technologies are more likely to make early penetration into the residential sector. ARPA-E recognizes the tremendous opportunities for energy savings offered by LTMS due to their inherent high energy efficiency, low capital installation cost, ease of upgrading and ability to offer personalized thermal environmental control. This FOA presents a radical shift of thermal comfort management away from centralized building systems to distributed, local solutions. This approach will leverage recent and future advancements in distributed sensing, communications, control, innovative materials and wearable technologies for the development of quickly deployable devices to significantly improve building HVAC efficiencies. While the scope of this program focuses on LTMS for quick adoption in existing buildings, our long term vision is that LTMS solutions may eventually reduce our reliance on tightly controlled building environments, thus enabling radical new sustainable architecture in next generation energy-efficient building designs.

---


---

Questions about this FOA? Email ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov (with FOA name and number in subject line); see FOA Sec. VII.A. Problems with ARPA-E eXCHANGE? Email ExchangeHelp@hq.doe.gov (with FOA name and number in subject line).
2. **Motivation**

**The Need to Reduce Building HVAC Energy Consumption**

Heating and cooling of buildings represents more than 13% of all energy used domestically\(^3\), about 12 Qbtu of energy annually (primary) and accounts about 13% of the domestic greenhouse gas emissions. Approximately 4 Qbtu of electricity is used for cooling. The US consumes about 8 Qbtu per year on space heating, with ~2 Qbtu from electricity, and the rest is mostly from fossil fuels (natural gas and heating oil). Consequently, reducing the energy consumption for heating and cooling by 15% can have a transformative impact on the nation’s electricity usage, consumption of fuels, and greenhouse gas emissions.

3. **Current Approaches**

**Building HVAC Improvements and the Retrofit Challenge**

Due to the potential for significant energy savings, there are many ongoing efforts to achieve improved building heating and cooling efficiency. Current approaches can generally be categorized as either improved efficiency of HVAC components and systems or improved control of HVAC systems. Energy efficient technologies under current development include, but are not limited to, geothermal heat pumps, heat exchangers, new working fluids, more efficient fans and compressors, magnetic cooling, and absorption cooling. Advanced solutions, such as walls with variable insulation that modulate the thermal flow in and out of building structures are also being pursued.\(^4\) Improved temporal control is provided by digital thermostats. However, improved spatial control requires a reconfiguration of the building interior or complete replacement of the building HVAC units. It is highly unlikely that spatial control with current building HVAC technologies will reach the resolution of the individual occupant.

Furthermore, a fundamental disadvantage preventing adoption of HVAC improvements are high costs. Retrofitting existing buildings with new technologies is not cost effective because of the extremely long service life of many building components (e.g., residential HVAC systems are designed for 10 to 25 years). Moreover, the building envelope is designed to last between 20 to 50 years, with the whole building lasting from 40 to 120 years. Building retrofit often has a payback period of longer than 5 years, which is too long to be of interest to the building owners as opposed to maintaining the status quo with inefficiently operating systems. It is thus

---


essential to develop technologies that can be cost effectively implemented into existing buildings.

4. **CHALLENGE AND OPPORTUNITY**

*Human Comfort Perception and Challenges with Setpoint Efficiency*

The primary function of building heating and cooling is to maintain occupant comfort. Thermal comfort is the condition of mind that expresses satisfaction with the thermal environment and is assessed by subjective evaluation (ANSI/ASHRAE Standard 55).\(^5\) P.O. Fanger’s work \(^6\) represents the foundation for the standards used both by the International Organization for Standardization (ISO 7730 2005) and the American Society for Heating, Refrigeration and Air-conditioning Engineers (ASHRAE 55 2010). Fanger’s climate chamber experiments yielded the Predicted Mean Vote (PMV) formulation that has been widely adopted as the standard for thermal comfort for conditioned environments.

Based on the PMV model, the ANSI/ASHRAE Standard 55 provides recommendations for operational parameters for buildings, which includes a goal of ensuring at least 80% satisfaction rate among building occupants. As expected, human thermal comfort is strongly influenced by personal physiology. Consequently, it is not possible to achieve a 100% satisfaction rate for a given set of environmental conditions. The PPD (Predicted Percentage Dissatisfied)-PMV correlation (Figure 2) illustrates this distribution as recommended by the ISO 7730 standard.

![Figure 2. The PPD-PMV relationship based on Fanger’s model.\(^7\) Note: even at PMV of 0 which defines thermal neutral, the PPD value does not go to 0, reflecting the complexities of thermal comfort.](image)

---


The operating temperature range or the neutral-band, the temperature range between setpoints where no action is taken by a building HVAC system, is usually between 70 and 75°F. This practice is tighter than the ANSI/ASHRAE standards with acceptable target temperatures of 68°F during the heating season and 76°F during the cooling season, with appropriate humidity levels. The reasons for the narrowing of neutral bands are many and complex. One of the primary reasons is poor building control and lack of zone resolution. The PMV model assumes a uniform building thermal environment, while in reality wide temperature gradients exist within the building. In addition, complaints by building occupants tend to drive the building managers to tighten the neutral band further, resulting in even higher energy consumptions and inefficiencies.  

**Setpoint Expansion as an Energy Saving Mechanism**

Since the goal of an HVAC system is to maintain the building interior at temperatures that are different from the outside, the actual setpoint has a large influence on the energy required to operate the system. According to Fourier’s law, heat flow through the building envelope is proportional to the temperature gradient across it. Thus, the thermal exchange rate across the building envelope is driven by the temperature difference between inner and outer surface temperatures of the building. When the interior temperature is closer to the exterior temperature, thermal exchange will be smaller, which in turn, reduces the energy consumption of the HVAC to maintain a steady interior temperature.

A number of published findings have quantified the effect of the setpoint on HVAC energy consumption and the potential for LTMS to enable energy savings via a setpoint change. For example, Hoyt et al. calculated the percent annual energy savings for four different cities when the setpoint is expanded from a baseline of 70.5-75°F (Figure 3). For the city of Phoenix, lowering the setpoint in the winter months to 66°F results in an annual savings of ~14% while raising the setpoint in summer months to 79°F results in an annual savings of ~20%. Consequently, a setpoint of 66-79°F would save a total of ~34% annually versus the comparative baseline building. The potential savings may vary based on location and climate, but is estimated at over 20%. Note that the estimation did not consider downsizing the HVAC unit, only changing the cycling time of an existing unit. Additional energy savings could be realized through reduction of the HVAC unit size enabled by a wider setpoint range. Based on this study, ARPA-E has determined a very conservative estimate of 15% savings for an expansion of setpoints by 4°F in each direction.

---


Figure 3. Percent energy savings for widened air temperature setpoints relative to conventional setpoint range in San Francisco, Miami, Phoenix, and Minneapolis. The percentage savings for lowering the setpoints in the winter are calculated by assuming no change in the summer setpoints (75°F). Similar calculations are performed for increasing the setpoints in the summer. Consequently, the percentage savings from the summer and the winter are approximately (and conservatively) the summation of the percent savings.

5. **PROGRAM APPROACH**

*The Inherent Energy Efficiency Advantages of LTMS*

To enable expansion of the building setpoints, it is necessary to provide supplemental thermal management to the building occupants to maintain thermal comfort. LTMS can fulfill this role and lead to significant overall energy savings because LTMS are inherently more localized in their delivery of thermal energy than building HVAC systems.

The thermal exchange rate for a thermal envelope is proportional to the surface area and inversely proportional to the thermal resistance. For a temperature gradient driven heat flux, the large difference in surface area between a building and a person is the factor that drives the potentially large energy savings with LTMS. The average adult has a surface area of 1.8 m².\(^{10}\) The building surface area per person is dependent on the building type. As an illustrative example, consider a residential home with a floor area of 185.8 m² based on a rectangle 15.24 m long by 6.1 m wide. With an envelope height of 6.1 m, this home’s thermal envelope

\(^{10}\) ANSI/ASHRAE Standard 55-2010: Thermal Environmental Conditions for Human Occupancy.
surface area is 334.45 m². Assuming the house has four residents, the surface area per resident will be 83.6 m², which is over 46 times the surface area of a person.

The effect of the large difference in the surface area can be partially offset by the larger thermal resistance associated with building insulation compared with that for clothing worn by building occupants. For example, building walls can have a thermal resistance 10 times that of full business attire clothing. Unfortunately, window glass is a poor insulator, with a thermal resistance on par with clothing.\(^{11}\) By including windows, which often occupy more than 30% of building exterior, the overall effective thermal resistance, for the home in this example, decreases to 5 times that of a full business suit. Consequently, the thermal resistance is an order of magnitude lower than what is necessary to compensate for the large surface area. In addition, the thermal resistance of a piece of clothing is easily adjustable, while changing the thermal resistance for an existing structure is extremely difficult and expensive.

**Other Advantages of LTMS**

In addition to tremendous potential for energy savings, LTMS offers other advantages over current building HVAC systems.

**Improvement in thermal comfort for building occupants.** As has been shown in Fanger’s model, it is not possible to achieve 100% thermal comfort for all building occupants using a single setpoint, no matter the setpoint. In addition, the ASHRAE standards mandate achieving thermal comfort for 80% of the occupants. Field data show that the 80% value is rarely achieved, reflecting the fundamental limitations of today’s HVAC systems.\(^{12}\) These lack the high resolution zone control necessary to cater to individual thermal management needs. In contrast, LTMS can be viewed as the quintessential individualized zone control. In principle, 100% thermal comfort is achievable when personal zone control is implemented. This has been demonstrated in previous field studies.\(^{13}\)

**Energy efficiency improvement beyond buildings.** In the case of wearable technologies, the building occupant will continue to have access to the technology after exiting the building. Outside environments can be far more extreme than the indoor environment controlled by an HVAC system within a specified temperature band. However, the basic functionality of wearables with advanced thermal management properties will continue to assist in maintaining personal thermal comfort outside the building. For example, these technologies could buffer the impact of abrupt temperature changes at the exit of the building. In fact, such a benefit can

---


\(^{13}\) T. Law, The future of thermal comfort in an energy-constrained world, Springer, 2013, p. 186
serve as a powerful incentive for early adoption. Moreover, these technologies could reduce the power load needed for air conditioning in automobiles; this could be especially impactful on the driving range of electric vehicles.

Low capital investment with overnight installation in existing buildings. ARPA-E envisions LTMS retrofit solutions as small and easily installed without the need to significantly reconfigure the building interior or alter the building structure itself. In addition, wearable technologies could be rapidly adopted based on the short lifecycle of common apparel.

Challenges Facing Current LTMS Approaches

The potential of LTMS to provide high quality, individualized thermal comfort is well recognized. As a result, numerous commercial products exist. However, cooling is by far more challenging than heating, primarily due to the problem of dealing with rejected heat. For personalized cooling, a desktop cooling unit can blow chilled air towards the occupant. One such device uses a thermoelectric module to deliver cold air; however, with any heat pump device, heat must be rejected from the device and is typically done so at the rear of the unit, which is also very close to the occupant and has a net effect of raising average room temperature. This desktop cooling unit only consumes 60 W but performs poorly (not effective beyond 0.3 m) and is too costly ($140) for widespread adoption. Various evaporative coolers have been developed, but they invariably increase the indoor humidity, and the building HVAC will incur a heavy load to bring down the humidity. For wearable technologies, an air-conditioned jacket was marketed in Japan. It uses a fan that puffs up the jacket to create airflow within, which is then exhausted at the cuffs and collar. It is unsuitable for indoor use in an office environment due to very poor aesthetics that limit adoption. Various cooling vests were also developed that use evaporation of water to remove heat from the wearer. However, they are usually bulky, heavy, and only suitable for occupational uses such as firefighting and factory workers.

For stationary office use, personal environment modules have been developed which primarily consist of air nozzles targeting the upper body and a radiant panel heating the legs. While effective, they require either a pressurized floor plenum or individual ducting to every workstation, both of which are costly to retrofit into existing office settings. In addition, the occupant is required to place feet very close to the heating panel, greatly restricting any movement. More recently, a ductless task air conditioning (DTAC) unit was developed. This unit can provide refrigerated air while storing the exhausted heat in a phase change material, to be discharged when the space is not occupied. Each unit is projected to consume 200 W and

costs approximately $300. The potential of the laboratory prototype of reaching market adoption is too early to tell.\(^{18}\)

Localized heating is much easier to implement and heaters are usually low cost and can be flexible. However, most of the portable heaters on the market consume very large amounts of power (\(\sim 1500\) W typical).\(^{19}\) Radiative heaters, such as infrared heaters, can in principle be of very high efficiency due to lack of transmission loss in air. However, efficiency drops significantly when the heating targets move slightly off the transmission path. In this case, most of the radiation is absorbed by the first object in its path, such as the floor or wall, where it is converted to heat that is subsequently transmitted to the occupants by convection, thus negating the inherent advantage of radiative heating. Furthermore, infrared heaters also have emission spectra that tend to heat exposed skin much more efficiently than clothing. This is due to the strong absorption by water at approximately 3 \(\mu\)m and coincides with the emission peak of many infrared sources. As a result, overhead infrared heaters tend to overheat the exposed areas of the human body (face and head) and under-heat the clothed areas (feet and legs), creating discomfort due to the thermal asymmetry. A final challenge with using a high power infrared heater as part of a building infrastructure is its strong visible emission. The visible appearance of the heaters greatly impacts the aesthetics of building interior. As a result, these heaters have only been popular with outdoor or large warehouses where convective heating is impractical.

In summary, despite the potential of great energy savings, existing LTMS have not enjoyed adoption in today’s building environment due to one or more of the following reasons: poor thermal performance, low energy efficiency, high cost, poor aesthetics, and location specific sensitivities (e.g., noise, immobility, thermal asymmetry). It is the primary goal of DELTA to support the development of transformational next generation LTMS that can overcome these challenges.

C. PROGRAM OBJECTIVES

1. **ACHIEVE THERMAL COMFORT IN AN EXPANDED AMBIENT TEMPERATURE RANGE OF 66 TO 79°F**

To expand the neutral band for buildings from 70-75°F to at least 66-79°F, or an expansion of 4°F in each direction, a local thermal envelope around individuals is needed to manage the thermal balance so as not to sacrifice thermal comfort. One could employ the PMV model to

---


estimate the thermal compensation required to enable the new setpoints. Analysis shows that a change in thermal exchange rate of 15 W/m² is required for a change of 3.6°F.\textsuperscript{20} Similarly, if one only tries to change clothing insulation, a change of 20% in thermal resistance is required from a baseline value of 0.279 m²°F/W, or 0.056 m²°F/W. In order to enable some simple scaling, we consider that the steady state heat flux through a planar wall can be expressed as:

\[ q'' = (1/R) \times (T_o - T_1) \]

where \( T_1 \) is the ambient temperature, \( T_o \) is the skin temperature, and \( R \) is the overall thermal resistance. Assuming that the thermal resistance does not change and \( T_1 \) changes to a new temperature, \( T_2 \), the change in heat flux is:

\[ \Delta q'' = (1/R) \times (T_2 - T_1) \]

and the percentage change in heat flux is:

\[ \Delta q'' / q'' = (T_2 - T_1) / (T_o - T_1) \]

Since ambient skin temperature is at a comfortable state around 93°F, when \( T_1 = 75°F \) and \( T_2 = 79°F \), \( \Delta q'' / q'' \) is 22.2%. Similarly, when \( T_1 = 70°F \) and \( T_2 = 66°F \), \( \Delta q'' / q'' \) is -17.3%. For an adult human in a sedentary state, the base metabolism 58.2 W/m² and an average adult has a surface area of 1.8 m², resulting in a total metabolism of 105 W\textsuperscript{21}. In other words:

- If the ambient temperature is raised from 75 to 79°F, a removal of 23 W, or 22% is needed to maintain the same skin temperature;
- If the ambient temperature is lowered from 70 to 66°F, a supply of 18 W, or 17% is needed to maintain the same skin temperature.

Alternatively, the thermal balance can be achieved by changing the thermal resistance. For a change in ambient temperature from \( T_1 \) to \( T_2 \), the same heat flux can be maintained by changing the respective thermal resistance from \( R_1 \) to \( R_2 \), such that:

\[ R_2 / R_1 = (T_o - T_2) / (T_o - T_1) \]

- The percentage change needed in the thermal resistance to maintain the same heat flux is the percentage change in heat removal or supply with same thermal resistance.


2. **Other Considerations to Ensure Thermal Comfort**

In the ANSI/ASHRAE 55 standard, building HVAC design parameters are chosen to result in a PPD value of < 10%. Further, an additional 10% of occupants are assumed to be dissatisfied due to reasons not accounted for by the PMV model. This allowance reflects the complex nature of thermal comfort. Many factors contribute to a deviation of the PMV model, among which thermal asymmetry is an important consideration.

![Graph showing percentage of dissatisfied occupants as a function of radiant temperature asymmetry.](image)

**Figure 4.** The percentage of occupants dissatisfied as a function of radiant temperature asymmetry. Note that the warm ceiling effect is the most undesirable, reflecting the disadvantage of overheating one’s head.

Figure 4 shows the percentage dissatisfied occupants as a function of radiant temperature asymmetry. In particular, a ceiling with a temperature higher than other faces of a room has the most significant impact. A difference of 12°F will result in 10% dissatisfaction. This is due to the fact that humans generally prefer cooler heads and warm feet rather than the other way around. It is thus important that:

- Any local thermal envelope solution shall not aggravate undesirable thermal asymmetry, most commonly overheating the head.

3. **Energy Efficiency of Building Local Thermal Envelope**

Since the goal of the program is to use a local thermal envelope to reduce the reliance on a tightly controlled building envelope, the energy required to run the LTMS has to be

---
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substantially smaller than the energy saved by the building HVAC when the setpoint is widened. The average HVAC energy use per person in commercial buildings in the United States is approximately 2.4 MWh/year.\textsuperscript{22} Assuming HVAC is operational on 261 days and for 10 hours per day, the power consumption would be 937 W on average with symmetrical heating and cooling seasons. By selecting a 4°F setpoint offset the expected savings will total about 22% or ~206 W at the system level. With a target savings of 15%, 65 W of power can be allocated to operate the LTMS. This value is much higher than the 23 W required to compensate for the temperature difference, allowing the use of technologies operating with a Coefficient of Performance (COP) of 0.35 or higher.\textsuperscript{23} However, it is worth noting that many of the technologies, such as those modulating thermal loss rates from the human body, can have a COP much greater than 1. Such technologies will be preferred since they offer much greater energy savings and expect shorter payback periods. Therefore, DELTA will support:

- Technology concepts that can be developed into a LTMS with a minimum sustained COP of 0.35.

4. \textbf{Economics}

As far as cost targets for the LTMS, DELTA has a goal of a 3-year payback period, including both capital and operational costs. The 141 W/person saving equals to ~20$/year assuming an energy price of 5.4 cents/kWh.\textsuperscript{24} Therefore,

- Technologies should cost less than 60 $/person for a 4°F change in setpoints in each direction

For certain wearable technologies, such as apparel and shoes, ARPA-E estimates the cost requirements as the following based on residential applications. In 2011, the per capita HVAC energy expense at home was ~$352 while the per capita clothing and shoe expense was $682.\textsuperscript{25}

\textsuperscript{22} Estimation based on Energy Information Administration’s (EIA) 2003 Commercial Buildings Energy Consumption Survey (CBECS) Database located at http://buildingsdatabook.eren.doe.gov/CBECS.aspx. Selected commercial office building sampling approximately consumed 12.2 kWh/sqft for heating and cooling the sampled offices. The expected average occupancy for this building sampling was ~200 sqft per occupant as indicated in NREL/TP-5500-46861, February 2011, “U.S. Department of Energy Commercial Reference Building Models of the National Building Stock”.

\textsuperscript{23} COP is energy delivered to the occupant divided by the wall plug energy consumption by the LTMS.

\textsuperscript{24} Estimation based on EIA’s Annual Energy Overview 2014 Early Release Overview. Price average considers the relative contribution from electricity, natural gas, and heating oil and their respective prices.

\textsuperscript{25} Estimation based on EIA’s Annual Energy Overview 2014 Early Release Overview. Apparel purchase estimations are based on U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics March 2014 Report 1046. Consumer apparel expenditures in 2011 were $1740 per household with a household occupancy factor of ~2.55 individuals per home resulting in a per capita annual expenditure of $680.
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For a 15% savings in energy expenses, the allowable increase in clothing and shoe expenses is ~ 8%. Therefore,

- Wearable technologies, such as apparel and shoes, should not have an increase in cost of >10% for a 15% energy savings.

5. **Other Attributes of LTMS that Impact Technology Adoption**

In order for any LTMS solutions to make an impact in building energy savings, they not only need to provide the thermal performance and cost but also need to meet or exceed the quality of experience offered by current HVAC systems. Such quality, due to the close proximity and direct interaction with the human body, can be quite subjective. However, in order to facilitate wide spread adoption by the consumer, it is important for any LTMS technology to pay close attention to the issue of quality of experience. In this section, we briefly discuss the various aspects of experience quality that can be important to consider.

**Automated control.** Current HVAC systems usually do not require frequent user intervention to achieve comfort for the majority of the occupants. Despite the potential benefits of providing individual users with personalized control as discussed above, LTMS solutions should not require user intervention to achieve comfort, although providing the option of user intervention is considered highly beneficial.

**Range of motion.** One basic feature of the current HVAC system is that it covers the entire building space regardless of location. Consequently, any LTMS solution needs to offer a similar range of motion. An exception is made for technologies targeted at sedentary office workers. In that case, however, a limited range to enable freedom of motion is desirable (see next section).

**Aesthetics of non-wearable systems.** Current HVAC systems are only visible to the occupants as vents on ceilings, walls, and edge of flooring. Any installed systems as a retrofit to the existing building should aspire to match the architectural designs of the building so that the added LTMS does not present a major disruption in both space utilization and aesthetics. For office furniture-related solutions, they should not occupy significant space, so as to avoid interference with other office functions.

**Discrete wearable systems.** The aesthetics of wearable items is the most subjective among all the potential LTMS solutions, although they potentially offer the greatest benefit in energy savings and the largest market. However, fashion is a highly personal choice that makes it
difficult to define. Nevertheless, wearable LTMS, such as clothing and devices, should aspire to enhance rather than limit fashion choices. Some general guidelines are possible:

- LTMS should not add significant weight;
- LTMS should not impose strict restrictions in type of fabric, color, texture;
- LTMS may be separable from garments so that consumers have the choice of freely using clothing without advanced thermal management properties.

D. Technical Categories of Interest

DELTA aims to support the development of transformational technologies that establish a local thermal envelope around the human body. These technologies are expected to enable a widening of the temperature setpoints in buildings to lower than 66°F in winter months and higher than 79°F in summer months, while maintaining the thermal comfort of building occupants above ANSI/ASHRAE standards. ARPA-E envisions supporting a broad range of technologies that can achieve these objectives.

Such technologies can range from on-body wearable technologies, to near range (<1 m) energy transfer, to direct energy transfer to the human body from a distance (>1 m) without substantially heating or cooling the surrounding air. Figure 5 illustrates the three approaches as well as a system level approach that employs any combinations of the three primary approaches.
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Figure 5. Energy transfer approaches to build a thermal envelope around the human body.

ARPA-E emphasizes that while the three approaches are categorized based on their proximity to the human body, proposed concepts need not be confined by a separated approach. In fact, these approaches can be utilized synergistically to achieve the programmatic goals.

ARPA-E strongly encourages submissions that address both localized heating and cooling. However, submissions that address only heating or cooling are permissible, but must meet the program metrics. Given the historical technical challenges in creating localized cooling systems, submissions in this topic area are especially encouraged.

Appropriate team expertise for this FOA is a good understanding of basic human thermal physiology, thermal management, materials science, building heating and cooling systems, device fabrication, testing, and modeling. For wearable technologies, it is appropriate to have participation from designers and experts in consumer product adoption.

Below are the technical categories of interest, along with some representative example technologies of interest. However, approaches that are beyond these examples that meet the program metrics are equally encouraged.

**Category 1: Extended Range (> 1 m) Wireless Energy Transfer**

This category seeks technologies that can uniformly heat or cool occupants remotely. The deliverable is a technology demonstration in a two-room, 6.1 m x 7.62 m floor area or equivalent building interior. This definition is intended to simulate both residential and small business office settings.

Examples of technologies of interest:

- Technologies that uniformly heat or cool the human body without substantially heating or cooling ambient air away from it and creating greater thermal asymmetry, including but not limited to directional energy transfer coupled with low cost tracking technologies;
- Technologies that can sense the human body temperature distribution and adjust the generation and transmission of energy to achieve optimum uniformity and comfort.

**Category 2: Near Range (< 1 m) Wireless Energy Transfer**

This category seeks technologies that are deployed to provide thermal comfort to building occupants who largely remain stationary, such as within an office environment. The deliverable
is a technology demonstration, including both hardware and software, in a typical office setting composed of a desk and a chair.

Examples of technologies include:

- Cooling solutions for workstations without generating hot exhaust in occupied spaces;
- Low cost cooling solutions coupled with efficient heat storage;
- Physiological modeling coupled with experimental implementation of solutions that aid in minimizing cooling and/or heating requirements; and
- Office or home furnishings including chairs, desktop items, floor mats, bedding, and other items.

**CATEGORY 3: WEARABLE TECHNOLOGIES**

This category seeks technologies that are wearable by building occupants to maintain thermal comfort within wider temperature setpoints of 66-79°F. The deliverable is a device or completed wearable garment, shoes, and/or accessory.

Examples of potential technologies include:

- Wearable devices, shoes, apparels and accessories;
- Items that do not significantly impact aesthetics, such as shoe insoles, under garments, other wearable devices such as badges or small portable electronics devices such as mobile phones;
- Clothing with tunable insulation materials that control microstructure including porosity and thickness;
- Clothing with tunable emissivity and/or conductivity;
- Wearable items that create skin surface convection to promote cooling; and
- Wearable items that promote active cooling.

**CATEGORY 4: COMBINATION OF CATEGORIES 1 TO 3**

This category supports technologies that seek to synergistically utilize combinations of categories 1 through 3. Specifically, the category encourages submissions in:

- Combined technologies that achieve the desired thermal effect with increased effectiveness compared with individual technologies;
- Combined technologies that offer reduced cost and ease of installation in existing buildings.
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E. **TECHNICAL PERFORMANCE TARGETS**

**Definition of Thermal Envelope and Reference Building Environment**

For the purpose of defining program metrics, ARPA-E defines a thermal envelope around the human body as a 1.83 m tall, 0.91 m diameter cylinder when the occupant is standing and a 1.22 m tall, 1.22 m diameter cylinder when the occupant is seated. While these representations of the human body are gross simplifications, they do enable the definition of the thermal transport problem. We also define the target building interior floor area to be a 6.1 m x 15.24 m made of two rooms of equal size, 6.1 m x 7.62 m.

In addition, regarding the thermal and cost targets: while DELTA has a *minimum* goal of achieving 4°F expansion of the building setpoint in each direction. Applicants are strongly encouraged to propose technologies that far exceed this goal. Consequently, the thermal and cost targets are set for a per degree expansion and a minimum expansion of 4°F.

**Metrics for Categories of Interest**

ARPA-E is interested in receiving proposals that address the below metrics in the following categories. A single technology that can address multiple categories is encouraged. However, if so proposed, the technology must address the metrics from all categories.

Applications are required to meet all of the Primary Metrics and a majority of the Secondary Metrics. Applications should provide the necessary analysis, modeling, and/or experimental results to justify how each of the metrics is addressed. In particular, applicants are strongly encouraged to provide the following information:

- Describe the thermal performance metrics using the definitions provided in the FOA, e.g., COP as defined in Footnote 23;
- Define the full specs of the deliverables including weight, volume, thermal performance, and where applicable, power consumption, response time to moving speed, among others;
- Provide modeling and calculations to quantitatively substantiate any claims of thermal performance; clearly define the targeted ambient temperature; illustrate the thermal flux in the system including all interfaces; describe the size and boundary of the local thermal envelope, and detail how the performance will be measured.
The summary table below (Table 1) contains key data specifications for DELTA that Applicants may find useful in preparing their applications.

Table 1. Selected data specifications for FOA calculations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Specification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baseline (Current) thermal neutral band</td>
<td>70°F-75°F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expanded thermal neutral band</td>
<td>66°F-79°F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optimal building relative humidity range</td>
<td>30-60 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human skin temperature</td>
<td>93°F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average human heat generation</td>
<td>58.2 W/m$^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average human surface area</td>
<td>1.8 m$^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approximate human body occupancy volume as a cylinder – standing</td>
<td>H= 1.83 m, Dia.= 0.91 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approximate human body occupancy volume as a cylinder – seated</td>
<td>H= 1.22 m, Dia.= 1.22 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooling needed to expand to 79°F</td>
<td>23 W/person</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heating needed to expand to 66°F</td>
<td>18 W/person</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average commercial office occupancy</td>
<td>18.6 m$^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average residential occupancy</td>
<td>56.2 m$^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average commercial office HVAC use</td>
<td>0.937 kW/person</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average residential HVAC use</td>
<td>2.44 kW/person</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demonstration building floor area</td>
<td>6.1 m x 15.24 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demonstration room floor area</td>
<td>6.1 m x 7.6 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household occupancy factor</td>
<td>2.55 people/home</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential per capita annual heating and cooling expenditure</td>
<td>$352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household annual apparel expenditure</td>
<td>$1740</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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**CATEGORY 1: EXTENDED RANGE (> 1 m) WIRELESS ENERGY TRANSFER**

Primary Metrics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Metric</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>Thermal Performance</td>
<td>Adaptively provide or remove &gt; 6 W/°F(^{26}) and &gt; 23 W total from the defined thermal envelope without increasing undesirable thermal asymmetry on the occupant(^{27})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>Minimum COP</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>Range of Motion</td>
<td>Entire building interior with tracking capable of following an occupant moving at 1 m/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>Cost</td>
<td>0.0375$/ft(^2)/°F and 0.15$/ft(^2) if only heating or cooling is addressed (30$ per occupant with occupancy of 200 ft(^2)/person and a 4°F offset in a single direction; 60$ per occupant for 4°F offset in both directions).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Secondary Metrics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Metric</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>Operability</td>
<td>Automated control by building with the option to be overridden by the occupant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>Safety</td>
<td>Meet OSHA standards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>Appearance</td>
<td>&lt; 5% increase in visible light on occupant; &lt;5% increase in noise</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{26}\) °F refers to per degree of setpoint expansion

\(^{27}\) Undesirable asymmetry refers to an increased asymmetry vs a baseline
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**CATEGORY 2: NEAR RANGE (< 1 M) WIRELESS ENERGY TRANSFER**

Primary Metrics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>Thermal Performance</td>
<td>Adaptively provide or remove &gt; 6 W/°F and &gt; 23 W total from the defined thermal envelope without increasing undesirable thermal asymmetry on the occupant; for cooling solutions, air temperature in the thermal envelope has to be lower than ambient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>Minimum COP</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>Range of Motion</td>
<td>A semicircle with a 0.61 m radius from the source of the energy supply²⁸</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>Cost</td>
<td>60$/occupant annually for a 4°F offset in both directions, or 7.5$/°F of change.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Secondary Metrics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Metric</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>Operability</td>
<td>Automated control by building with the option to be overridden by the occupant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>Safety</td>
<td>Meet OSHA standards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>Appearance</td>
<td>Compatible with common office environment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

²⁸ This requirement is waived for concepts where end-users are intended to remain stationary while using the device, e.g., such as chairs or bedding

---
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**CATEGORY 3: WEARABLE TECHNOLOGIES**

Primary Metrics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Metric</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>Thermal Performance</td>
<td>Adaptively provide or remove &gt; 6 W/°F and &gt; 23 W from the defined thermal envelope per occupant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>Minimum COP</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>Range of Motion</td>
<td>Entire building interior</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>Cost</td>
<td>&lt; 20$/person/year or &lt; 10% of selling price increase over baseline apparel technology assuming a 4°F setpoint expansion in both directions. &lt; 10$/person/year or &lt; 10% of selling price increase over baseline is required assuming a 4°F setpoint expansion for technologies that only heat or cool.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Secondary Metrics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Metric</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>Operability</td>
<td>Fully autonomous but with optional capability to be overridden by the occupant and to communicate with the building</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>Safety</td>
<td>Meet OSHA standards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>Durability</td>
<td>Meet ASTM standards of 50 washing and drying cycles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>Appearance</td>
<td>Preferred to be detachable from current apparel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Preferred to exhibit no visible surface change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Preferred to exhibit no interference with consumer color or texture choices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Preferred to require negligible power</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>Weight</td>
<td>&lt; 10% increase over baseline apparel</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.10 Interaction with building
Preferred to provide temperature and humidity information to the building

**CATEGORY 4: COMBINATION OF CATEGORIES 1 TO 3**

Technologies proposed that use a combination of Category 1 to 3 technologies should meet the thermal and cost metrics as defined in the combined categories cumulatively. For example, if a variable insulation wearable technology can sustain a heat dissipation of 10 W, then a wireless energy transfer technology could provide the remaining 13 W needed to achieve the 23 W thermal power goal. In addition, technologies should meet the secondary metrics as defined in the categories appropriate for the combination.

**F. APPLICATIONS SPECIFICALLY NOT OF INTEREST**

- Installed systems that promote air movement with regular patterns without lowering air temperature, e.g., high efficiency fans.
- Technologies that increase the absolute indoor humidity.
- Technologies that require major change in common business and casual dress practices (for example, wearing hats indoors).
- Technologies that focus on zone control improvement by using better insulation between different zones (e.g., individual control for each room).
- Technologies that improve building thermal envelope and HVAC appliances that do not aim at creating a local thermal envelope around human body.
- Technologies that rely on human psychological effects (e.g., temporal allesthesias).
- Technologies that involve the intake of chemicals by the human body in any manner, including but not limited to: oral, transdermal, inhaling, or injection pathways.

The following types of applications will be deemed nonresponsive and will not be reviewed or considered (see Section III.C.2 of the FOA):

*Questions about this FOA? Email ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov (with FOA name and number in subject line); see FOA Sec. VII.A. Problems with ARPA-E eXCHANGE? Email ExchangeHelp@hq.doe.gov (with FOA name and number in subject line).*
• Applications that fall outside the technical parameters specified in Section I.E of the FOA

• Applications that were already submitted to pending ARPA-E FOAs.

• Applications that are not scientifically distinct from applications submitted to pending ARPA-E FOAs.

• Applications for basic research aimed at discovery and fundamental knowledge generation.

• Applications for large-scale demonstration projects of existing technologies.

• Applications for proposed technologies that represent incremental improvements to existing technologies.

• Applications for proposed technologies that are not based on sound scientific principles (e.g., violates a law of thermodynamics).

• Applications that do not address at least one of ARPA-E’s Mission Areas (see Section I.A of the FOA).

• Applications for proposed technologies that are not transformational, as described in Section I.A of the FOA and as illustrated in Figure 1 in Section I.A of the FOA.

• Applications for proposed technologies that do not have the potential to become disruptive in nature, as described in Section I.A of the FOA. Technologies must be scalable such that they could be disruptive with sufficient technical progress (see Figure 1 in Section I.A of the FOA).

• Applications that are not scientifically distinct from existing funded activities supported elsewhere, including within the Department of Energy.

II. AWARD INFORMATION

A. AWARD OVERVIEW

ARPA-E expects to make approximately $30 million available for new awards under this FOA, subject to the availability of appropriated funds. ARPA-E anticipates making approximately 12-18 awards under this FOA. ARPA-E may issue one, multiple, or no awards.

Individual awards may vary between $250,000 and $10 million.
The period of performance for funding agreements may not exceed 36 months. ARPA-E expects the start date for funding agreements to be in March 2015, or as negotiated.

ARPA-E encourages applications stemming from ideas that still require proof-of-concept R&D efforts as well as those for which some proof-of-concept demonstration already exists.

Applications requiring proof-of-concept R&D can propose a project with the goal of delivering on the program metric at the conclusion of the project period. These applications should contain an appropriate cost and project duration plan that is described in sufficient technical detail to allow reviewers to meaningfully evaluate the proposed project. If awarded, such projects should expect a rigorous go/no-go milestone early in the project associated with the proof-of-concept demonstration. Alternatively, applications requiring proof-of-concept R&D can propose a project with the project end deliverable being extremely creative but partial solutions. However, the applicants are required to provide a convincing vision how these partial solutions can enable the realization of the program metrics with further development.

Applicants proposing projects for which some initial proof-of-concept demonstration already exists should submit concrete data that supports the probability of success of the proposed project. ARPA-E will provide support at the highest funding level only for applications with significant technology risk, aggressive timetables, and careful management and mitigation of the associated risks.

ARPA-E will accept only new applications under this FOA. Applicants may not seek renewal or supplementation of their existing awards through this FOA.

ARPA-E may establish more than one budget period for each award and fund only the initial budget period(s). Applicants are not guaranteed funding beyond the initial budget period(s). Before the expiration of the initial budget period(s), ARPA-E may perform a down-select among different recipients and provide additional funding only to a subset of recipients.

**B. ARPA-E Funding Agreements**

Through Cooperative Agreements, Technology Investment Agreements, and similar agreements, ARPA-E provides financial and other support to projects that have the potential to realize ARPA-E’s statutory mission. ARPA-E does not use such agreements to acquire property or services for the direct benefit or use of the U.S. Government.

Congress directed ARPA-E to “establish and monitor project milestones, initiate research projects quickly, and just as quickly terminate or restructure projects if such milestones are not
achieved.” Accordingly, ARPA-E has substantial involvement in the direction of every project, as described in Section II.C below.

1. COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS

ARPA-E generally uses Cooperative Agreements to provide financial and other support to Prime Recipients. Cooperative Agreements involve the provision of financial or other support to accomplish a public purpose of support or stimulation authorized by Federal statute. Under Cooperative Agreements, the Government and Prime Recipients share responsibility for the direction of projects.

ARPA-E encourages Prime Recipients to review the Model Cooperative Agreement, which is available at [http://arpa-e.energy.gov/arpa-e-site-page/award-guidance](http://arpa-e.energy.gov/arpa-e-site-page/award-guidance).

2. FUNDING AGREEMENTS WITH FFRDCS, GOGOS, AND FEDERAL INSTRUMENTALITIES

Any Federally Funded Research and Development Centers (FFRDC) involved as a member of a Project Team must complete the “FFRDC Authorization” and “Field Work Proposal” section of the Business Assurances Form, which is submitted with the Applicant’s Full Application.

When a FFRDC is the lead organization for a Project Team, ARPA-E executes a funding agreement directly with the FFRDC and a single, separate Cooperative Agreement with the rest of the Project Team. Notwithstanding the use of multiple agreements, the FFRDC is the lead organization for the entire project, including all work performed by the FFRDC and the rest of the Project Team.

When a FFRDC or non-DOE/NNSA GOGO is a member of a Project Team, ARPA-E executes a funding agreement directly with the FFRDC or non-DOE/NNSA GOGO and a single, separate Cooperative Agreement with the rest of the Project Team. Notwithstanding the use of multiple agreements, the Prime Recipient under the Cooperative Agreement is the lead organization for the entire project, including all work performed by the FFRDC or non-DOE/NNSA GOGO and the rest of the Project Team.

Funding agreements with DOE/NNSA FFRDCs take the form of Work Authorizations issued to DOE/NNSA FFRDCs through the DOE/NNSA Field Work Proposal system for work performed under Department of Energy Management & Operation Contracts. Funding agreements with

---

30 The Prime Recipient is the signatory to the funding agreement with ARPA-E.
31 DOE/NNSA GOGOs are not eligible to apply for funding, as described in Section III.A of the FOA.
non-DOE/NNSA FFRDCs, GOGOs, and Federal instrumentalities (e.g., Tennessee Valley Authority) generally take the form of Interagency Agreements. Any funding agreement with a FFRDC or non-DOE/NNSA GOGO will have substantially similar terms and conditions as ARPA-E’s Model Cooperative Agreement (http://arpa-e.energy.gov/arpa-e-site-page/award-guidance).

3. **TECHNOLOGY INVESTMENT AGREEMENTS**

ARPA-E may use its “other transactions” authority under the America COMPETES Reauthorization Act of 2010 or DOE’s “other transactions” authority under the Energy Policy Act of 2005 to enter into Technology Investment Agreements (TIAs) with Prime Recipients. ARPA-E may negotiate a TIA when it determines that the use of a standard cooperative agreement, grant, or contract is not feasible or appropriate for a project.

A TIA is more flexible than a traditional financial assistance agreement. In using a TIA, ARPA-E may modify standard Government terms and conditions.

If Applicants are seeking to negotiate a TIA, they are required to include an explicit request in their Full Applications.

In general, TIAs require a cost share of 50%. See Section III.B.3 of the FOA.

4. **GRANTS**

Although ARPA-E has the authority to provide financial support to Prime Recipients through Grants, ARPA-E generally does not fund projects through Grants. ARPA-E may fund a limited number of projects through Grants, as appropriate.
C. **STATEMENT OF SUBSTANTIAL INVOLVEMENT**

Generally, ARPA-E is substantially involved in the direction of projects (regardless of the type of funding agreement) from inception to completion. For the purposes of an ARPA-E project, substantial involvement means:

- ARPA-E does not limit its involvement to the administrative requirements of the ARPA-E funding agreement. Instead, ARPA-E has substantial involvement in the direction and redirection of the technical aspects of the project as a whole. Project teams must adhere to ARPA-E technical direction and comply with agency-specific and programmatic requirements.

- ARPA-E may intervene at any time to address the conduct or performance of project activities.

- During award negotiations, ARPA-E Program Directors establish an aggressive schedule of quantitative milestones and deliverables that must be met every quarter. Prime Recipients document the achievement of these milestones and deliverables in quarterly technical and financial progress reports, which are reviewed and evaluated by ARPA-E Program Directors (see Attachment 4 to ARPA-E’s Model Cooperative Agreement, available at [http://arpa-e.energy.gov/?q=project-guidance/award](http://arpa-e.energy.gov/?q=project-guidance/award)). ARPA-E Program Directors visit each Prime Recipient at least twice per year, and hold periodic meetings, conference calls, and webinars with Project Teams. ARPA-E Program Directors may modify or terminate projects that fail to achieve predetermined technical milestones and deliverables.

- ARPA-E reviews reimbursement requests for compliance with applicable Federal cost principles and Prime Recipients’ cost share obligations. Upon request, Prime Recipients are required to provide additional information and documentation to support claimed expenditures. Prime Recipients are required to comply with agency-specific and programmatic requirements. Please refer to Section VI.B.3-4 of the FOA for guidance on proof of cost share commitment and cost share reporting.

- ARPA-E works closely with Prime Recipients to facilitate and expedite the deployment of ARPA-E-funded technologies to market. ARPA-E works with other Government agencies and nonprofits to provide mentoring and networking opportunities for Prime Recipients. ARPA-E also organizes and sponsors events to educate Prime Recipients about key barriers to the deployment of their ARPA-E-funded technologies. In addition, ARPA-E establishes collaborations with private and public entities to provide continued support for the development and deployment of ARPA-E-funded technologies.

---

**Questions about this FOA? Email ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov (with FOA name and number in subject line); see FOA Sec. VII.A.**  
**Problems with ARPA-E eXCHANGE? Email ExchangeHelp@hq.doe.gov (with FOA name and number in subject line).**
• ARPA-E may fund some projects on a fixed-obligation basis.

III. Eligibility Information

A. Eligible Applicants

1. Individuals

U.S. citizens or permanent residents may apply for funding in their individual capacity as a Standalone Applicant, as the lead for a Project Team, or as a member of a Project Team.

2. Domestic Entities

For-profit entities, educational institutions, and nonprofits that are incorporated in the United States, including U.S. territories, are eligible to apply for funding as a Standalone Applicant, as the lead organization for a Project Team, or as a member of a Project Team.

FFRDCs are eligible to apply for funding as the lead organization for a Project Team or as a member of a Project Team, but not as a Standalone Applicant.

DOE/NNSA GOGOs are not eligible to apply for funding.

Non-DOE/NNSA GOGOs are eligible to apply for funding as a member of a Project Team, but not as a Standalone Applicant or as the lead organization for a Project Team.

State and local government entities are eligible to apply for funding as a member of a Project Team, but not as a Standalone Applicant or as the lead organization for a Project Team.

Federal agencies and instrumentalities (other than DOE) are eligible to apply for funding as a member of a Project Team, but not as a Standalone Applicant or as the lead organization for a Project Team.

32 A Standalone Applicant is an Applicant that applies for funding on its own, not as part of a Project Team.

33 The term “Project Team” is used to mean any entity with multiple players working collaboratively and could encompass anything from an existing organization to an ad hoc teaming arrangement. A Project Team consists of the Prime Recipient, Subrecipients, and others performing or otherwise supporting work under an ARPA-E funding agreement.

34 Nonprofit organizations described in section 501(c)(4) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 that engaged in lobbying activities after December 31, 1995 are not eligible to apply for funding as a Prime Recipient or Subrecipient.

Questions about this FOA? Email ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov (with FOA name and number in subject line); see FOA Sec. VII.A.

Problems with ARPA-E eXCHANGE? Email ExchangeHelp@hq.doe.gov (with FOA name and number in subject line).
3. **FOREIGN ENTITIES**

Foreign entities, whether for-profit or otherwise, are eligible to apply for funding as Standalone Applicants, as the lead organization for a Project Team, or as a member of a Project Team. All work by foreign entities must be performed by subsidiaries or affiliates incorporated in the United States (including U.S. territories). The Applicant may request a waiver of this requirement in the Business Assurances & Disclosures Form, which is submitted with the Full Application. Please refer to the Business Assurances & Disclosures Form for guidance on the content and form of the request.

4. **CONSORTIUM ENTITIES**

Consortia, which may include domestic and foreign entities, must designate one member of the consortium as the consortium representative to the Project Team. The consortium representative must be incorporated in the United States. The eligibility of the consortium will be determined by reference to the eligibility of the consortium representative under Section III.A of the FOA. Each consortium must have an internal governance structure and a written set of internal rules. Upon request, the consortium entity must provide a written description of its internal governance structure and its internal rules to the Contracting Officer (ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov).

Unincorporated consortia must provide the Contracting Officer with a collaboration agreement, commonly referred to as the articles of collaboration, which sets out the rights and responsibilities of each consortium member. This agreement binds the individual consortium members together and should discuss, among other things, the consortium's:

- Management structure;
- Method of making payments to consortium members;
- Means of ensuring and overseeing members' efforts on the project;
- Provisions for members' cost sharing contributions; and
- Provisions for ownership and rights in intellectual property developed previously or under the agreement.

**B. COST SHARING**

Applicants are bound by the cost share proposed in their Full Applications.

---

35 Please refer to Section VI.B.3-4 of the FOA for guidance on cost share payments and reporting.

---

**Questions about this FOA? Email ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov (with FOA name and number in subject line); see FOA Sec. VII.A.**

**Problems with ARPA-E eXCHANGE? Email ExchangeHelp@hq.doe.gov (with FOA name and number in subject line).**
1. **Base Cost Share Requirement**

ARPA-E generally uses Cooperative Agreements to provide financial and other support to Prime Recipients (see Section II.B.1 of the FOA). Under a Cooperative Agreement, the Prime Recipient must provide at least 20% of the Total Project Cost\(^36\) as cost share, except as provided in Sections III.B.2 or III.B.3 below.\(^37\)

2. **Increased Cost Share Requirement**

Large businesses are strongly encouraged to provide more than 20% of the Total Project Cost as cost share. ARPA-E may consider the amount of cost share proposed by large businesses when selecting applications for award negotiations (see Section V.B.1 of the FOA).

Under a Technology Investment Agreement, the Prime Recipient must provide at least 50% of the Total Project Cost as cost share. ARPA-E may reduce this minimum cost share requirement, as appropriate.

3. **Reduced Cost Share Requirement**

ARPA-E has reduced the minimum cost share requirement for the following types of projects:

- A domestic educational institution or domestic nonprofit applying as a Standalone Applicant is required to provide at least 5% of the Total Project Cost as cost share.

- Project Teams composed exclusively of domestic educational institutions, domestic nonprofits, and/or FFRDCs are required to provide at least 5% of the Total Project Cost as cost share.

- Project Teams where domestic educational institutions, domestic nonprofits, and/or FFRDCs perform greater than or equal to 80%, but less than 100%, of the total work under the funding agreement (as measured by the Total Project Cost) are required to provide at least 10% of the Total Project Cost as cost share. However, any entity (such as a large business) receiving patent rights under a class waiver, or other patent waiver, that is part of a Project Team receiving this reduction must continue to meet the statutory minimum cost share requirement (20%) for its portion of the Total Project Cost.

- Projects that do not meet any of the above criteria are subject to the minimum cost share requirements described in Sections III.B.1 and III.B.2 of the FOA.

---

36 The Total Project Cost is the sum of the Prime Recipient share and the Federal Government share of total allowable costs. The Federal Government share generally includes costs incurred by GOGOs, FFRDCs, and GOCOs.
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4. **Legal Responsibility**

Although the cost share requirement applies to the Project Team as a whole, the funding agreement makes the Prime Recipient legally responsible for paying the entire cost share. The Prime Recipient’s cost share obligation is expressed in the funding agreement as a static amount in U.S. dollars (cost share amount) and as a percentage of the Total Project Cost (cost share percentage). If the funding agreement is terminated prior to the end of the project period, the Prime Recipient is required to contribute at least the cost share percentage of total expenditures incurred through the date of termination.

The Prime Recipient is solely responsible for managing cost share contributions by the Project Team and enforcing cost share obligations assumed by Project Team members in subawards or related agreements.

5. **Cost Share Allocation**

Each Project Team is free to determine how much each Project Team member will contribute towards the cost share requirement. The amount contributed by individual Project Team members may vary, as long as the cost share requirement for the project as a whole is met.

6. **Cost Share Types and Allowability**

Every cost share contribution must be allowable under the applicable Federal cost principles, as described in Section IV.G.1 of the FOA.

Project Teams may provide cost share in the form of cash or in-kind contributions. Cash contributions may be provided by the Prime Recipient or Subrecipients. Allowable in-kind contributions include but are not limited to personnel costs, indirect costs, facilities and administrative costs, rental value of buildings or equipment, and the value of a service, other resource, or third party in-kind contribution. Project Teams may use funding or property received from state or local governments to meet the cost share requirement, so long as the funding or property was not provided to the state or local government by the Federal Government.

The Prime Recipient may **not** use the following sources to meet its cost share obligations:

- Revenues or royalties from the prospective operation of an activity beyond the project period;
- Proceeds from the prospective sale of an asset of an activity;
- Federal funding or property (e.g., Federal grants, equipment owned by the Federal Government); or
- 36 -

- Expenditures that were reimbursed under a separate Federal program.

In addition, Project Teams may not use independent research and development (IR&D) funds\(^\text{38}\) to meet their cost share obligations under cooperative agreements. However, Project Teams may use IR&D funds to meet their cost share obligations under Technology investment Agreements.

Project Teams may not use the same cash or in-kind contributions to meet cost share requirements for more than one project or program.

Cost share contributions must be specified in the project budget, verifiable from the Prime Recipient’s records, and necessary and reasonable for proper and efficient accomplishment of the project. Every cost share contribution must be reviewed and approved in advance by the Contracting Officer and incorporated into the project budget before the expenditures are incurred.

Applicants may wish to refer to 10 C.F.R. parts 600 and 603 for additional guidance on cost sharing, specifically 10 C.F.R. §§ 600.30, 600.123, 600.224, 600.313, and 603.525-555.

7. Cost Share Contributions by FFRDCs and GOGOs

Because FFRDCs and GOGOs are funded by the Federal Government, costs incurred by FFRDCs and GOGOs generally may not be used to meet the cost share requirement. FFRDCs may contribute cost share only if the contributions are paid directly from the contractor’s Management Fee or a non-Federal source.

8. Cost Share Verification

Applicants are required to provide written assurance of their proposed cost share contributions in their Full Applications.

Upon selection for award negotiations, Applicants are required to provide additional information and documentation regarding their cost share contributions. Please refer to Section VI.B.3 of the FOA for guidance on the requisite cost share information and documentation.

\(^\text{38}\) As defined in Federal Acquisition Regulation Section 31.205-18.
C. \textbf{Other}

1. \textbf{Compliant Criteria}

Concept Papers are deemed compliant if:

- The Applicant meets the eligibility requirements in Section III.A of the FOA;
- The Concept Paper complies with the content and form requirements in Section IV.C of the FOA; and
- The Applicant entered all required information, successfully uploaded all required documents, and clicked the “Submit” button in ARPA-E eXCHANGE by the deadline stated in the FOA.

ARPA-E will not review or consider noncompliant Concept Papers, including Concept Papers submitted through other means, Concept Papers submitted after the applicable deadline, and incomplete Concept Papers. A Concept Paper is incomplete if it does not include required information. ARPA-E will not extend the submission deadline for Applicants that fail to submit required information and documents due to server/connection congestion.

Full Applications are deemed compliant if:

- The Applicant submitted a compliant and responsive Concept Paper;
- The Applicant meets the eligibility requirements in Section III.A of the FOA;
- The Full Application complies with the content and form requirements in Section IV.D of the FOA; and
- The Applicant entered all required information, successfully uploaded all required documents, and clicked the “Submit” button in ARPA-E eXCHANGE by the deadline stated in the FOA.

ARPA-E will not review or consider noncompliant Full Applications, including Full Applications submitted through other means, Full Applications submitted after the applicable deadline, and incomplete Full Applications. A Full Application is incomplete if it does not include required information and documents, such as Forms SF-424 and 424A. ARPA-E will not extend the submission deadline for Applicants that fail to submit required information and documents due to server/connection congestion.

Replies to Reviewer Comments are deemed compliant if:

\begin{center}
Questions about this FOA? Email \texttt{ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov} (with FOA name and number in subject line); see FOA Sec. VII.A.
Problems with ARPA-E eXCHANGE? Email \texttt{ExchangeHelp@hq.doe.gov} (with FOA name and number in subject line).
\end{center}
• The Applicant successfully uploaded all required documents to ARPA-E eXCHANGE by the deadline stated in the FOA.

ARPA-E will not review or consider noncompliant Replies to Reviewer Comments, including Replies submitted through other means and Replies submitted after the applicable deadline. ARPA-E will not extend the submission deadline for Applicants that fail to submit required information due to server/connection congestion. ARPA-E will review and consider each compliant and responsive Full Application, even if no Reply is submitted or if the Reply is found to be noncompliant.

2. Responsiveness Criteria

ARPA-E performs a preliminary technical review of Concept Papers and Full Applications. Any “Applications Specifically Not of Interest,” as described in Section I.F of the FOA, are deemed nonresponsive and are not reviewed or considered.

3. Limitation on Number of Applications

ARPA-E is not limiting the number of applications that may be submitted by Applicants. Applicants may submit more than one application to this FOA, provided that each application is scientifically distinct.
IV. APPLICATION AND SUBMISSION INFORMATION

A. APPLICATION PROCESS OVERVIEW

1. REGISTRATION IN ARPA-E eXCHANGE

The first step in applying to this FOA is registration in ARPA-E eXCHANGE, ARPA-E’s online application portal. For detailed guidance on using ARPA-E eXCHANGE, please refer to Section IV.H.1 of the FOA and the “ARPA-E eXCHANGE User Guide” (https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov/Manuals.aspx).

2. CONCEPT PAPERS

Applicants must submit a Concept Paper by the deadline stated in the FOA. Section IV.C of the FOA provides instructions on submitting a Concept Paper.

ARPA-E performs a preliminary review of Concept Papers to determine whether they are compliant and responsive, as described in Section III.C of the FOA. ARPA-E makes an independent assessment of each compliant and responsive Concept Paper based on the criteria and program policy factors in Sections V.A.1 and V.B.1 of the FOA.

ARPA-E will encourage a subset of Applicants to submit Full Applications. Other Applicants will be discouraged from submitting a Full Application in order to save them the time and expense of preparing an application that is unlikely to be selected for award negotiations. By discouraging the submission of a Full Application, ARPA-E intends to convey its lack of programmatic interest in the proposed project. Such assessments do not necessarily reflect judgments on the merits of the proposed project. Unsuccessful Applicants should continue to submit innovative ideas and concepts to future FOAs.

3. FULL APPLICATIONS

Applicants must submit a Full Application by the deadline stated in the FOA. Applicants will have approximately 30 days from receipt of the Encourage/Discourage notification to prepare and submit a Full Application. Section IV.D of the FOA provides instructions on submitting a Full Application.

ARPA-E performs a preliminary review of Full Applications to determine whether they are compliant and responsive, as described in Section III.C of the FOA. ARPA-E reviews only compliant and responsive Full Applications.

Questions about this FOA? Email ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov (with FOA name and number in subject line); see FOA Sec. VII.A. Problems with ARPA-E eXCHANGE? Email ExchangeHelp@hq.doe.gov (with FOA name and number in subject line).
4. **Reply to Reviewer Comments**

Once ARPA-E has completed its review of Full Applications, reviewer comments on compliant and responsive Full Applications are made available to Applicants via ARPA-E eXCHANGE. Applicants may submit an optional Reply to Reviewer Comments, which must be submitted by the deadline stated in the FOA. Section IV.E of the FOA provides instructions on submitting a Reply to Reviewer Comments.

ARPA-E performs a preliminary review of Replies to determine whether they are compliant, as described in Section III.C.1 of the FOA. ARPA-E will review and consider compliant Replies only. ARPA-E will review and consider each compliant and responsive Full Application, even if no Reply is submitted or if the Reply is found to be noncompliant.

5. **“Down-Select” Process**

Once ARPA-E completes its review of Full Applications and Replies to Reviewer Comments, it may, at the Contracting Officer’s discretion, perform a “down-select” of Full Applications. Through a down-select, ARPA-E may obtain additional information from select Applicants through pre-selection meetings, webinars, videoconferences, conference calls, or site visits that can be used to make a final selection determination. ARPA-E will not reimburse Applicants for travel and other expenses relating to pre-selection meetings and site visits, nor will these costs be eligible for reimbursement as pre-award costs.

ARPA-E may select applications for funding and make awards without pre-selection meetings and site visits. Participation in a pre-selection meeting or site visit with ARPA-E does not signify that Applicants have been selected for award negotiations.

6. **Selection for Award Negotiations**

ARPA-E carefully considers all of the information obtained through the application process and makes an independent assessment of each compliant and responsive Full Application based on the criteria and program policy factors in Sections V.A.2 and V.B.1 of the FOA. ARPA-E may select or not select a Full Application for award negotiations. ARPA-E may also postpone a final selection determination on one or more Full Applications until a later date, subject to availability of funds and other factors. ARPA-E will enter into award negotiations only with selected Applicants.

Applicants are promptly notified of ARPA-E’s selection determination. ARPA-E may stagger its selection determinations. As a result, some Applicants may receive their notification letter in advance of other Applicants. Please refer to Section VI.A of the FOA for guidance on award notifications.

---

**Questions about this FOA?** Email [ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov](mailto:ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov) (with FOA name and number in subject line); see FOA Sec. VII.A. **Problems with ARPA-E eXCHANGE?** Email [ExchangeHelp@hq.doe.gov](mailto:ExchangeHelp@hq.doe.gov) (with FOA name and number in subject line).
7. **Mandatory Webinar**

All selected Applicants, including the Principal Investigator and the financial manager for the project, are required to participate in a webinar that is held within approximately one week of the selection notification. During the webinar, ARPA-E officials present important information on the award negotiation process, including deadlines for the completion of certain actions.

B. **Application Forms**

Required forms for Full Applications are available on ARPA-E eXCHANGE (https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov), including the SF-424, Budget Justification Workbook/SF-424A, and Business Assurances & Disclosures Form. Sample responses to the Business Assurances & Disclosures Form, and a sample Summary Slide, are also available on ARPA-E eXCHANGE. Applicants must use the templates available on ARPA-E eXCHANGE, including the template for the Concept Paper, the template for the Technical Volume of the Full Application, the Technical Milestones and Deliverables - Instructions and Examples, the template for the Summary Slide, the template for the Summary for Public Release, and the template for the Reply to Reviewer Comments.

C. **Content and Form of Concept Papers**

The Concept Paper is mandatory (i.e. in order to submit a Full Application, a compliant and responsive Concept Paper must have been submitted) and must conform to the following requirements:

- The Concept Paper must be submitted in Adobe PDF format.
- The Concept Paper must be written in English.
- All pages must be formatted to fit on 8-1/2 by 11 inch paper with margins not less than one inch on every side. Use Times New Roman typeface, a black font color, and a font size of 12 point or larger (except in figures and tables).
- The Control Number must be prominently displayed on the upper right corner of the header of every page. Page numbers must be included in the footer of every page.

ARPA-E will not review or consider noncompliant and/or nonresponsive Concept Papers (see Section III.C of the FOA).

Each Concept Paper should be limited to a single concept or technology. Unrelated concepts and technologies should not be consolidated into a single Concept Paper.
Concept Papers must conform to the following content and form requirements, including maximum page lengths, described below. If Applicants exceed the maximum page lengths indicated below, ARPA-E will review only the authorized number of pages and disregard any additional pages.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SECTION</th>
<th>PAGE LIMIT</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Technology Description | 2 pages maximum | - Applicants are required to describe succinctly:  
  - The proposed technology, including its basic operating principles and how it is unique and innovative;  
  - The proposed technology’s target level of performance (Applicants should provide technical data or other support to show how the proposed target could be met);  
  - The current state-of-the-art in the relevant field and application, including key shortcomings, limitations, and challenges;  
  - How the proposed technology will overcome the shortcomings, limitations, and challenges in the relevant field and application;  
  - The potential impact that the proposed project would have on the relevant field and application;  
  - The key technical risks/issues associated with the proposed technology development plan; and  
  - The impact that ARPA-E funding would have on the proposed project. |

| Addendum            | 2 pages maximum | - Applicants may provide graphs, charts, or other data to supplement their Technology Description.  
  - Applicants are required to describe succinctly the qualifications, experience, and capabilities of the proposed Project Team, including:  
    - Whether the Principal Investigator (PI) and Project Team have the skill and expertise needed to successfully execute the project plan;  
    - Whether the Applicant has prior experience which demonstrates an ability to perform R&D tasks of similar risk and complexity;  
    - Whether the Applicant has worked together with its teaming partners on prior projects or programs; and  
    - Whether the Applicant has adequate access to equipment and facilities necessary to accomplish the R&D effort and/or clearly explain how it intends to obtain access to necessary equipment and facilities. |
D. **CONTENT AND FORM OF FULL APPLICATIONS**

Full Applications must conform to the following requirements:

- Each document must be submitted in the file format prescribed below.
- All Full Applications must be written in English.
- All pages must be formatted to fit on 8-1/2 by 11 inch paper with margins not less than one inch on every side. Use Times New Roman typeface, a black font color, and a font size of 12 point or larger (except in figures and tables).
- The Control Number must be prominently displayed on the upper right corner of the header of every page. Page numbers must be included in the footer of every page.

ARPA-E will not review or consider noncompliant and/or nonresponsive Full Applications (see Section III.C of the FOA).

Each Full Application should be limited to a single concept or technology. Unrelated concepts and technologies should not be consolidated in a single Full Application.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Required Format</th>
<th>Description and Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SF-424</td>
<td>PDF</td>
<td>Application for Federal Assistance (<a href="https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov">https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov</a>)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical Milestones and Deliverables</td>
<td>PDF</td>
<td>Applicants must use the Technical Milestones and Deliverables – Instructions and Examples available on ARPA-E eXCHANGE (<a href="https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov">https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov</a>) for the Technical Milestones and Deliverables.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summary Slide</td>
<td>PPT</td>
<td>A four-panel project slide summarizing different aspects of the proposed R&amp;D project. Applicants must complete the Summary Slide template available on ARPA-E eXCHANGE (<a href="https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov">https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov</a>). A sample Summary Slide is also available on ARPA-E eXCHANGE.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Assurances &amp; Disclosures Form</td>
<td>PDF</td>
<td>Requires the Applicant to make responsibility disclosures and disclose potential conflicts of interest within the Project Team. Requires the Applicant to describe the additionality and risks associated with the proposed project, disclose applications.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Questions about this FOA? Email ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov (with FOA name and number in subject line); see FOA Sec. VII.A.

Problems with ARPA-E eXCHANGE? Email ExchangeHelp@hq.doe.gov (with FOA name and number in subject line).
for funding currently pending with Federal and non-Federal entities, and disclose funding from Federal and non-Federal entities for work in the same technology area as the proposed R&D project. If the Applicant is a FFRDC, requires the Applicant to provide written authorization from the cognizant Federal agency and, if a DOE/NNSA FFRDC, a Field Work Proposal. Allows the Applicant to request a waiver or modification of the Performance of Work in the United States requirement and/or the Technology Transfer & Outreach (TT&O) spending requirement. This form is available on ARPA-E eXCHANGE at https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov. A sample response to the Business Assurances & Disclosures Form is also available on ARPA-E eXCHANGE.

ARPA-E provides detailed guidance on the content and form of each component below.

1. **FIRST COMPONENT: TECHNICAL VOLUME**

The Technical Volume must be submitted in Adobe PDF format. A Technical Volume template is available at https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov. The Technical Volume must conform to the following content and form requirements, including maximum page lengths. If Applicants exceed the maximum page lengths indicated below, ARPA-E will review only the authorized number of pages and disregard any additional pages.

Applicants must provide sufficient citations and references to the primary research literature to justify the claims and approaches made in the Technical Volume. ARPA-E and reviewers may review primary research literature in order to evaluate applications. However, ARPA-E and reviewers are under no obligation to review cited sources (e.g., Internet websites).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SECTION</th>
<th>PAGE LIMIT</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Technical Approach</td>
<td>1 page max.</td>
<td>● Provide a concise summary of the proposed R&amp;D project. The summary should be written for a technically literate, but non-specialist, audience.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| R&D Tasks           | 1 page max.| ● Describe succinctly:  
  ○ The purpose of the proposed R&D project,  
  ○ The underlying hypothesis(es)/technical concept(s) guiding the approach, and  
  ○ A list of the tasks the research team will undertake and accomplish to achieve this purpose. |
| R&D Strategy        | 20 pages max.| ● Applicants are **required** to describe each of the following aspects of their proposal. Applicants should present supporting references, data, calculations, estimates, and/or projections to justify each set of claims, explicitly stating any variables and assumptions.  
  ○ **Innovation and Impact** – Describe and justify:  
    ▪ the performance of current state-of-the-art technology solutions in the application area addressed,  
    ▪ how the proposed solution is a departure from currently available technology and differs from others under investigation in the field,  
    ▪ the performance of the proposed solution, and the extent to which it represents a significant advance relative to the state of the art,  
    ▪ the impact of the proposed solution on system-level performance metrics, including justification for any adverse effects on system performance,  
    ▪ how the anticipated cost of the proposed solution compares with currently available technology, and the extent to which the solution can achieve a disruptive cost-performance learning curve relative to the state of the art  
    ▪ the extent to which the technology benefits, if realized, will translate into substantial impact on one or more ARPA-E mission areas.  
  ○ **Feasibility** – Describe and justify:  
    ▪ the feasibility of the proposed technology solution, and capability of achieving the cost and performance targets at scale (i.e. large-volume/high-throughput scenario)  
  ○ **Performance Team** – Describe succinctly:  
    ▪ the members of the proposed research team, and  
    ▪ why the proposed team is uniquely qualified to carry out the proposed research. Synopses of past research accomplishments are insufficient to demonstrate that a team is “uniquely qualified.” Applicants are required to identify the unique combination of training and experience that make the proposed team uniquely qualified to successfully execute the...  

**Questions about this FOA? Email ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov (with FOA name and number in subject line); see FOA Sec. VII.A.**

**Problems with ARPA-E eXCHANGE? Email ExchangeHelp@hq.doe.gov (with FOA name and number in subject line).**
proposed project. Preference will be given to multidisciplinary teams where different Project Team members complement each other and have expertise in different aspects of the technology.

| Technology-to-Market Strategy | 2 pages max. | • ARPA-E supports energy technology R&D projects for a limited period of time at critical high-risk points in the technology development cycle. ARPA-E technologies are not required to achieve commercial deployment by the end of the project period; however, funded projects must be on a reasonable path toward making substantive impact on ARPA-E’s mission areas through commercial adoption and eventual wide-scale market deployment. If known, please describe:
  o How the proposed technology is expected to transition from the lab to deployment and adoption. Please include: description of the expected product, potential near-term and long-term markets of entry, likely commercialization approach (startup, license, etc.), specific organizations expected to be involved in the transition of the technology (partners, customers, etc.), expected timeline for commercialization;
  o Manufacturing and scalability risks associated with technology;
  o Resource needs for the next phase of development that follows the end of the ARPA-E project; and
  o Why the proposed research is not being pursued by industry today.
  o Applicants are required to estimate the potential materials and manufacturing costs of the proposed technology to justify the technology’s potential to approach, meet, or exceed the cost targets given in each FOA. In making these estimations, Applicants must describe the manufacturing approaches that will most likely scale up the proposed technologies. |
| Budget Summary | 2 pages max. | • Applicants are required to provide a two-page budget summary, broken down by milestones. The summaries must conform to the following guidelines:
  o The budget summary should be clearly associated with the milestones outlined as part of the Technical R&D Plan and reflect quarterly progress on the proposed project.
  o All major equipment purchases must be included in the budget summary. For equipment acquired as part of the proposed R&D project, state the proposed disposition of the equipment after the project’s completion. Specifically, state if the useful life of the equipment will correlate with its authorized purpose under the proposed project.
  o If costs are less than would normally be expected due to large amounts of previous R&D work done by one or more members of the research team, please describe and explain accordingly.
  o Applicants are required to estimate the potential materials and manufacturing costs of the proposed technology to justify the technology’s potential to approach, meet, or exceed the cost targets given in each FOA. In making these estimations, Applicants must describe the manufacturing approaches that will most likely scale up the proposed technologies. |

Questions about this FOA? Email ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov (with FOA name and number in subject line); see FOA Sec. VII.A. Problems with ARPA-E eXCHANGE? Email ExchangeHelp@hq.doe.gov (with FOA name and number in subject line).
| Qualifications, Experience, and Capabilities | For each PQS, 3 pages max. | - Applicants are required to provide a Personal Qualification Summary (PQS) for the PI and each Key Participant. Each PQS is limited to 3 pages maximum. Curriculum vitae will not be considered. Each PQS must include:
  - Education/training,
  - Employment history,
  - Awards and honors,
  - Up to 10 peer-reviewed publications specifically related to the proposed R&D project,
  - Up to 10 other peer-reviewed publications demonstrating capabilities in the broad field, and
  - Up to 10 non-peer reviewed publications and patents demonstrating capabilities in the broad field. |
| Participating Organizations | 1 page max. | - Describe succinctly why each proposed organization is qualified to accomplish their portion of the proposed R&D project. Please describe the Project Team’s unique qualifications, expertise, equipment, or facilities that will facilitate the successful completion of the proposed project. |
| Prior Collaboration | 1 page max. | - Describe succinctly:
  - any prior projects, programs, and initiatives on which the Project Team has collaborated;
  - the roles of each Project Team member in the project, program, or initiative;
  - whether the project, program, or initiative was ultimately successful; and
  - any management, intellectual property, or other issues that arose within the Project Team and how they were resolved. |
| Management Plan | 1 page max. | - An effective management plan is essential to ensure continuous effective communication between performance members. Describe succinctly:
  - The roles of each Project Team member;
  - Any critical handoffs/interdependencies between Project Team members;
  - The technical (i.e., decision-making based on technical understanding of the problem) and management (i.e., monitoring different elements of the project and technology to ensure that it is well-integrated) aspects of the Management Plan and the role of the PI. |
| Multi-Investigator Projects | 2 pages max. | - Roles of Participants: For multi-organizational or multi-investigator projects, describe succinctly:
  - The roles and the work to be performed by each PI and Key Participant;
  - Business agreements between the Applicant and each PI and Key Participant; and
  - How the various efforts will be integrated and managed.
- Multiple PIs: Standalone Applicants and Project Teams are required to disclose if the project will include multiple PIs. If multiple PIs will be designated, identify the Contact PI/Project Coordinator, and provide a "Coordination and Management Plan" that describes the organization. |

---

39 A Key Participant is any individual who would contribute in a substantive, measurable way to the execution of the proposed project.

---

Questions about this FOA? Email ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov (with FOA name and number in subject line); see FOA Sec. VII.A. Problems with ARPA-E eXCHANGE? Email ExchangeHelp@hq.doe.gov (with FOA name and number in subject line).
structure of the project as it pertains to the designation of multiple PIs. This plan should include:
- Process for making decisions on scientific/technical direction;
- Publication arrangements;
- Intellectual property issues;
- Communication plans;
- Procedures for resolving conflicts; and
- PIs’ roles and administrative, technical, and scientific responsibilities for the project.

| Intellectual Property Strategy | No page limit | • Describe specifically:
|-------------------------------|---------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
|                               |               | - Existing intellectual property that will be used to develop the new intellectual property;
|                               |               | - New intellectual property and data that will be created as part of this effort;
|                               |               | - How the intellectual property strategy will increase the probability that the proposed transformational technology will reach the market and widely penetrate the installed base; and
|                               |               | - The plan for disposition/ownership of the intellectual property, including intellectual property agreements or memorandums of understanding between Project Team members. |

2. **SECOND COMPONENT: SF-424**

The SF-424 must be submitted in Adobe PDF format. This form is available on ARPA-E eXCHANGE at [https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov](https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov).

The SF-424 includes instructions for completing the form. Applicants are required to complete all required fields in accordance with the instructions.

Prime Recipients and Subrecipients are required to complete SF-LLL (Disclosure of Lobbying Activities), available at [http://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/omb/grants/sflllin.pdf](http://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/omb/grants/sflllin.pdf), if any non-Federal funds have been paid or will be paid to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any Federal agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with your application or funding agreement. The completed SF-LLL must be appended to the SF-424.

ARPA-E provides the following supplemental guidance on completing the SF-424:

- Each Project Team should submit only one SF-424 (i.e., a Subrecipient should not submit a separate SF-424).
- Assume a project start date of **DATE** March 1, 2015, or as negotiated.

Questions about this FOA? Email ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov (with FOA name and number in subject line); see FOA Sec. VII.A. Problems with ARPA-E eXCHANGE? Email ExchangeHelp@hq.doe.gov (with FOA name and number in subject line).
• The list of certifications and assurances in Block 21 can be found at http://energy.gov/management/downloads/certifications-and-assurances-use-sf-424.

• The dates and dollar amounts on the SF-424 are for the entire project period (from the project start date to the project end date), not a portion thereof.

3. Third Component: Budget Justification Workbook/SF-424A

Applicants are required to complete the Budget Justification Workbook/SF-424A Excel spreadsheet. This form is available on ARPA-E eXCHANGE at https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov. Prime Recipients must complete each tab of the Budget Justification Workbook for the project as a whole, including all work to be performed by the Prime Recipient and its Subrecipients and Contractors, and provide all requested documentation (e.g., a Federally-approved forward pricing rate agreement, Defense Contract Audit Agency or Government Audits and Reports, if available). The SF-424A form included with the Budget Justification Workbook will “auto-populate” as the Applicant enters information into the Workbook. Applicants must carefully read the “Instructions and Summary” tab provided within the Budget Justification Workbook.

Subrecipient information must be submitted as follows:

• Each Subrecipient incurring greater than or equal to 10% of the Total Project Cost must complete a separate Budget Justification workbook to justify its proposed budget. These worksheets must be inserted as additional sheets within in the Prime Recipient’s Budget Justification.

• Subrecipients incurring less than 10% of the Total Project Cost are not required to complete a separate Budget Justification workbook. However, such Subrecipients are required to provide supporting documentation to justify their proposed budgets. At a minimum, the supporting documentation must show which tasks/subtasks are being performed, the purpose/need for the effort, and a sufficient basis for the estimated costs.

ARPA-E provides the following supplemental guidance on completing the Budget Justification Workbook/SF-424A:

• Applicants may request funds under the appropriate object class category tabs as long as the item and amount requested are necessary to perform the proposed work, meet all the criteria for allowability under the applicable Federal cost principles, and are not prohibited by the funding restrictions described herein.

Questions about this FOA? Email ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov (with FOA name and number in subject line); see FOA Sec. VII.A. Problems with ARPA-E eXCHANGE? Email ExchangeHelp@hq.doe.gov (with FOA name and number in subject line).
• If Patent costs are requested, they must be included in the Applicant’s proposed budget (see Section IV.G.3 of the FOA for more information on Patent Costs).

• Unless a waiver is granted by the Contracting Officer, each Project Team must spend at least 5% of the Federal funding (i.e., the portion of the award that does not include the recipient’s cost share) on Technology Transfer & Outreach (TT&O) activities to promote and further the development and deployment of ARPA-E-funded technologies. In addition, Project Teams may not expend more than 5% of the Total Project Cost on TT&O activities without the prior approval of the Contracting Officer (see Section IV.G.8 of the FOA).

• All TT&O costs requested must be included in the Applicant’s proposed budget and identified as TT&O costs in the Budget Justification Workbook/SF-424A with the costs being requested under the “Other” budget category. All budgeted activities must relate to achieving specific objectives, technical milestones and deliverables outlined in the Technical Milestones and Deliverables.

• For pricing purposes, assume a project start date of [DATE] March 1, 2015, or as negotiated.

• For more information, please refer to the ARPA-E Budget Justification Guidance document at https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov.

4. **FOURTH COMPONENT: TECHNICAL MILESTONES AND DELIVERABLES**

Applicants must submit proposed Technical Milestones and Deliverables in one combined PDF document. The Technical Milestones and Deliverables include (1) a statement of project objectives, (2) a schedule for the work proposed in the “R&D Tasks” section of the Technical Volume, and (3) a set of detailed descriptions of the technical Tasks, Sub-Tasks, Milestones, and Deliverables. Please refer to the “Technical Milestones and Deliverables – Instructions and Examples” document available on ARPA-E eXCHANGE (https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov) for guidance on preparing the Technical Milestones and Deliverables.

The Technical Milestones and Deliverables help focus effort and resources on critical path technology components. The technical Tasks, Sub-Tasks, Milestones, and Deliverables should provide a clear path to completion of the R&D Tasks and be as quantitative and specific as possible, clearly indicating the techniques and assumptions used to determine their achievement. ARPA-E evaluates the progress of a project by comparing actual progress of completing Tasks and Sub-Tasks to predetermined technical milestones and deliverables.
End-of-Project or other milestones may be subject to independent measurement or verification. ARPA-E Program Directors may require revisions to proposed Technical Milestones and Deliverables during award negotiations. In addition, ARPA-E Program Directors may redirect, discontinue, or terminate projects that fail to achieve predetermined Technical Milestones and Deliverables.

5. **FIFTH COMPONENT: SUMMARY FOR PUBLIC RELEASE**

Applicants are required to provide a one-page Summary for Public Release. A Summary for Public Release template is available on ARPA-E eXCHANGE ([https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov](https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov)). The Summary for Public Release must be submitted in Adobe PDF format. This summary should not include any confidential, proprietary, or privileged information. The summary should be written for a lay audience (e.g., general public, media, Congress) using plain English.

6. **SIXTH COMPONENT: SUMMARY SLIDE**

Applicants are required to provide a single PowerPoint slide summarizing the proposed project. The slide must be submitted in Microsoft PowerPoint format. This slide is used during the evaluation process. A summary slide template is available on ARPA-E eXCHANGE ([https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov](https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov)). A sample summary slide is also available on ARPA-E eXCHANGE. Applicants must use the Summary Slide template to complete their Summary Slide.

The Summary Slide template requires the following information:

- a technology summary;
- a description of the technology’s impact;
- proposed targets;
- any key graphics (illustrations, charts and/or tables);
- the project’s key idea/takeaway;
- project title and Principal Investigator information; and
- requested ARPA-E funds and proposed applicant cost share.

7. **SEVENTH COMPONENT: BUSINESS ASSURANCES & DISCLOSURES FORM**

Applicants are required to complete a Business Assurances & Disclosures Form. The form must be submitted in Adobe PDF format. This form is available on ARPA-E eXCHANGE at [https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov](https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov). A sample response to the Business Assurances & Disclosures Form is also available on ARPA-E eXCHANGE.

In the Business Assurances & Disclosures Form, the Applicant is required to:

---

**Questions about this FOA?** Email ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov (with FOA name and number in subject line); see FOA Sec. VII.A.

**Problems with ARPA-E eXCHANGE?** Email ExchangeHelp@hq.doe.gov (with FOA name and number in subject line).
• Disclose conditions bearing on responsibility, such as criminal convictions and Federal tax liability;
• Disclose potential conflicts of interest within the Project Team;
• If the Applicant is a FFRDC, submit written authorization from the cognizant Federal agency; and
• If the Applicant is a DOE/NNSA FFRDC, submit a Field Work Proposal.

In addition, ARPA-E is required by statute to “accelerat[e] transformational technological advances in areas that industry is by itself not likely to undertake because of technical and financial uncertainty.”40 In accordance with ARPA-E’s statutory mandate, the Applicant is required to:

• Describe the additionality and risks associated with the proposed R&D project;
• Disclose any applications for the same project or related work currently pending with any Federal or non-Federal entities; and
• Disclose all funding for work in the same technology area as the proposed project received from any Federal or non-Federal entity within the last 5 years.

Finally, the Applicant may use the Business Assurances & Disclosures Form to:

• Request authorization to perform some work overseas; and
• Request a waiver of the TT&O spending requirement.

E. CONTENT AND FORM OF REPLIES TO REVIEWER COMMENTS

Written feedback on Full Applications is made available to Applicants before the submission deadline for Replies to Reviewer Comments. Applicants have a brief opportunity to prepare a short Reply to Reviewer Comments responding to one or more comments or supplementing their Full Application. A fillable Reply to Reviewer Comments template is available on ARPA-E eXCHANGE (https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov). Applicants must use this Reply to Reviewer Comments template to complete their Reply to Reviewer Comments.

Replies to Reviewer Comments must conform to the following requirements:

- The Reply to Reviewer Comments must be submitted in Adobe PDF format.
- The Reply to Reviewer Comments must be written in English.
- All pages must be formatted to fit on 8-1/2 by 11 inch paper with margins not less than one inch on every side. Use Times New Roman typeface, a black font color, and a font size of 12 points or larger (except in figures and tables).
- The Control Number must be prominently displayed on the upper right corner of the header of every page. Page numbers must be included in the footer of every page.

ARPA-E will not review or consider noncompliant Replies to Reviewer Comments (see Section III.C.1 of the FOA). ARPA-E will review and consider each compliant and responsive Full Application, even if no Reply is submitted or if the Reply is found to be noncompliant.

Replies to Reviewer Comments must conform to the following content and form requirements, including maximum page lengths, described below. If a Reply to Reviewer Comments is more than three pages in length, ARPA-E will review only the first three pages and disregard any additional pages.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SECTION</th>
<th>PAGE LIMIT</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Text</td>
<td>2 pages maximum</td>
<td>Applicants may respond to one or more reviewer comments or supplement their Full Application.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Images</td>
<td>1 page maximum</td>
<td>Applicants may provide graphs, charts, or other data to respond to reviewer comments or supplement their Full Application.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**F. INTERGOVERNMENTAL REVIEW**

This program is not subject to Executive Order 12372 (Intergovernmental Review of Federal Programs).
G. FUNDING RESTRICTIONS

1. ALLOWABLE COSTS

All expenditures must be allowable, allocable, and reasonable in accordance with the applicable Federal cost principles. ARPA-E has listed the Federal cost principles for different categories of Applicants at http://arpa-e.energy.gov/arpa-site-page/post-award-guidance.

2. PRE-AWARD COSTS

ARPA-E will not reimburse any pre-award costs incurred by Applicants before they are selected for award negotiations. Please refer to Section VI.A of the FOA for guidance on award notices.

Upon selection for award negotiations, Applicants may incur pre-award costs at their own risk, consistent with the requirements in 10 C.F.R. part 600 and other Federal laws and regulations. ARPA-E generally does not accept budgets as submitted with the Full Application. Budgets are typically reworked during award negotiations. ARPA-E is under no obligation to reimburse pre-award costs if, for any reason, the Applicant does not receive an award or the award is made for a lesser amount than the Applicant expected, or if the costs incurred are not allowable, allocable, or reasonable.

Given the uncertainty of award negotiations, it is strongly recommended that Prime Recipients and Subrecipients consult with the Contracting Officer (ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov) before incurring any pre-award costs.


3. PATENT COSTS

ARPA-E will fully reimburse the following types of patent costs:

- Cost of preparing and submitting invention disclosures to ARPA-E and DOE;
- Cost of searching the art to the extent reasonable and necessary to make invention disclosures to ARPA-E and DOE, as required by Attachment 2 to the funding agreement; and
• Cost of preparing the reports and other documents required by Attachment 2 to the funding agreement.

ARPA-E will reimburse up to $30,000 in costs and fees incurred in preparing and filing domestic and foreign patents. The Prime Recipient may request a waiver of the $30,000 cap. Because all patent costs are considered to be Technology Transfer & Outreach (TT&O) costs (see Section IV.G.8 of the FOA below), the waiver request is subject to review by the ARPA-E Program Director and approval by the Contracting Officer.

4. CONSTRUCTION

ARPA-E generally does not fund projects that involve major construction. Recipients are required to obtain written authorization from the Contracting Officer before incurring any major construction costs.

5. FOREIGN TRAVEL

ARPA-E generally does not fund projects that involve foreign travel. Recipients are required to obtain written authorization from the Contracting Officer before incurring any foreign travel costs and provide trip reports with their reimbursement requests.

6. PERFORMANCE OF WORK IN THE UNITED STATES

ARPA-E strongly encourages interdisciplinary and cross-sectoral collaboration spanning organizational boundaries. Such collaboration enables the achievement of scientific and technological outcomes that were previously viewed as extremely difficult, if not impossible.

ARPA-E requires all work under ARPA-E funding agreements to be performed in the United States – i.e., Prime Recipients must expend 100% of the Total Project Cost in the United States. However, Applicants may request a waiver of this requirement where their project would materially benefit from, or otherwise requires, certain work to be performed overseas.

Applicants seeking a waiver of this requirement are required to include an explicit request in the Business Assurances & Disclosures Form, which is part of the Full Application submitted to ARPA-E. Such waivers are granted where there is a demonstrated need, as determined by ARPA-E.

Questions about this FOA? Email ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov (with FOA name and number in subject line); see FOA Sec. VII.A. Problems with ARPA-E eXCHANGE? Email ExchangeHelp@hq.doe.gov (with FOA name and number in subject line).
7. **PURCHASE OF NEW EQUIPMENT**

All new equipment purchased under ARPA-E funding agreements must be made or manufactured in the United States, to the maximum extent practicable. This requirement does not apply to used or leased equipment. Project Teams may purchase foreign-made equipment where comparable domestic equipment is not reasonably available.

8. **TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER AND OUTREACH**

By law, ARPA-E is required to contribute a percentage of appropriated funds to Technology Transfer and Outreach (TT&O) activities. In order to meet this mandate every Project Team must spend at least 5% of the Federal funding (i.e., the portion of the award that does not include the recipient’s cost share) provided by ARPA-E on TT&O activities to promote and further the development and deployment of ARPA-E-funded technologies. Project Teams may not expend more than 5% of the Total Project Cost on TT&O activities without the prior approval of the Contracting Officer. Project Teams must also seek a waiver from the Contracting Officer to spend less than the minimum 5% TT&O expenditure requirement.

All TT&O expenditures are subject to the applicable Federal cost principles, as described in Section IV.G.1 of the FOA. Examples of TT&O expenditures are as follows:

- Documented travel and registration for the ARPA-E Energy Innovation Summit and other energy-related conferences and events;
- Documented travel to meet with potential suppliers, partners, or customers;
- Documented work by salaried or contract personnel to develop technology-to-market models or plans;
- Documented costs of acquiring industry-accepted market research reports; and
- Approved patent costs.

ARPA-E will not reimburse the following types of TT&O expenditures, which do not comply with Federal cost principles.

- Meals or entertainment;
- Gifts to potential suppliers, partners, or customers;

---

Questions about this FOA? Email ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov (with FOA name and number in subject line); see FOA Sec. VII.A.
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• TT&O activities that do not relate to the ARPA-E-funded technologies or to at least one objective in the Technical Milestones and Deliverables;

• Undocumented TT&O activities; and

• TT&O activities unrelated and/or unallocable to the subject award.

Applicants may seek a waiver of the TT&O requirement by including an explicit request in the Business Assurances & Disclosures Form. Please refer to the Business Assurances & Disclosures Form for guidance on the content and form of the waiver request. ARPA-E may waive or modify the TT&O requirement, as appropriate.

For information regarding incorporation of TT&O costs into budget documentation, see Section IV.D.3 of the FOA.


9. LOBBYING

Prime Recipients and Subrecipients may not use any Federal funds, directly or indirectly, to influence or attempt to influence, directly or indirectly, congressional action on any legislative or appropriation matters pending before Congress, other than to communicate to Members of Congress as described in 18 U.S.C. § 1913. This restriction is in addition to those prescribed elsewhere in statute and regulation.

Prime Recipients and Subrecipients are required to complete and submit SF-LLL, “Disclosure of Lobbying Activities” (http://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/omb/grants/sflllin.pdf) if any non-Federal funds have been paid or will be paid to any person for influencing or attempting to influence any of the following in connection with your application:

• An officer or employee of any Federal agency,

• A Member of Congress,

• An officer or employee of Congress, or

• An employee of a Member of Congress.
10. **CONFERENCE SPENDING**

Prime Recipients and Subrecipients may not use any Federal funds to:

- Defray the cost to the United States Government of a conference held by any Executive branch department, agency, board, commission, or office which is not directly and programmatically related to the purpose for which their ARPA-E award is made and for which the cost to the United States Government is more than $20,000; or

- To circumvent the required notification by the head of any such Executive Branch department, agency, board, commission, or office to the Inspector General (or senior ethics official for any entity without an Inspector General), of the date, location, and number of employees attending such a conference.

H. **OTHER SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS**

1. **USE OF ARPA-E eXCHANGE**

To apply to this FOA, Applicants must register with ARPA-E eXCHANGE ([https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov/Registration.aspx](https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov/Registration.aspx)). Concept Papers, Full Applications, and Replies to Reviewer Comments must be submitted through ARPA-E eXCHANGE ([https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov/login.aspx](https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov/login.aspx)). ARPA-E will not review or consider applications submitted through other means (e.g., fax, hand delivery, email, postal mail). For detailed guidance on using ARPA-E eXCHANGE, please refer to the “ARPA-E eXCHANGE User Guide” ([https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov/Manuals.aspx](https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov/Manuals.aspx)).

Upon creating an application submission in ARPA-E eXCHANGE, Applicants will be assigned a Control Number. If the Applicant creates more than one application submission, a different Control Number will be assigned for each application.

Once logged in to ARPA-E eXCHANGE ([https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov/login.aspx](https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov/login.aspx)), Applicants may access their submissions by clicking the “My Submissions” link in the navigation on the left side of the page. Every application that the Applicant has submitted to ARPA-E and the corresponding Control Number is displayed on that page. If the Applicant submits more than one application to a particular FOA, a different Control Number is shown for each application.

Applicants are responsible for meeting each submission deadline in ARPA-E eXCHANGE. **Applicants are strongly encouraged to submit their applications at least 48 hours in advance of the submission deadline.** Under normal conditions (i.e., at least 48 hours in advance of the submission deadline), Applicants should allow at least 1 hour to submit a Concept Paper, or Full Application. In addition, Applicants should allow at least 15 minutes to submit a Reply to

Questions about this FOA? Email ARPA-E-FOA@hq.doe.gov (with FOA name and number in subject line); see FOA Sec. VII.A. Problems with ARPA-E eXCHANGE? Email ExchangeHelp@hq.doe.gov (with FOA name and number in subject line).
Reviewer Comments. Once the application is submitted in ARPA-E eXCHANGE, Applicants may revise or update their application until the expiration of the applicable deadline.

Applicants should not wait until the last minute to begin the submission process. During the final hours before the submission deadline, Applicants may experience server/connection congestion that prevents them from completing the necessary steps in ARPA-E eXCHANGE to submit their applications. ARPA-E will not extend the submission deadline for Applicants that fail to submit required information and documents due to server/connection congestion.

ARPA-E will not review or consider incomplete applications and applications received after the deadline stated in the FOA. Such applications will be deemed noncompliant (see Section III.C.1 of the FOA). The following errors could cause an application to be deemed “incomplete” and thus noncompliant:

- Failing to comply with the form and content requirements in Section IV of the FOA;
- Failing to enter required information in ARPA-E eXCHANGE;
- Failing to upload required document(s) to ARPA-E eXCHANGE;
- Uploading the wrong document(s) or application(s) to ARPA-E eXCHANGE; and
- Uploading the same document twice, but labeling it as different documents. (In the latter scenario, the Applicant failed to submit a required document.)

ARPA-E urges Applicants to carefully review their applications and to allow sufficient time for the submission of required information and documents.

Questions about this FOA? Email ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov (with FOA name and number in subject line); see FOA Sec. VII.A. Problems with ARPA-E eXCHANGE? Email ExchangeHelp@hq.doe.gov (with FOA name and number in subject line).
V. APPLICATION REVIEW INFORMATION

A. CRITERIA

ARPA-E performs a preliminary review of Concept Papers and Full Applications to determine whether they are compliant and responsive (see Section III.C of the FOA). ARPA-E also performs a preliminary review of Replies to Reviewer Comments to determine whether they are compliant.

ARPA-E considers a mix of quantitative and qualitative criteria in determining whether to encourage the submission of a Full Application and whether to select a Full Application for award negotiations.

1. CRITERIA FOR CONCEPT PAPERS

(1) Impact of the Proposed Technology Relative to State of the Art (50%) - This criterion involves consideration of the following factors:

- The extent to which the proposed quantitative material and/or technology metrics demonstrate the potential for a transformational and disruptive (not incremental) advancement in one or more energy-related fields;

- The extent to which the Applicant demonstrates a profound understanding of the current state-of-the-art and presents an innovative technical approach that significantly improves performance relative to the current state-of-the-art; and

- The extent to which the Applicant demonstrates awareness of competing commercial and emerging technologies and identifies how the proposed concept/technology provides significant improvement over existing solutions.

(2) Overall Scientific and Technical Merit (50%) - This criterion involves consideration of the following factors:

- The extent to which the proposed approach is unique and innovative;

- The feasibility of the proposed work;

- The extent to which the Applicant proposes a sound technical approach to accomplish the proposed R&D objectives;

- The extent to which project outcomes and deliverables are clearly defined; and
• The extent to which the Applicant proposes a strong and convincing technology development strategy, including a feasible pathway to transition the program results to the next logical stage of R&D and/or directly into commercial development and deployment.

Submissions will not be evaluated against each other since they are not submitted in accordance with a common work statement. The above criteria will be weighted as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criterion</th>
<th>Weight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Impact of the Proposed Technology Relative to State of the Art</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall Scientific and Technical Merit</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. **Criteria for Full Applications**

Full Applications are evaluated based on the following criteria:

(1) **Impact of the Proposed Technology Relative to State of the Art (30%)** - This criterion involves consideration of the following factors:

- The extent to which the proposed quantitative material and/or technology metrics demonstrate the potential for a transformational and disruptive (not incremental) advancement in one or more energy-related fields;

- The extent to which the Applicant demonstrates a profound understanding of the current state-of-the-art and presents an innovative technical approach to significantly improve performance over the current state-of-the-art; and

- The extent to which the Applicant demonstrates awareness of competing commercial and emerging technologies and identifies how its proposed concept/technology provides significant improvement over these other solutions.

(2) **Overall Scientific and Technical Merit (30%)** - This criterion involves consideration of the following factors:

- The extent to which the proposed work is unique and innovative;

- The extent to which the proposed project is likely to meet or exceed the technical performance targets identified in this FOA;

- The feasibility of the proposed work;

Questions about this FOA? Email [ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov](mailto:ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov) (with FOA name and number in subject line); see FOA Sec. VII.A.
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• The extent to which the Applicant proposes a sound technical approach to accomplish the proposed R&D objectives;

• The extent to which the Applicant manages risk, by identifying major technical R&D risks and clearly proposes feasible, effective mitigation strategies; and

• The extent to which project outcomes and deliverables are clearly defined; and

• The extent to which the Applicant proposes a strong and convincing technology development strategy, including a feasible pathway to transition the program results to the next logical stage of R&D and/or directly into commercial development and deployment.

(3) **Qualifications, Experience, and Capabilities of the Proposed Project Team** (30%) - This criterion involves consideration of the following factors:

• The extent to which the PI and Project Team have the skill and expertise needed to successfully execute the project plan, evidenced by prior experience that demonstrates an ability to perform R&D of similar risk and complexity;

• The extent to which the Applicant has access to the equipment and facilities necessary to accomplish the proposed R&D effort and/or a clear plan to obtain access to necessary equipment and facilities.

(4) **Soundness of Management Plan** (10%) - This criterion involves consideration of the following factors:

• The extent to which the Applicant presents a plausible plan to manage people and resources;

• The extent to which the Applicant proposes allocation of appropriate levels of effort and resources to proposed tasks;

• Whether the proposed schedule is reasonable.

Submissions will not be evaluated against each other since they are not submitted in accordance with a common work statement. The above criteria will be weighted as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact of the Proposed Technology Relative to State of the Art</th>
<th>30%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall Scientific and Technical Merit</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Questions about this FOA? Email [ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov](mailto:ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov) (with FOA name and number in subject line); see FOA Sec. VII.A.
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3. **Criteria for Replies to Reviewer Comments**

ARPA-E has not established separate criteria to evaluate Replies to Reviewer Comments. Instead, Replies to Reviewer Comments are evaluated as an extension of the Full Application.

**B. Review and Selection Process**

1. **Program Policy Factors**

In addition to the above criteria, ARPA-E may consider the following program policy factors in determining which Full Applications to select for award negotiations:

I. **ARPA-E Portfolio Balance.** Project balances ARPA-E portfolio in one or more of the following areas:
   a. Technological diversity;
   b. Organizational diversity;
   c. Geographic diversity;
   d. Technical or commercialization risk; or
   e. Stage of technology development.

II. **Relevance to ARPA-E Mission Advancement.** Project contributes to one or more of ARPA-E’s key statutory goals:
   a. Reduction of US dependence on foreign energy sources;
   b. Stimulation of domestic manufacturing;
   c. Reduction of energy-related emissions;
   d. Increase in U.S. energy efficiency;
   e. Enhancement of U.S. economic and energy security; or
   f. Promotion of U.S. advanced energy technologies competitiveness.

III. **Synergy of Public and Private Efforts.**
   a. Avoids duplication and overlap with other publicly or privately funded projects;
   b. Promotes increased coordination with nongovernmental entities for demonstration of technologies and research applications to facilitate technology transfer; or
   c. Increases unique research collaborations.
IV. **Low likelihood of other sources of funding.** High technical and/or financial uncertainty that results in the non-availability of other public, private or internal funding or resources to support the project.

V. **High-Leveraging of Federal Funds.** Project leverages Federal funds to optimize advancement of programmatic goals by proposing cost share above the required minimum or otherwise accessing scarce or unique resources.

VI. **High Project Impact Relative to Project Cost.**

2. **ARPA-E Reviewers**

By submitting an application to ARPA-E, Applicants consent to ARPA-E’s use of Federal employees, contractors, and experts from educational institutions, nonprofits, industry, and governmental and intergovernmental entities as reviewers. ARPA-E selects reviewers based on their knowledge and understanding of the relevant field and application, their experience and skills, and their ability to provide constructive feedback on applications.

ARPA-E requires all reviewers to complete a Conflict-of-Interest Certification and Nondisclosure Agreement through which they disclose their knowledge of any actual or apparent conflicts and agree to safeguard confidential information contained in Concept Papers, Full Applications, and Replies to Reviewer Comments. In addition, ARPA-E trains its reviewers in proper evaluation techniques and procedures.

Applicants are not permitted to nominate reviewers for their applications. Applicants may contact the Contracting Officer by email (ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov) if they have knowledge of a potential conflict of interest or a reasonable belief that a potential conflict exists.

3. **ARPA-E Support Contractor**

ARPA-E utilizes contractors to assist with the evaluation of applications and project management. To avoid actual and apparent conflicts of interest, ARPA-E prohibits its support contractors from submitting or participating in the preparation of applications to ARPA-E.

By submitting an application to ARPA-E, Applicants represent that they are not performing support contractor services for ARPA-E in any capacity and did not obtain the assistance of ARPA-E’s support contractor to prepare the application. ARPA-E will not consider any applications that are submitted by or prepared with the assistance of its support contractors.

---
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C. **ANTICIPATED ANNOUNCEMENT AND AWARD DATES**

ARPA-E expects to announce selections for negotiations in approximately November 2014 and to execute funding agreements in approximately March 2015.

VI. **AWARD ADMINISTRATION INFORMATION**

A. **AWARD NOTICES**

1. **REJECTED SUBMISSIONS**

Noncompliant and nonresponsive Concept Papers and Full Applications are rejected by the Contracting Officer and are not reviewed or considered. The Contracting Officer sends a notification letter by email to the technical and administrative points of contact designated by the Applicant in ARPA-E eXCHANGE. The notification letter states the basis upon which the Concept Paper or Full Application was rejected.

2. **CONCEPT PAPER NOTIFICATIONS**

ARPA-E promptly notifies Applicants of its determination to encourage or discourage the submission of a Full Application. ARPA-E sends a notification letter by email to the technical and administrative points of contact designated by the Applicant in ARPA-E eXCHANGE. Due to the anticipated volume of applications, ARPA-E is unable to provide feedback on Concept Papers.

Applicants may submit a Full Application even if they receive a notification discouraging them from doing so. By discouraging the submission of a Full Application, ARPA-E intends to convey its lack of programmatic interest in the proposed project. Such assessments do not necessarily reflect judgments on the merits of the proposed project or the Applicant. The purpose of the Concept Paper phase is to save Applicants the considerable time and expense of preparing a Full Application that is unlikely to be selected for award negotiations.

A notification letter encouraging the submission of a Full Application does not authorize the Applicant to commence performance of the project. Please refer to Section IV.G.2 of the FOA for guidance on pre-award costs.

3. **FULL APPLICATION NOTIFICATIONS**

ARPA-E promptly notifies Applicants of its determination. ARPA-E sends a notification letter by email to the technical and administrative points of contact designated by the Applicant in...
ARPA-E eXCHANGE. The notification letter may inform the Applicant that its Full Application was selected for award negotiations, or not selected. Alternatively, ARPA-E may notify one or more Applicants that a final selection determination on particular Full Applications will be made at a later date, subject to the availability of funds or other factors.

Written feedback on Full Applications is made available to Applicants before the submission deadline for Replies to Reviewer Comments. By providing feedback, ARPA-E intends to guide the further development of the proposed technology and to provide a brief opportunity to respond to reviewer comments.

ARPA-E promptly notifies Applicants of its determination. ARPA-E sends a notification letter by email to the technical and administrative points of contact designated by the Applicant in ARPA-E eXCHANGE. The notification letter may inform the Applicant that its Full Application was selected for award negotiations, or not selected. Alternatively, ARPA-E may notify one or more Applicants that a final selection determination on particular Full Applications will be made at a later date, subject to the availability of funds or other factors.

Written feedback on Full Applications is made available to Applicants before the submission deadline for Replies to Reviewer Comments. By providing feedback, ARPA-E intends to guide the further development of the proposed technology and to provide a brief opportunity to respond to reviewer comments.

\[a. \textbf{SUCCESSFUL APPLICANTS}\]

ARPA-E has discretion to select all or part of a proposed project for negotiation of an award. A notification letter selecting a Full Application for award negotiations does not authorize the Applicant to commence performance of the project. \textbf{ARPA-E selects Full Applications for award negotiations, not for award.} Applicants do not receive an award until award negotiations are complete and the Contracting Officer executes the funding agreement. ARPA-E may terminate award negotiations at any time for any reason.

Please refer to Section IV.G.2 of the FOA for guidance on pre-award costs. Please also refer to the “Applicants’ Guide to ARPA-E Award Negotiations” (http://arpa-e.energy.gov/sites/default/files/documents/files/Applicants%20Guide%20to%20ARPA-E%20Award%20Negotiations%20Nov2012.pdf) for guidance on the award negotiation process.

\[b. \textbf{POSTPONED SELECTION DETERMINATIONS}\]

A notification letter postponing a final selection determination until a later date does not authorize the Applicant to commence performance of the project. ARPA-E may ultimately determine to select or not select the Full Application for award negotiations.
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ARPA-E may not execute a funding agreement with the Prime Recipient until it has obtained a DUNS number and completed its SAM and FSRS registrations. In addition, the Prime Recipient may not execute subawards with Subrecipients until they obtain a DUNS number and complete their SAM registration. Prime Recipients and Subrecipients are required to keep their SAM and FSRS data current throughout the duration of the project.

Finally, Prime Recipients are required to register with FedConnect in order to receive notification that their funding agreement has been executed by the Contracting Officer and to obtain a copy of the executed funding agreement. Please refer to https://www.fedconnect.net/FedConnect/ for registration instructions.

2. NATIONAL POLICY ASSURANCES

Project Teams, including Prime Recipients and Subrecipients, are required to comply with the National Policy Assurances attached to their funding agreement. Please refer to ARPA-E’s Model Cooperative Agreement (http://arpa-e.energy.gov/FundingAgreements/CooperativeAgreements.aspx) for guidance on the National Policy Assurances.

3. PROOF OF COST SHARE COMMITMENT AND ALLOWABILITY

Upon selection for award negotiations, the Prime Recipient must confirm in writing that the proposed cost share contribution is allowable in accordance with applicable Federal cost principles.

The Prime Recipient is also required to provide cost share commitment letters from Subrecipients or third parties that are providing cost share, whether cash or in-kind. Each Subrecipient or third party that is contributing cost share must provide a letter on appropriate letterhead that is signed by an authorized corporate representative. Please refer to the “Applicants’ Guide to ARPA-E Award Negotiations” (http://arpa-e.energy.gov/sites/default/files/documents/files/Applicants%20Guide%20to%20ARPA-E%20Award%20Negotiations%20Nov2012.pdf) for guidance on the contents of cost share commitment letters.

4. COST SHARE PAYMENTS

All proposed cost share contributions must be reviewed in advance by the Contracting Officer and incorporated into the project budget before the expenditures are incurred.

---

19 Please refer to Section III.B of the FOA for guidance on cost share requirements.
ARPA-E requires Prime Recipients to contribute the cost share amount incrementally over the life of the funding agreement.


ARPA-E may deny reimbursement requests, in whole or in part, or modify or terminate funding agreements where Prime Recipients (or Project Teams) fail to comply with ARPA-E’s cost share payment requirements.

5. **ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT QUESTIONNAIRE**

By law, ARPA-E is required to evaluate the potential environmental impact of projects that it is considering for funding. In particular, ARPA-E must determine before funding a project whether the project qualifies for a categorical exclusion under 10 C.F.R. § 1021.410 or whether it requires further environmental review (i.e., an environmental assessment or an environmental impact statement).

To facilitate and expedite ARPA-E’s environmental review, Prime Recipients are required to complete an Environmental Impact Questionnaire during award negotiations. This form is available on ARPA-E eXCHANGE at https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov. The Environmental Impact Questionnaire is due within 21 calendar days of the selection announcement.

6. **TECHNOLOGY-TO-MARKET PLAN**

During award negotiations, Prime Recipients are required to negotiate and submit an initial Technology-to-Market Plan to the ARPA-E Program Director, and obtain the ARPA-E Program Director’s approval prior to the execution of the award. Prime Recipients must show how budgeted Technology Transfer and Outreach (TT&O) costs relate to furthering elements of the Technology-to-Market Plan. During the project period, Prime Recipients are required to provide regular updates on the initial Technology-to-Market plan and report on implementation of Technology-to-Market activities. Prime Recipients may be required to perform other actions to further the commercialization of their respective technologies.

ARPA-E may waive or modify this requirement, as appropriate.
7. **Intellectual Property Management Plan**

ARPA-E requires every Project Team to negotiate and establish an Intellectual Property Management Plan for the management and disposition of intellectual property arising from the project. The Prime Recipient must submit a completed and signed Intellectual Property Management plan to ARPA-E within six weeks of the effective date of the ARPA-E funding agreement. All Intellectual Property Management Plans are subject to the terms and conditions of the ARPA-E funding agreement and its intellectual property provisions, and applicable Federal laws, regulations, and policies, all of which take precedence over the terms of Intellectual Property Management Plans.

ARPA-E has developed a template for Intellectual Property Management Plans ([http://arpa-e.energy.gov/FundingAgreements/Overview.aspx](http://arpa-e.energy.gov/FundingAgreements/Overview.aspx)) so as to facilitate and expedite negotiations between Project Team members. ARPA-E does not mandate the use of this template. ARPA-E and DOE do not make any warranty (express or implied) or assume any liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the template. ARPA-E and DOE strongly encourage Project Teams to consult independent legal counsel before using the template.

8. **U.S. Manufacturing Requirement**

ARPA-E requires products embodying or produced through the use of subject inventions (i.e., inventions conceived or first actually reduced to practice under ARPA-E funding agreements) to be substantially manufactured in the United States by Project Teams and their licensees, as described below. The Applicant may request a modification or waiver of the U.S. Manufacturing Requirement.

a. **Small Businesses**

Small businesses (including Small Business Concerns) that are Prime Recipients or Subrecipients under ARPA-E funding agreements are required to substantially manufacture the following products in the United States for any use or sale in the United States: (1) products embodying subject inventions, and (2) products produced through the use of subject invention(s). This requirement does not apply to products that are manufactured for use or sale outside the U.S. A.

Small businesses must apply the same U.S. Manufacturing requirements to their assignees, licensees, and entities acquiring a controlling interest in the small business. Small businesses

---

43 Small businesses are generally defined as domestically incorporated entities that meet the criteria established by the U.S. Small Business Administration’s “Table of Small Business Size Standards Matched to North American Industry Classification System Codes” ([http://www.sba.gov/content/small-business-size-standards](http://www.sba.gov/content/small-business-size-standards)).
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must require their assignees and entities acquiring a controlling interest in the small business to apply the same U.S. Manufacturing requirements to their licensees.

b. **LARGE BUSINESSES AND FOREIGN ENTITIES**

Large businesses and foreign entities that are Prime Recipients or Subrecipients under ARPA-E funding agreements are required to substantially manufacture the following products in the United States: (1) products embodying subject inventions, and (2) products produced through the use of subject invention(s). This requirement applies to products that are manufactured for use or sale in the United States and outside the United States.

Large businesses and foreign entities must apply the same U.S. Manufacturing requirements to their assignees, licensees, and entities acquiring a controlling interest in the large business or foreign entity. Large businesses and foreign entities must require their assignees and entities acquiring a controlling interest in the large business or foreign entity to apply the same U.S. Manufacturing requirements to their licensees.

c. **EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTIONS AND NONPROFITS**

Domestic educational institutions and nonprofits that are Prime Recipients or Subrecipients under ARPA-E funding agreements must require their exclusive licensees to substantially manufacture the following products in the United States for any use or sale in the United States: (1) articles embodying subject inventions, and (2) articles produced through the use of subject invention(s). This requirement does not apply to articles that are manufactured for use or sale overseas.

Educational institutions and nonprofits must require their assignees to apply the same U.S. Manufacturing requirements to their exclusive licensees.

These U.S. Manufacturing requirements do not apply to nonexclusive licensees.

d. **FFRDCs and State and Local Government Entities**

FFRDCs and state and local government entities are subject to the same U.S. Manufacturing requirements as domestic educational institutions and nonprofits.

---

44 Large businesses are generally defined as domestically incorporated entities that do not meet the criteria established by the U.S. Small Business Administration’s “Table of Small Business Size Standards Matched to North American Industry Classification System Codes” ([http://www.sba.gov/content/small-business-size-standards](http://www.sba.gov/content/small-business-size-standards)).
9. **CORPORATE FELONY CONVICTIONS AND FEDERAL TAX LIABILITY**

In submitting an application in response to this FOA, the Applicant represents that:

- It is not a corporation that has been convicted of a felony criminal violation under any Federal law within the preceding 24 months; and

It is not a corporation that has any unpaid Federal tax liability that has been assessed, for which all judicial and administrative remedies have been exhausted or have lapsed, and that is not being paid in a timely manner pursuant to an agreement with the authority responsible for collecting the tax liability.

**C. REPORTING**

Recipients are required to submit periodic, detailed reports on technical, financial, and other aspects of the project, as described in Attachment 4 to ARPA-E’s Model Cooperative Agreement (http://arpa-e.energy.gov/FundingAgreements/CooperativeAgreements.aspx).

**VII. AGENCY CONTACTS**

**A. COMMUNICATIONS WITH ARPA-E**

Upon the issuance of a FOA ARPA-E personnel are prohibited from communicating (in writing or otherwise) with Applicants regarding the FOA. This “quiet period” remains in effect until ARPA-E’s public announcement of its project selections.

During the “quiet period,” Applicants are required to submit all questions regarding this FOA to ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov.

- ARPA-E will post responses on a weekly basis to any questions that are received. ARPA-E may re-phrase questions or consolidate similar questions for administrative purposes.

- ARPA-E will cease to accept questions approximately 5 business days in advance of each submission deadline. Responses to questions received before the cutoff will be posted approximately one business day in advance of the submission deadline. ARPA-E may re-phrase questions or consolidate similar questions for administrative purposes.

- Responses are posted to “Frequently Asked Questions” on ARPA-E’s website (http://arpa-e.energy.gov/faq).

**Questions about this FOA? Email ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov (with FOA name and number in subject line); see FOA Sec. VII.A. Problems with ARPA-E eXCHANGE? Email ExchangeHelp@hq.doe.gov (with FOA name and number in subject line).**
Applicants may submit questions regarding ARPA-E eXCHANGE, ARPA-E’s online application portal, to ExchangeHelp@hq.doe.gov. ARPA-E will promptly respond to emails that raise legitimate, technical issues with ARPA-E eXCHANGE. ARPA-E will refer any questions regarding the FOA to ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov.

ARPA-E will not accept or respond to communications received by other means (e.g., fax, telephone, mail, hand delivery). Emails sent to other email addresses will be disregarded.

During the “quiet period,” only the Contracting Officer may authorize communications between ARPA-E personnel and Applicants. The Contracting Officer may communicate with Applicants as necessary and appropriate. As described in Section IV.A of the FOA, the Contracting Officer may arrange pre-selection meetings and/or site visits during the “quiet period.”

B. DEBRIEFINGS

ARPA-E does not offer or provide debriefings. ARPA-E provides Applicants with a notification encouraging or discouraging the submission of a Full Application based on ARPA-E’s assessment of the Concept Paper. In addition, ARPA-E provides Applicants with reviewer comments on Full Applications before the submission deadline for Replies to Reviewer Comments.

VIII. OTHER INFORMATION

A. FOAS AND FOA MODIFICATIONS

FOAs are posted on ARPA-E eXCHANGE (https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov/), Grants.gov (http://www.grants.gov/), and FedConnect (https://www.fedconnect.net/FedConnect/). Any modifications to the FOA are also posted to these websites. You can receive an e-mail when a modification is posted by registering with FedConnect as an interested party for this FOA. It is recommended that you register as soon as possible after release of the FOA to ensure that you receive timely notice of any modifications or other announcements. More information is available at https://www.fedconnect.net.

B. OBLIGATION OF PUBLIC FUNDS

The Contracting Officer is the only individual who can make awards on behalf of ARPA-E or obligate ARPA-E to the expenditure of public funds. A commitment or obligation by any individual other than the Contracting Officer, either explicit or implied, is invalid.

ARPA-E awards may not be transferred, assigned, or assumed without the prior written consent of a Contracting Officer.

Questions about this FOA? Email ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov (with FOA name and number in subject line); see FOA Sec. VII.A.

Problems with ARPA-E eXCHANGE? Email ExchangeHelp@hq.doe.gov (with FOA name and number in subject line).
C. **Requirement for Full and Complete Disclosure**

Applicants are required to make a full and complete disclosure of the information requested in the Business Assurances & Disclosures Form. Disclosure of the requested information is mandatory. Any failure to make a full and complete disclosure of the requested information may result in:

- The rejection of a Concept Paper, Full Application, and/or Reply to Reviewer Comments;
- The termination of award negotiations;
- The modification, suspension, and/or termination of a funding agreement;
- The initiation of debarment proceedings, debarment, and/or a declaration of ineligibility for receipt of Federal contracts, subcontracts, and financial assistance and benefits; and
- Civil and/or criminal penalties.

D. **Retention of Submissions**

ARPA-E expects to retain copies of all Concept Papers, Full Applications, Replies to Reviewer Comments, and other submissions. No submissions will be returned. By applying to ARPA-E for funding, Applicants consent to ARPA-E’s retention of their submissions.

E. **Marking of Confidential Information**

ARPA-E will use data and other information contained in Concept Papers, Full Applications, and Replies to Reviewer Comments strictly for evaluation purposes. Applicants should not include confidential, proprietary, or privileged information in their Concept Papers, Full Applications, or Replies to Reviewer Comments unless such information is necessary to convey an understanding of the proposed project.

Concept Papers, Full Applications, Replies to Reviewer Comments, and other submissions containing confidential, proprietary, or privileged information must be marked as described below. Failure to comply with these marking requirements may result in the disclosure of the unmarked information under the Freedom of Information Act or otherwise. The U.S.
Government is not liable for the disclosure or use of unmarked information, and may use or disclose such information for any purpose.

The cover sheet of the Concept Paper, Full Application, Reply to Reviewer Comments, or other submission must be marked as follows and identify the specific pages containing confidential, proprietary, or privileged information:

**Notice of Restriction on Disclosure and Use of Data:**

Pages [___] of this document may contain confidential, proprietary, or privileged information that is exempt from public disclosure. Such information shall be used or disclosed only for evaluation purposes or in accordance with a financial assistance or loan agreement between the submitter and the Government. The Government may use or disclose any information that is not appropriately marked or otherwise restricted, regardless of source.

The header and footer of every page that contains confidential, proprietary, or privileged information must be marked as follows: “Contains Confidential, Proprietary, or Privileged Information Exempt from Public Disclosure.” In addition, every line and paragraph containing proprietary, privileged, or trade secret information must be clearly marked with double brackets or highlighting.

**F. Title to Subject Inventions**

Ownership of subject inventions is governed pursuant to the authorities listed below. Typically, either by operation of law or under the authority of a patent waiver, Prime Recipients and Subrecipients may elect to retain title to their subject inventions under ARPA-E funding agreements.

- **Domestic Small Businesses, Educational Institutions, and Nonprofits:** Under the Bayh-Dole Act (35 U.S.C. § 200 et seq.), domestic small businesses, educational institutions, and nonprofits may elect to retain title to their subject inventions. If they elect to retain title, they must file a patent application in a timely fashion.

- **All other parties:** The Federal Non Nuclear Energy Act of 1974, 42. U.S.C. 5908, provides that the Government obtains title to new inventions unless a waiver is granted (see below).

- **Class Waiver:** Under 42 U.S.C. § 5908, title to subject inventions vests in the U.S. Government and large businesses and foreign entities do not have the automatic right to elect to retain title to subject inventions. However, ARPA-E typically issues

Questions about this FOA? Email ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov (with FOA name and number in subject line); see FOA Sec. VII.A. Problems with ARPA-E eXCHANGE? Email ExchangeHelp@hq.doe.gov (with FOA name and number in subject line).
“class patent waivers” under which large businesses and foreign entities that meet certain stated requirements may elect to retain title to their subject inventions. If a large business or foreign entity elects to retain title to its subject invention, it must file a patent application in a timely fashion.

G. **GOVERNMENT RIGHTS IN SUBJECT INVENTIONS**

Where Prime Recipients and Subrecipients retain title to subject inventions, the U.S. Government retains certain rights.

1. **GOVERNMENT USE LICENSE**

The U.S. Government retains a nonexclusive, nontransferable, irrevocable, paid-up license to practice or have practiced for or on behalf of the United States any subject invention throughout the world. This license extends to contractors doing work on behalf of the Government.

2. **MARCH-IN RIGHTS**

The U.S. Government retains march-in rights with respect to all subject inventions. Through “march-in rights,” the Government may require a Prime Recipient or Subrecipient who has elected to retain title to a subject invention (or their assignees or exclusive licensees), to grant a license for use of the invention. In addition, the Government may grant licenses for use of the subject invention when Prime Recipients, Subrecipients, or their assignees and exclusive licensees refuse to do so.

The U.S. Government may exercise its march-in rights if it determines that such action is necessary under any of the four following conditions:

- The owner or licensee has not taken or is not expected to take effective steps to achieve practical application of the invention within a reasonable time;
- The owner or licensee has not taken action to alleviate health or safety needs in a reasonably satisfactory manner;
- The owner has not met public use requirements specified by Federal statutes in a reasonably satisfactory manner; or
- The U.S. Manufacturing requirement has not been met.

---

*Questions about this FOA? Email [ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov](mailto:ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov) (with FOA name and number in subject line); see FOA Sec. VII.A.*

*Problems with ARPA-E eXCHANGE? Email [ExchangeHelp@hq.doe.gov](mailto:ExchangeHelp@hq.doe.gov) (with FOA name and number in subject line).*
H. **Rights in Technical Data**

Data rights differ based on whether data is first produced under an award or instead was developed at private expense outside the award.

- **Background or “Limited Rights Data”:** The U.S. Government will not normally require delivery of technical data developed solely at private expense prior to issuance of an award, except as necessary to monitor technical progress and evaluate the potential of proposed technologies to reach specific technical and cost metrics.

- **Generated Data:** The U.S. Government normally retains very broad rights in technical data produced under Government financial assistance awards, including the right to distribute to the public. However, pursuant to special statutory authority, certain categories of data generated under ARPA-E awards may be protected from public disclosure for up to five years. Such data should be clearly marked as described in Section VIII.E of the FOA. In addition, invention disclosures may be protected from public disclosure for a reasonable time in order to allow for filing a patent application.

I. **Protected Personally Identifiable Information**

Applicants may not include any Protected Personally Identifiable Information (Protected PII) in their submissions to ARPA-E. Protected PII is defined as data that, if compromised, could cause harm to an individual such as identity theft. Listed below are examples of Protected PII that Applicants must not include in their submissions.

- Social Security Numbers in any form;
- Place of Birth associated with an individual;
- Date of Birth associated with an individual;
- Mother’s maiden name associated with an individual;
- Biometric record associated with an individual;
- Fingerprint;
- Iris scan;
- DNA;
- Medical history information associated with an individual;
- Medical conditions, including history of disease;
- Metric information, e.g. weight, height, blood pressure;
- Criminal history associated with an individual;
- Ratings;
- Disciplinary actions;
• Performance elements and standards (or work expectations) are PII when they are so intertwined with performance appraisals that their disclosure would reveal an individual’s performance appraisal;
• Financial information associated with an individual;
• Credit card numbers;
• Bank account numbers; and
• Security clearance history or related information (not including actual clearances held).

J. **ANNUAL COMPLIANCE AUDITS FOR FOR-PROFIT ENTITIES**

If a for-profit entity is a Prime Recipient or Subrecipient, an annual compliance audit performed by an independent auditor may be required. For additional information, please refer to 10 C.F.R. § 600.316 and for-profit audit guidance documents posted under the “Coverage of Independent Audits” heading at [http://energy.gov/management/office-management/operational-management/financial-assistance/financial-assistance-forms](http://energy.gov/management/office-management/operational-management/financial-assistance/financial-assistance-forms).
IX. **GLOSSARY**

**Applicant:** The entity that submits the application to ARPA-E. In the case of a Project Team, the Applicant is the lead organization listed on the application.

**Application:** The entire submission received by ARPA-E, including the Concept Paper, Full Application, and Reply to Reviewer Comments.

**ARPA-E:** Advanced Research Projects Agency-Energy.

**Cost Share:** The Prime Recipient share of the Total Project Cost.

**Deliverable:** A deliverable is the quantifiable goods or services that will be provided upon the successful completion of a project task or sub-task.

**DOE:** U.S. Department of Energy.

**DOE/NNSA:** U.S. Department of Energy/National Nuclear Security Administration

**FFRDCs:** Federally Funded Research and Development Centers.

**FOA:** Funding Opportunity Announcement.

**GOGOs:** U.S. Government-Owned, Government-Operated laboratories.

**Key Participant:** Any individual who would contribute in a substantive, measurable way to the execution of the proposed project.

**Milestone:** A milestone is the tangible, observable measurement that will be provided upon the successful completion of a project task or sub-task.

**Prime Recipient:** The signatory to the funding agreement with ARPA-E.

**PI:** Principal Investigator.

**Project Team:** A Project Team consists of the Prime Recipient, Subrecipients, and others performing or otherwise supporting work under an ARPA-E funding agreement.

**R&D:** Research and development.
**Standalone Applicant:** An Applicant that applies for funding on its own, not as part of a Project Team.

**Subject Invention:** Any invention conceived or first actually reduced to practice under an ARPA-E funding agreement.

**Task:** A task is an operation or segment of the work plan that requires both effort and resources. Each task (or sub-task) is connected to the overall objective of the project, via the achievement of a milestone or a deliverable.

**Total Project Cost:** The sum of the Prime Recipient share and the Federal Government share of total allowable costs. The Federal Government share generally includes costs incurred by GOGOs, FFRDCs, and GOCOs.

**TT&O:** Technology Transfer and Outreach. (See Section IV.G.8 of the FOA for more information).

*Questions about this FOA? Email ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov (with FOA name and number in subject line); see FOA Sec. VII.A.*

*Problems with ARPA-E eXCHANGE? Email ExchangeHelp@hq.doe.gov (with FOA name and number in subject line).*