FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE
FUNDING OPPORTUNITY ANNOUNCEMENT

ADVANCED RESEARCH PROJECTS AGENCY – ENERGY (ARPA-E)
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

HIGH INTENSITY THERMAL EXCHANGE THROUGH MATERIALS AND MANUFACTURING PROCESSES (HITEMMP) (SBIR/STTR)

Announcement Type: Modification 1
Funding Opportunity No. DE-FOA-0001972
CFDA Number 81.135

Funding Opportunity Announcement (FOA) Issue Date:	Thursday, August 9, 2018
First Deadline for Questions to ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov:	5 PM ET, Friday, August 31, 2018
Submission Deadline for Concept Papers:	9:30 AM ET, Wednesday, September 12, 2018
Second Deadline for Questions to ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov:	5 PM ET, Friday, November 30, 2018
Submission Deadline for Full Applications:	9:30 AM ET, Tuesday, December 11, 2018
Submission Deadline for Replies to Reviewer Comments:	5 PM ET, Friday, February 1, 2019
Expected Date for Selection Notifications:	March 2019
Total Amount to Be Awarded	Approximately $10 million, subject to the availability of appropriated funds.
Anticipated Awards	ARPA-E may issue one, multiple, or no awards under this FOA. Awards may vary between $245,928 and $3,632,974.

- For eligibility criteria, see Section III.A – III.D of the FOA.
- For cost share requirements under this FOA, see Section III.E of the FOA.
- To apply to this FOA, Applicants must register with and submit application materials through ARPA-E eXCHANGE (https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov/Registration.aspx). For detailed guidance on using ARPA-E eXCHANGE, see Section IV.H.1 of the FOA.
- Applicants are responsible for meeting each submission deadline. Applicants are strongly encouraged to submit their applications at least 48 hours in advance of the submission deadline.
- For detailed guidance on compliance and responsiveness criteria, see Sections III.F.1 through III.F.4 of the FOA.

Questions about this FOA? Check the Frequently Asked Questions available at http://arpa-e.energy.gov/faq. For questions that have not already been answered, email ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov (with FOA name and number in subject line); see FOA Sec. VII.A. Problems with ARPA-E eXCHANGE? Email ExchangeHelp@hq.doe.gov (with FOA name and number in subject line).

MODIFICATIONS

Mod. No.	Date	Description of Modifications
01	10/25/2018	• Inserted certain deadlines, including the deadlines for submitting questions and Full Applications. See Cover Page and Required Documents Checklist. • Revised the following sections of the FOA to provide guidance on required application forms and the content and form of Full Applications and Replies to Reviewer Comments: Required Documents Checklist and Sections I.E, IV.D, IV.E, and IV.G of the FOA. Applicants are strongly encouraged to use the templates provided on ARPA-E eXCHANGE (https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov). • Further clarified Program Metrics, see Section I.E of the FOA. • Revised Submissions Specifically Not of Interest, see Section III.F.3 • Inserted criteria that ARPA-E will use to evaluate Full Applications, see Section V.A.2 of the FOA. • Inserted criteria that ARPA-E will use to evaluate Replies to Reviewer Comments in Section V.A.3 of the FOA. • Inserted information on the anticipated announcement and award dates, see Section V.C of the FOA. • Inserted information concerning Full Application Notifications, see Section VI.A.3 of the FOA. • Inserted Administrative and National Policy Requirements, see Section VI.B of the FOA. • Inserted Reporting Requirements, see Section VI.C of the FOA.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. FUNDING OPPORTUNITY DESCRIPTION ..... - 8 -

A. AGENCY OVERVIEW ..... - 8 -
B. SBIR/STTR PROGRAM OVERVIEW ..... - 9 -
C. PROGRAM OVERVIEW ..... - 10 -
1. INTRODUCTION AND OBJECTIVES ..... - 10 -
2. MOTIVATION AND TECHNICAL BACKGROUND ..... - 11 -
D. DEFINITION OF TECHNICAL OPPORTUNITY AND CHALLENGES ..... - 13 -
E. TECHNICAL CATEGORIES AND PROGRAM METRICS ..... - 20 -

II. AWARD INFORMATION ..... - 25 -

A. AWARD OVERVIEW ..... - 25 -
B. ARPA-E FUNDING AGREEMENTS ..... - 25 -
C. STATEMENT OF SUBSTANTIAL INVOLVEMENT ..... - 26 -

III. ELIGIBILITY INFORMATION ..... - 27 -

A. ELIGIBLE APPLICANTS ..... - 27 -
1. SBIR ELIGIBILITY ..... - 27 -
2. STTR ELIGIBILITY ..... - 27 -
3. JOINT SBIR AND STTR ELIGIBILITY ..... - 28 -
B. ELIGIBLE SUBRECIPIENTS ..... - 28 -
1. RESEARCH INSTITUTIONS ..... - 28 -
2. OTHER PROJECT TEAM MEMBERS ..... - 28 -
C. ELIGIBLE PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATORS ..... - 29 -
1. SBIR ..... - 29 -
2. STTR ..... - 29 -
D. ELIGIBILITY OF PRIOR SBIR AND STTR AWARDEES: SBA BENCHMARKS ON PROGRESS TOWARDS COMMERCIALIZATION ..... - 30 -
E. COST SHARING ..... - 31 -
1. PHASE I ..... - 31 -
2. PHASE II AND IIS COST SHARE REQUIREMENT ..... - 31 -
3. LEGAL RESPONSIBILITY ..... - 32 -
4. COST SHARE ALLOCATION ..... - 32 -
5. COST SHARE TYPES AND ALLOWABILITY ..... - 32 -
6. COST SHARE CONTRIBUTIONS BY FFRDCS, GOGOS, DOMESTIC EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTIONS, AND DOMESTIC NONPROFITS ..... - 33 -
7. COST SHARE VERIFICATION ..... - 34 -
F. OTHER ..... - 34 -
1. COMPLIANT CRITERIA ..... - 34 -
2. RESPONSIVENESS CRITERIA ..... - 35 -
3. SUBMISSIONS SPECIFICALLY NOT OF INTEREST ..... - 36 -
4. LIMITATION ON NUMBER OF SUBMISSIONS ..... - 36 -

IV. APPLICATION AND SUBMISSION INFORMATION ..... - 37 -

A. APPLICATION PROCESS OVERVIEW ..... - 37 -
1. REGISTRATION IN SBA COMPANY REGISTRY ..... - 37 -

2. *Registration in ARPA-E eXCHANGE* ..... - 37 -
3. *Concept Papers* ..... - 37 -
4. *Full Applications* ..... - 38 -
5. *Reply to Reviewer Comments* ..... - 38 -
6. *Pre-Selection Clarifications and "Down-Select" Process* ..... - 38 -
7. *Selection for Award Negotiations* ..... - 39 -

B. Application Forms ..... - 39 -

C. Content and Form of Concept Papers ..... - 39 -

1. *Concept Paper* ..... - 40 -
A. *Concept Summary* ..... - 40 -
B. *Innovation and Impact* ..... - 40 -
C. *Proposed Work* ..... - 41 -
D. *Team Organization and Capabilities* ..... - 41 -

D. Content and Form of Full Applications ..... - 41 -

1. *First Component: Technical Volume* ..... - 43 -
2. *Second Component: SF-424* ..... - 44 -
3. *Third Component: Budget Justification Workbook/SF-424A* ..... - 45 -
4. *Fourth Component: Summary for Public Release* ..... - 46 -
5. *Fifth Component: Summary Slide* ..... - 46 -
6. *Sixth Component: SBA Registration Certificate* ..... - 47 -
7. *Seventh Component: Certification for Applicants Majority-Owned by Multiple Venture Capital Operating Companies, Hedge Funds, and Private Equity Firms* ..... - 47 -
8. *Eighth Component: Business Assurances & Disclosures Form* ..... - 47 -
9. *Ninth Component: U.S. Manufacturing Plan* ..... - 48 -

E. Content and Form of Replies to Reviewer Comments ..... - 49 -

F. Intergovernmental Review ..... - 50 -

G. Funding Restrictions ..... - 50 -

1. *Allowable Costs* ..... - 50 -
2. *Pre-Award Costs* ..... - 50 -
3. *Patent Costs* ..... - 51 -
4. *Construction* ..... - 51 -
5. *Foreign Travel* ..... - 51 -
6. *Performance of Work in the United States* ..... - 51 -
7. *Purchase of New Equipment* ..... - 52 -
8. *Lobbying* ..... - 52 -
9. *Conference Spending* ..... - 52 -
10. *Independent Research and Development Costs* ..... - 53 -

H. Other Submission Requirements ..... - 53 -

1. *Use of ARPA-E eXCHANGE* ..... - 53 -

V. APPLICATION REVIEW INFORMATION ..... - 55 -

A. Criteria ..... - 55 -

1. *Criteria for Concept Papers* ..... - 55 -
2. *Criteria for Full Applications* ..... - 56 -
3. *Criteria for Replies to Reviewer Comments* ..... - 57 -

B. Review and Selection Process ..... - 57 -

1. Program Policy Factors ..... *- 57 -*
2. ARPA-E Reviewers ..... *- 58 -*
3. ARPA-E Support Contractor ..... *- 58 -*
C. Anticipated Announcement and Award Dates ..... - 59 -

VI. AWARD ADMINISTRATION INFORMATION ..... - 60 -

A. Award Notices ..... - 60 -
1. Rejected Submissions ..... *- 60 -*
2. Concept Paper Notifications ..... *- 60 -*
3. Full Application Notifications ..... *- 60 -*
B. Administrative and National Policy Requirements ..... - 61 -
1. DUNS Number and SAM, FSRS, and FedConnect Registrations ..... *- 62 -*
2. National Policy Assurances ..... *- 62 -*
3. Proof of Cost Share Commitment and Allowability ..... *- 62 -*
4. Cost Share Payments ..... *- 63 -*
5. Environmental Impact Questionnaire ..... *- 64 -*
6. Technology-to-Market Plan ..... *- 64 -*
7. Intellectual Property and Data Management Plans ..... *- 64 -*
8. U.S. Manufacturing Requirement ..... *- 65 -*
9. Corporate Felony Convictions and Federal Tax Liability ..... *- 67 -*
10. Applicant Risk Analysis ..... *- 67 -*
11. Recipient Integrity and Performance Matters ..... *- 67 -*
12. Nondisclosure and Confidentiality Agreements Representations ..... *- 68 -*
C. Reporting ..... - 69 -

VII. AGENCY CONTACTS ..... - 70 -

A. Communications with ARPA-E ..... - 70 -
B. Debriefings ..... - 71 -

VIII. OTHER INFORMATION ..... - 72 -

A. Title to Subject Inventions ..... - 72 -
B. Government Rights in Subject Inventions ..... - 73 -
1. Government Use License ..... *- 73 -*
2. March-In Rights ..... *- 73 -*
C. Rights in Technical Data ..... - 73 -
D. Protected Personally Identifiable Information ..... - 74 -
E. FOAs and FOA Modifications ..... - 75 -
F. Obligation of Public Funds ..... - 75 -
G. Requirement for Full And Complete Disclosure ..... - 75 -
H. Retention of Submissions ..... - 75 -
I. Marking of Confidential Information ..... - 76 -
J. Additional Notices ..... - 76 -
K. Compliance Audit Requirement ..... - 77 -

IX. GLOSSARY ..... - 78 -

REQUIRED DOCUMENTS CHECKLIST

For an overview of the application process, see Section IV.A of the FOA.

For guidance regarding requisite application forms, see Section IV.B of the FOA.

For guidance regarding the content and form of Concept Papers, Full Applications, and Replies to Reviewer Comments, see Sections IV.C, IV.D, and IV.E of the FOA.

SUBMISSION	COMPONENTS	OPTIONAL/ MANDATORY	FOA SECTION	DEADLINE
Concept Paper	• Each Applicant must submit a Concept Paper in Adobe PDF format by the stated deadline. The Concept Paper must not exceed four (4) pages in length including graphics, figures, and/or tables and must include the following. (Table 1, the performance targets table, provided in the Concept Paper template, will not count as part of the 4 pages.) ○ Concept Summary ○ Innovation and Impact ○ Proposed Work ○ Team Organization and Capabilities ○ Table 1: Performance Targets	Mandatory	IV.C	9:30 AM ET, Wednesday, September 12, 2018
Full Application	• Each Applicant must submit a Technical Volume in Adobe PDF format by the stated deadline. Applicants may use the Technical Volume template available on ARPA-E eXCHANGE (https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov). The Technical Volume must include the following (Table 1, Program Metrics table, provided in the Full Application template, will not count as part of the 20 pages.): ○ Executive Summary (1 page max.) ○ Sections 1-5 (20 pages max.) • 1. Innovation and Impact • 2. Proposed Work • 3. Team Organization and Capabilities • 4. Technology to Market • 5. Budget ○ Bibliographic References (no page limit) ○ Personal Qualification Summaries (each PQS limited to 3 pages in length, no cumulative page limit) ○ Table 1: Program Metrics (2 pages max.) • The Technical Volume must be accompanied by: ○ SF-424 (no page limit, Adobe PDF format); ○ Budget Justification Workbook/SF424A (no page limit, Microsoft Excel format)	Mandatory	IV.D	9:30 AM ET, Tuesday, December 11, 2018

	○ Summary for Public Release (250 words max., Adobe PDF format); ○ Summary Slide (1 page limit, Microsoft PowerPoint format) – Applicants may use the Summary Slide template available on ARPA-E eXCHANGE (https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov); ○ SBA Company Registration Certificate generated in the SBA Company Registry (http://sbir.gov/registration) (Adobe PDF format); ~~○~~ If applicable, Certification for Applicants Majority-Owned by Multiple Venture Capital Operating Companies, Hedge Funds, or Private Equity Firms Applicants may use the SBIR VCOC Certification template available on ARPA-E eXCHANGE, (https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov) (Adobe PDF format); ○ Completed and signed Business Assurances & Disclosures Form (no page limit, Adobe PDF format). U.S. Manufacturing Plan (1 page limit, Adobe PDF format)			
Reply to Reviewer Comments	• Each Applicant may submit a Reply to Reviewer Comments in Adobe PDF format. This submission is optional. Applicants may use the Reply to Reviewer Comments template available on ARPA-E eXCHANGE (https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov). The Reply may include: ○ Up to 2 pages of text; and ○ Up to 1 page of images.	Optional	IV.E	5 PM ET, Friday, February 1, 2019

I. FUNDING OPPORTUNITY DESCRIPTION

A. AGENCY OVERVIEW

The Advanced Research Projects Agency – Energy (ARPA-E), an organization within the Department of Energy (DOE), is chartered by Congress in the America COMPETES Act of 2007 (P.L. 110-69), as amended by the America COMPETES Reauthorization Act of 2010 (P.L. 111-358) to:

"(A) to enhance the economic and energy security of the United States through the development of energy technologies that result in—
(i) reductions of imports of energy from foreign sources;
(ii) reductions of energy-related emissions, including greenhouse gases; and
(iii) improvement in the energy efficiency of all economic sectors; and
(B) to ensure that the United States maintains a technological lead in developing and deploying advanced energy technologies."

ARPA-E issues this Funding Opportunity Announcement (FOA) under the programmatic authorizing statute codified at 42 U.S.C. § 16538. The FOA and any awards made under this FOA are subject to 2 C.F.R. Part 200 as amended by 2 C.F.R. Part 910.

ARPA-E funds research on and the development of high-potential, high-impact energy technologies that are too early for private-sector investment. The agency focuses on technologies that can be meaningfully advanced with a modest investment over a defined period of time in order to catalyze the translation from scientific discovery to early-stage technology. For the latest news and information about ARPA-E, its programs and the research projects currently supported, see: http://arpa-e.energy.gov/.

ARPA-E funds transformational research. Existing energy technologies generally progress on established "learning curves" where refinements to a technology and the economies of scale that accrue as manufacturing and distribution develop drive down the cost/performance metric in a gradual fashion. This continual improvement of a technology is important to its increased commercial deployment and is appropriately the focus of the private sector and it can be spurred by early-stage R&D supported by the applied energy offices in DOE. By contrast, ARPA-E supports high-risk, potentially transformative research that has the potential to create fundamentally new learning curves. ARPA-E R&D projects typically start with cost/performance estimates for the proposed technology that are well above the level of the competitive incumbent technology. Given the high risk inherent in these projects, many will fail to progress, but some may succeed in generating a new learning curve with a projected cost/performance metric that is significantly lower than that of the incumbent technology.

ARPA-E funds technology with the potential to be disruptive in the marketplace. The mere creation of a new learning curve does not ensure market penetration. Rather, the ultimate value of a technology is determined by the marketplace, and impactful technologies ultimately

become disruptive – that is, they are widely adopted and displace existing technologies from the marketplace or create entirely new markets. ARPA-E understands that definitive proof of market disruption takes time, particularly for energy technologies. Therefore, ARPA-E funds the development of technologies that, if technically successful, have the clear disruptive potential, e.g., by demonstrating capability for manufacturing at competitive cost and deployment at scale.

ARPA-E funds applied research and development. The Office of Management and Budget defines "applied research" as an "original investigation undertaken in order to acquire new knowledge…directed primarily towards a specific practical aim or objective" and defines "experimental development" as "creative and systematic work, drawing on knowledge gained from research and practical experience, which is directed at producing new products or processes or improving existing products or processes."[1] Applicants interested in receiving financial assistance for basic research should contact the DOE's Office of Science (http://science.energy.gov/). Office of Science national scientific user facilities (http://science.energy.gov/user-facilities/) are open to all researchers, including ARPA-E Applicants and awardees. These facilities provide advanced tools of modern science including accelerators, colliders, supercomputers, light sources and neutron sources, as well as facilities for studying the nanoworld, the environment, and the atmosphere. Projects focused on early-stage R&D for the improvement of technology along defined roadmaps may be more appropriate for support through the DOE applied energy offices including: the Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy (http://www.eere.energy.gov/), the Office of Fossil Energy (http://fossil.energy.gov/), the Office of Nuclear Energy (http://www.energy.gov/ne/office-nuclear-energy), and the Office of Electricity Delivery and Energy Reliability (http://energy.gov/oe/office-electricity-delivery-and-energy-reliability).

B. SBIR/STTR PROGRAM OVERVIEW

The Small Business Innovation Research (SBIR) and Small Business Technology Transfer (STTR) programs are Government-wide programs authorized under Section 9 of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. § 638). The objectives of the SBIR program are to (1) stimulate technological innovation in the private sector, (2) strengthen the role of Small Business Concerns in meeting Federal R&D needs, (3) increase private sector commercialization of innovations derived from Federal R&D activities, (4) foster and encourage participation by socially and economically disadvantaged and women-owned Small Business Concerns, and (5) improve the return on investment from Federally funded research and economic benefits to the Nation. The objective of the STTR program is to stimulate cooperative partnerships of ideas and technologies

[1] OMB Circular A-11 (https://www.whitehouse.gov/wp-content/uploads/2018/06/a11_web_toc.pdf), Section 84, pg. 3.

between Small Business Concerns and partnering Research Institutions through Federally funded R&D activities.[2]

ARPA-E administers a joint SBIR/STTR program in accordance with the Small Business Act and the SBIR and STTR Policy Directives issued by the U.S. Small Business Administration (SBA).[3] ARPA-E provides SBIR/STTR funding in three phases (Phase I, Phase II, and Phase IIS).

C. PROGRAM OVERVIEW

1. INTRODUCTION AND OBJECTIVES

The HITEMMP (High Intensity Thermal Exchange through Materials, and Manufacturing Processes) program will develop novel approaches and technologies for design topologies, materials, and manufacturing of high temperature, high pressure, and highly compact heat exchangers. These heat exchangers will enable efficient and power dense power generation cycles for applications in transportation, electricity generation, and industrial sectors. If successful, the materials and manufacturing advances from the HITEMMP program may also yield broader benefits in other operating regimes, and in applications beyond heat exchangers and power cycles.

The HITEMMP program targets heat exchangers to operate in environments where temperatures and pressures are simultaneously in excess of 800°C and 80 bar, with operating lifetimes of tens of thousands of hours. These heat exchangers must offer superior thermal performance and low pumping power requirements, and must also be cost competitive and durable (per metrics prescribed in Section I.E of the FOA). These performance goals are beyond the capability of any existing technologies, but ARPA-E believes that recent advances in materials, topological design methodologies, and manufacturing technologies can be leveraged to realize the desired extreme-environment heat exchanger capability. Specific developments include:

- The identification and development of materials capable of withstanding extreme temperature and pressure conditions while featuring attractive thermo-mechanical and manufacturability properties;
- Advances in additive and/or subtractive manufacturing techniques to enable the cost-effective realization of small structural feature sizes, smooth surface finishes, and other enabling heat exchanger characteristics; and
- The refinement and application of advanced design methodologies to leverage new material capabilities while incorporating manufacturing constraints.

[2] Research Institutions include FFRDCs, nonprofit educational institutions, and other nonprofit research organizations owned and operated exclusively for scientific purposes. Eligible Research Institutions must maintain a place of business in the United States, operate primarily in the United States, or make a significant contribution to the U.S. economy through the payment of taxes or use of American products, materials, or labor.

[3] *See* 77 Fed. Reg. 46806 (Aug. 6, 2012), as amended by 79 Fed. Reg.1303 (Jan. 8, 2014) 77 Fed. Reg. 46855 (Aug. 6, 2012), as amended by 79 Fed. Reg. 1309 (Jan. 8, 2014).

ARPA-E has issued this FOA to encourage the formation of multi-disciplinary teams to work to overcome the materials, design, and manufacturing technology barriers that have thus far prevented the realization of catalyzing the development of the desired extreme environment heat exchanger capability. ARPA-E has identified two categories of recuperator-type heat exchangers (> 800°C and > 1100°C, corresponding to metallic and to ceramic/composite materials sets, respectively) as challenge problems.

Each category has performance metrics, as described in Section I.E of this FOA. Applicants are expected to select one of the two categories. In each category, ARPA-E anticipates that teams will initially execute an analytical/computational design effort, will reduce key risks through small-scale heat exchanger module experiments, and will demonstrate a heat exchanger with the desired performance and durability at 50 kW thermal (kW_{th}) scale.

2. MOTIVATION AND TECHNICAL BACKGROUND

The objective of the HITEMMP program is to develop the design topologies, materials, and manufacturing methods for high-performance, compact, and durable heat exchangers for extreme environments. These next-generation heat exchangers must overcome two general challenges:

a) Achievable heat transfer rates (per unit mass or unit volume of the heat exchanger) are limited by the structure of the heat exchanger cores--including optimum fluid flow distribution on both the hot and cold sides--and by thermal resistance associated with the way heat sources and sinks are connected to each other.
b) Integration into larger (e.g., power generation) systems; this integration must be accomplished without compromising the performance of the heat exchanger and system through excessive pressure drops and/or thermal or other parasitic losses.

To overcome these challenges, innovative topological designs that consider both the detailed freeform optimization of the heat exchanger structure, and the suitable selection of advanced manufacturable materials and working fluid combinations for the desired range of operating conditions are needed. Equally important is the further refinement of existing, or the development of new manufacturing technologies that enable attractive performance at an acceptable cost. The opportunities and challenges offered by such heat exchangers are discussed in the remainder of this section.

***Opportunities and challenges with high temperatures*:** From an ideal thermodynamic performance perspective, the efficiency of a heat engine increases with increasing hot-side cycle temperature at a fixed cold-side cycle temperature. Consequently, the steady drive for higher efficiency electric power generation cycles mandates a continued progression of hot-side (i.e., peak cycle) temperatures. In the case of closed Brayton cycle power generation systems (e.g., sCO_2 systems), cycle efficiencies are limited by the abilities of turbines and heat

exchangers (upstream and downstream of the turbine) to survive for adequate durations in their high temperature (and pressure) operating environments. Specifically, heat exchanger operational temperatures are limited by oxidation rates, thermal stresses, creep, and fatigue.

If these limitations can be overcome, durable and affordable high-temperature heat exchangers could lead to substantially higher power conversion efficiencies that could yield reduced fuel consumption, reduced system footprint (thus reduced capital and operation costs), and reduced CO_2 and NO_x emissions, among other benefits.

Opportunities and challenges with high operating pressures: In a typical, simple Brayton power generation cycle, the cycle pressure ratio has a direct influence on thermal efficiency. It is well established that the thermal efficiency, η_B, of an ideal open Brayton cycle increases with the pressure ratio, defined as the ratio of the compressor discharge pressure (the highest pressure in the cycle) over the intake (or ambient) pressure:

$$\eta_B = 1 - \left(\frac{P_d}{P_0}\right)^{\frac{1-\gamma}{\gamma}},$$

where P_d is the compressor discharge pressure, P_0 is the intake pressure, and γ is the heat capacity ratio, (e.g., taken at 1.4 for air under ideal gas conditions). Since inception of the gas-turbine technology in the early 1940s, the trend in both aerospace and land-based power generation applications has been to increase pressure ratio[4] combined with increased Turbine Inlet Temperature (TIT).[5,6] Increasing the operating pressure increases the compressor discharge pressure, leading to an increased power density for the power generation system. This leads to appreciable reduction in size/footprint and capital as well as operational cost savings.

The operating fluid is a major determinant of what cycle pressure can be achieved. For example, use of certain fluids with proper molecular weight and under high pressure conditions can result in further elevated power density levels thus yielding component/system size reductions and additional associated benefits. These benefits are particularly attractive in applications, such as the aviation sector, that place a premium on system mass and volume. The renewed interest in fluids like supercritical CO_2 (sCO_2), supercritical helium (sHe), and others is a direct reflection of the advantages these working fluids offer. In general, the use of supercritical working fluids such as sCO_2 and sHe can reduce the size and complexity of turbomachinery components needed when compared to a Rankine steam cycle with the same power rating. The heat exchangers developed under HITEMMP will enable dramatic improvements in the efficiency and power density for power cycles, and ultimately, the choice

[4] Rohlik, H. E. (1983). Current and future technology in radial and axial gas turbines, NASA TM 83414.
[5] Trent XWB, The world's most efficient large aero engine. Retrieved July 23, 2018, from Rolls-Royce website: http://www.rolls-royce.com/~/media/Files/R/Rolls-Royce/documents/civil-aerospace-downloads/trent-xwb-infographic.pdf
[6] The GE90 Engine. Retrieved July 23, 2018, from GE Aviation website: https://www.geaviation.com/commercial/engines/ge90-engine

of an optimum cycle design is directly affected by the choice of its working fluid. Regardless of the working fluid selected, simultaneous application of high-temperature and high-pressure conditions present specific materials and manufacturing challenges that are more specifically addressed in Section I.D below.

D. DEFINITION OF TECHNICAL OPPORTUNITY AND CHALLENGES

Figure 1 shows a summary on the state of the art (SOA) and ongoing development in heat exchangers with respect to operating temperature and pressure. As illustrated in Figure 1, the range of operating temperatures and pressures sought in HITEMMP are beyond the goals for existing development efforts. For example, current high pressure (defined at 300 bar) heat exchangers operate at moderate temperatures (around 500°C). Research programs such as the Department of Energy Supercritical Transformational Electric Power (STEP) contribute to the development of components for operating temperatures up to 700-750°C.



Figure 1: Operating temperatures and pressures for SOA and developmental heat exchangers compared with ARPA-E HITEMMP goals. The colored dots represent the desired operating conditions of several different potential applications.

Conversely, there is a class of commercial state-of-the-art heat exchangers that can operate at relatively high temperatures (~800°C or higher) but are restricted to low pressures (a few bars) due to structural limitations. While material creep is the main challenge at high pressures (and aggravated at higher temperatures), oxidation and thermal fatigue are also challenges presented at higher temperatures. Simultaneous high-temperature and high-pressure conditions introduce a new class of challenges that are to be overcome in the current program.

Applications and impact for high temperature, high pressure operation

As shown in Figure 1, among other applications, the aviation industry can benefit from the existence of heat exchangers capable of operating in extreme conditions. One example of this application is the nascent field of hybrid aviation. It is envisioned that high power density systems for onboard electricity production operating at substantially higher pressures and temperatures will require durable heat exchangers capable of operating at these conditions while offering sufficient compactness, low pressure drop, low weight, and excellent thermal cycling endurance. The envisioned power/propulsion system for hybrid aircraft may replace existing power generators and improve not only the turbogenerator efficiency but also the overall aircraft efficiency (or specific fuel consumption) by enabling disruptive propulsion architectures. This paradigm shift could potentially reduce fuel burn up to 20 percent[7] compared to the current state of the art. To be competitive, these power systems, and by extension their heat exchangers, must offer very high specific power–the ratio of power delivered by the system over its weight. Low weight and small volume requirements drive the need for highly compact heat exchangers in aviation. Additionally, in order to be commercially viable, the cost of such heat exchangers must be appropriate for the performance and value proposition that they enable, and support a path to commercialization for the selected application area.

Advanced nuclear power is another area that would benefit from high-performance heat exchangers. Several Generation IV conceptual designs,[8] such as the Very High Temperature Reactor (VHTR)[9] and the Molten Salt Reactor (MSR),[10] are envisioned to drive closed Brayton power cycles at high temperatures via intermediate heat exchangers. While the operating pressures of such systems are thought to be less extreme than those typically encountered in power generation systems using fluids such as supercritical CO_2 (e.g., the VHTR can operate with helium at around 70 bars[11]), these applications would benefit from the technical advances sparked by this program. Additionally, compactness and durability are key characteristics as nuclear applications require extreme reliability and a reduced, compact foot print may directly translate to a more contained system and thus reduced operational and capital costs.

---

[7] National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. (2016). *Commercial aircraft propulsion and energy systems research: reducing global carbon emissions.* National Academies Press. https://doi.org/10.17226/23490

[8] Behar, C. (2014, January). Technology roadmap update for generation IV nuclear energy systems. In *OECD Nuclear Energy Agency for the Generation IV International Forum*(Vol. 17, No. 2018, pp. 19-52-).

[9] Very-High-Temperature Reactor (VHTR). Retrieved July 23, 2018, from GEN IV International Forum website: https://www.gen-4.org/gif/jcms/c_42153/very-high temperature-reactor-vhtr

[10] Molten Salt Reactor (MSR). Retrieved July 23, 2018, from GEN IV International Forum website: https://www.gen-4.org/gif/jcms/c_9359/msr

[11] Generation IV Nuclear Reactors. Retrieved July 23, 2018, from World Nuclear Association website: http://www.world-nuclear.org/information-library/nuclear-fuel-cycle/nuclear-power-reactors/generation-iv-nuclear-reactors.aspx

Performance and design challenges for high temperature, high pressure heat exchangers

Pressure drop: As discussed in the previous section, a key performance parameter is the pressure drop across the heat exchanger. In most applications, a large pressure drop across the heat exchanger requires higher pumping power, imposing a penalty on the primary system performance. The power requirement for pumping the fluid between two points in a fluid flow system is given as:

$$Pumping\ Power = \dot{V}\,\Delta P\ ,$$

where $\dot{V}$ represents volumetric flow rate [m^3/s] and ΔP represents total pressure difference between the two points. For a heat exchanger, $\dot{V}$ represents the required fluid flow rate in the hot and cold sides of the heat exchanger to deliver the required capacity. The pressure difference, ΔP [Pa], represents the sum of static and dynamic losses in the system. For a heat exchanger, dynamic losses are associated with factors like optimum velocities in the hot and cold side flow passages, balancing the additional heat transfer gains associated with higher fluid flow velocities against the corresponding rise in pressure drops.

Typically, at a given Reynolds number, increased roughness leads to higher pressure drop. As such, it is important to control it. The roughness, ε—or more accurately, the relative roughness, ε/D_h—is a characteristic of the surface finish, controlled by the different manufacturing processes involved in the fabrication of the surface.

The heat duty, Q, which represents the heat exchanged from the hot side to the cold side of a heat exchanger, is a function of the temperature difference between the two sides (ΔT), the overall heat transfer coefficient (U), and the heat transfer area (A) via the relation:

$$Q = UAF\Delta T,$$

where ΔT is typically the logarithmic mean temperature difference (LMTD) and F is the configuration factor, which is unity for parallel and counterflow configurations. One way to increase heat duty is to improve the heat transfer area.

An important heat exchanger design feature is compactness (β), which represents the ability to transfer heat between two fluids in a given footprint and is a measure of the surface area density of a heat exchanger, m^2/m^3. Compactness and desirable pumping power requirements are often achieved through a combination of optimum design topologies, distribution of fluid in both the hot and cold sides, creation of additional heat transfer surface area per unit volume through use of micro structures and/or engineered surface features, enhanced mixing of the flow in both the hot and cold sides, and minimal resistances between the hot and cold sides to enhance heat exchange rates.

Materials Challenges: Given the extreme conditions of the simultaneous high temperatures and high pressures sought in this program, material selection and proper characterization are important to the economical design and manufacturability of the heat exchanger. Materials

selected for a heat exchanger are typically based on a combination of mechanical properties (e.g., strength, creep resistance, fatigue), thermal properties (e.g., thermal expansion, thermal conductivity), chemical properties (e.g., oxidation, corrosion), manufacturability (e.g., machining, joining), and cost.

Material selection may depend upon the heat exchanger design and its targeted application. For example, a design that requires moderately high temperatures and pressures can use lower-cost materials (such as SS347) with inferior creep properties in place of the expensive alloys (e.g., Ni-based alloys) required in extremely high pressure and/or high temperature applications. In addition, applications such as those in the aerospace sector may be sensitive to the overall weight and shape/conformity of the heat exchanger.

Materials challenges for the current program include the use of materials capable of withstanding extreme temperature and pressure conditions while featuring attractive thermo-mechanical and manufacturability properties. As shown in Figures 2 and 3, the strength of most commonly-known metals decreases with increasing temperature. For a heat exchanger, the additional requirement of a thin-walled fin structure to promote the UA value adds to the complexity of the material selection. For example, creep properties of thin-walled components (~100 µm) are often different from those of respective bulk materials. Depending on the operating temperature and pressure, high temperature materials can vary from ferritic steels to Ni-based alloys and ceramics. However, there is a substantial cost increase as one shifts from materials such as ferritic steel to Ni-based alloys.[12] Additional details on these challenges may be found in "Recent Developments in High Temperature Heat Exchangers: A Review."[13]

[12] Brun, K., Friedman, P., & Dennis, R. (Eds.) (2017). *Fundamentals and applications of supercritical carbon dioxide (sCO2) based power cycles*. Woodhead Publishing.

[13] Zhang, X., Keramati, H., Arie, M., Singer, F., Tiwari, R., Shooshstari, A., & Ohadi, M. (2018). Recent Developments in High Temperature Heat Exchangers: A Review. *Frontiers in Heat and Mass Transfer*, Vol. 11.



Figure 2: Temperature ranges for heat exchanger materials (adapted from[14]).

[14] Thulukkanam, K. (2013). *Heat exchanger design handbook*. CRC Press.



Figure 3: Strengths of various iron- and nickel-based alloys showing that most of the materials lose strength at higher temperatures (adapted from[12,15]).

In addition to the high temperature and pressure requirements, the desired heat exchangers present unique challenges in materials and manufacturing due to the difficulty of finding the optimum balance between enhanced heat transfer rates without excessive pressure drop penalties and high heat exchanger effectiveness with desirable volumetric and gravimetric power densities. These interrelated materials and manufacturing challenges are discussed below.

Manufacturing challenges and overall performance expectations: The class of materials currently most suitable for the extreme temperature and pressure conditions sought in the current program—including superalloys, ceramics, and carbon matrix composites—are difficult to process, machine, weld, and braze due to their higher toughness, low thermal conductivity, and tendency to crack during welding or the unavailability of suitable brazing materials.[16,17,18]

---

[15] Chordia, L., Portnoff, M. A., & Green, E. (2017). *High Temperature Heat Exchanger Design and Fabrication for Systems with Large Pressure Differentials* (No. DE-FE0024012). Thar Energy, LLC, Pittsburgh, PA (United States).
[16] Steel, M. (2016, September 29). *4 Inconel Machining Tips You Need to Know*. Retrieved July 23, 2018, from https://www.marlinwire.com/blog/inconel-machining-tips
[17] Considerations when Machining Nickel Alloys. (2015, February 10). Retrieved July 23, 2018, from Continental Steel & Tube Company website: https://continentalsteel.com/blog/considerations-when-machining-nickel-alloys/
[18] David, S. A., Siefert, J. A., DuPont, J. N., & Shingledecker, J. P. (2015). Weldability and weld performance of candidate nickel base superalloys for advanced ultrasupercritical fossil power plants part I: fundamentals. *Science and Technology of Welding and Joining*, *20*(7), 532-552.

Specialized equipment and highly-trained operators are often needed to process such materials.

Advances in additive manufacturing (AM), or three-dimensional (3D) printing techniques have broadened the heat exchanger design space by enabling cost-effective manufacturing of design topologies and small-scale features, less than 200 µm. Additive manufacturing also enables low-cost and rapid design customization and shorter time to market, and may eliminate traditional economy-of-scale constraints. Such manufacturing technologies, which build up metal components layer-by-layer to improve both heat transfer features and design compactness, are attractive for manufacturing high temperature, high pressure heat exchangers, whose materials can be difficult to machine.

One major challenge associated with AM is the large surface roughness that is inherent with most of the state-of-the-art metal printing of materials to high-temperature, high-pressure heat exchangers.[19] As an example, the common roughness values reported for the Direct Metal Laser Sintering (DMLS) process range between 5 – 50 µm.[20] This elevated roughness translates to increased pressure drop in an additively-manufactured heat exchanger and a departure from smooth pipe behavior.[21][20]. The increased roughness is exacerbated by the small diameters of the hydraulic channels in compact heat exchangers, causing disproportionate increases in relative roughness.

To reap the benefit of advanced manufacturing technologies for these heat exchangers, new design topologies, manufacturing processes, and advancements in manufacturing machinery are needed. While new developments in advanced manufacturing techniques, such as additive manufacturing and photochemical etching processes, and the use of specialized bonding techniques have overcome some of the challenges faced by conventional manufacturing, substantial challenges remain. To overcome these challenges, innovative designs, materials, and manufacturing techniques must work together collectively, as shown in Figure 4.

[19] Ngo, T. D., Kashani, A., Imbalzano, G., Nguyen, K. T., & Hui, D. (2018). Additive manufacturing (3D printing): A review of materials, methods, applications and challenges. *Composites Part B: Engineering, 143, pp-172-196*
[20] Stimpson, C. K., Snyder, J. C., Thole, K. A., & Mongillo, D. (2016). Roughness effects on flow and heat transfer for additively manufactured channels. *Journal of Turbomachinery*, *138*(5)
[21] *See* Ngo et.al., *supra* note 19.



Figure 4: Nexus Design/Material/Manufacturing.

Among the most important aspects of this program are design for manufacturability, utilization of advanced design topologies, and advanced manufacturing techniques. To achieve the broader goals of this FOA, ARPA-E requires that a dedicated and specifically-budgeted portion of the efforts will focus on enhancing the capabilities of materials and manufacturing machines and processes. This includes aspects such as applicable fabrication process monitoring strategies in support of enhanced surface finish quality, manufacturing time, and minimization of post processing requirements.

E. TECHNICAL CATEGORIES AND PROGRAM METRICS

The HITEMMP FOA includes two technical categories, defined by materials and the hot-side temperature of the high temperature recuperator to be developed. The metrics in Table 1 constitute a set of design requirements for a high temperature recuperator in a typical Recuperated Closed Brayton Cycle. **Applicants must complete Table 1 and provide the required information for all of the 19 requested entries.** The information provided may serve as the basis to evaluate the merit of the proposed technology. Therefore, it is critical that each entry contains respective complete and accurate information sought.

As noted in Table 1, ARPA-E requires each applicant to include mandatory testing of the its heat exchanger prototype in the proposed project work. The testing will validate the proposed technology. Applicants must detail in the Full Application the team composition, assigned roles, and test loop capabilities. As such, Applicants need to provide a budget for the testing.

ARPA-E also requires Applicants to include mandatory material characterization of the proposed heat exchanger. This must include a thorough evaluation of the heat exchanger based on the various tests performed by the team . Applicants must detail in the Full Application the team composition, assigned roles, and material characterization capabilities. As

such, Applicants need to provide a budget for the material characterization. Additional details for each entry are provided in Table 1.

Table 1: Program Metrics for Category A: Metallic-based Structures (Hot-side Temperature of > 800°C) and Category B: Ceramic-based or other composite structures (Hot side Temperature > 1,100°C)

ID	Metric Name	Category A Target (Metallic-based structures)	Category B Target (Ceramic-based or other composite structures)	Description and rationale
1	Targeted application(s)			For use in high efficiency, high temperature and pressure modular power generation systems as a recuperator for an application area(s) defined by Applicant (e.g., aviation, modular power, or industrial). Applicants must specify their chosen application area and the selected category (A or B). Your design parameters such as flow rates, power density, and other relevant metrics should be consistent with the selected application area and the chosen category.
2	Hot-side inlet temperature	≥ 800°C	≥ 1,100°C	Must specify your selected hot-side inlet temperature.
3	Hot-side inlet pressure	≥ 80 bar	≥ 80 bar	Must specify your selected hot-side inlet pressure.
4	Cold-side inlet temperature	300°C	300°C	This is a fixed design parameter to be used by all applicants, regardless of the application category selected and/or other design parameters.
5	Cold-side inlet pressure	≥ 250 bar	≥ 250 bar	Must specify your selected cold-side inlet pressure.
6	Hot-side pressure drop, $(\Delta P/P_{inlet})_{,hot}$	≤ 2%	≤ 4%	Must specify your design's hot-side pressure drop.
7	Cold-side pressure drop, $(\Delta P/P_{inlet})_{,cold}$	≤ 2%	≤ 4%	Must specify your design's cold-side pressure drop.

ID	Metric Name	Category A Target (Metallic-based structures)	Category B Target (Ceramic-based or other composite structures)	Description and rationale
8	Hot-side mass flow rate	kg/sec	kg/sec	Left to the designer's choice. **However, the mass flow rate of the hot-side and the cold-side must be taken as equal** to represent the case of a high temperature recuperator in a recuperated closed Brayton cycle.
9	Cold-side mass flow rate	kg/sec	kg/sec	Left to the designer's choice. **However, the mass flow rate of the hot-side and the cold-side must be taken as equal** to represent the case of a high temperature recuperator in a recuperated closed Brayton cycle.
10	Heat exchanger effectiveness	≥ 80%	≥ 50%	Must specify your design's heat exchanger effectiveness.
11	Heat exchanger thermal duty (capacity)	≥ 50 kW_{th}	≥ 50 kW_{th}	Must specify the selected capacity.
12	Heat exchanger mass-based power density	kW_{th}/kg	kW_{th}/kg	This is a required design outcome parameter. It may vary based on the selected design and the targeted application area. Comparison with respective existing solutions must be provided in your proposal.
13	Heat exchanger volume-based power density	kW_{th}/m^3	kW_{th}/m^3	This is a required design outcome parameter. It may vary based on the selected design and the targeted application area. Comparison with respective existing solutions must be provided in your proposal.
14	Heat exchanger material(s)			Left to the designer's choice. However, justification for its selection and its characterization/qualification procedure needs to be clearly outlined in the proposal.

ID	Metric Name	Category A Target (Metallic-based structures)	Category B Target (Ceramic-based or other composite structures)	Description and rationale
15	Working fluid			Left to the designer's choice. However, one objective of this program is the development of heat exchangers that can utilize supercritical fluids such as sCO_2 and sHe due to the advantages they offer in high efficiency power generation cycles – Recuperated Closed Brayton Cycles.
16	Heat exchanger manufacturing technique			Left to the designer's choice. However, justification for the selected technique and the specific manufacturing path for successful fabrication needs to be clearly outlined in the proposal. Design parameters such as minimum feature size requirement, built volume, and surface finish quality are parameters of key importance. If this task is outsourced the outside party responsible for this task and their qualifications must be documented in the proposal.
17	Materials and heat exchanger performance characterization			Applicants must define a comprehensive set of parameters that characterize the selected material's performance for the metrics required in this FOA, and must also identify the experimental and analytical tools necessary to quantify material and component performance. If characterization requires specialized resources to which the Applicant does not have access, ARPA-E may assist to make such materials characterization and/or testing resources available to Selectees under this FOA.

ID	Metric Name	Category A Target (Metallic-based structures)	Category B Target (Ceramic-based or other composite structures)	Description and rationale
18	Durability	Hours MTBF	Hours MTBF	The proposed heat exchanger is expected to have a path to commercialization. As such, for the selected application area, an explicit path toward a targeted MTBF (mean time between failures) of 40,000 hours of operation before a major overhaul is required.
19	Cost	$/UA, [$°C/kW$_{th}$]	$/UA, [$°C/kW$_{th}$]	The proposed heat exchanger and its associated manufacturing techniques are expected to have a path to commercialization in the application area of the Applicant's choice and as such needs to exhibit potential for cost competitiveness. For example, high power density systems for aviation applications may target production level cost of $5,000°C/kW, whereas stationary modular systems may target $2,000°C/kW. Applicants must indicate an anticipated cost target, in $/UA, with units of [$°C/kWth] of the proposed heat exchanger assuming mass production of 100,000 units/year with the proposed manufacturing techniques, with the anticipated throughput and material. ARPA-E expects a detailed justification of the anticipated cost target, with a proper listing of all the assumptions considered and applicable cost breakdown details to demonstrate the basis for the projected cost estimate.

II. AWARD INFORMATION

A. AWARD OVERVIEW

ARPA-E expects to make approximately $10 million available for new awards under this FOA, subject to the availability of appropriated funds. ARPA-E anticipates making approximately 3-5 awards under this FOA. ARPA-E may issue one, multiple, or no awards.

ARPA-E will accept only new applications under this FOA. Applicants may not seek renewal or supplementation of their existing awards through this FOA.

ARPA-E plans to fully fund negotiated budgets at the time of award.

Applicants must apply for a Combined Phase I/II/IIS Award. Combined Phase I/II/IIS Awards are intended to develop transformational technologies with disruptive commercial potential. Such commercial potential may be evidenced by (1) the likelihood of follow-on funding by private or non-SBIR/STTR sources if the project is successful, or (2) the Small Business Concern's record of successfully commercializing technologies developed under prior SBIR/STTR awards. Phase IIS awards are a "sequential" (i.e., additional) Phase II award, intended to allow the continued development of promising energy technologies. Combined Phase I/II/IIS awards may be funded up to $3,600,000 and may have a period of performance up to 48 months (4 years).

ARPA-E reserves the right to select all or part of a proposed project (i.e. only Phase I, or only Phase I and Phase II). In the event that ARPA-E selects Phase I only or Phase I/II only, then the maximum award amount for a Phase I award is $245,928 and the maximum amount for a Phase I/II award is $1,939,451.

The period of performance for funding agreements may not exceed 48 months. ARPA-E expects the start date for funding agreements to be June 2019, or as negotiated.

B. ARPA-E FUNDING AGREEMENTS

ARPA-E generally uses Cooperative Agreements to provide financial and other support to Prime Recipients.[22]

Cooperative Agreements involve the provision of financial or other support to accomplish a public purpose of support or stimulation authorized by Federal statute. Under Cooperative Agreements, the Government and Prime Recipients share responsibility for the direction of projects.

Phase I will be made as a fixed-amount award. Phase II and Phase IIS of Combined Phase I/II/IIS awards will be made on a cost-reimbursement basis.

[22] The Prime Recipient is the signatory to the funding agreement with ARPA-E.

ARPA-E encourages Prime Recipients to review the Model Cooperative Agreement for SBIR/STTR Awards, which is available at https://arpa-e.energy.gov/?q=site-page/funding-agreements.

C. STATEMENT OF SUBSTANTIAL INVOLVEMENT

ARPA-E is substantially involved in the direction of projects from inception to completion. For the purposes of an ARPA-E project, substantial involvement means:

- Project Teams must adhere to ARPA-E's agency-specific and programmatic requirements.
- ARPA-E may intervene at any time in the conduct or performance of work under an award.
- ARPA-E does not limit its involvement to the administrative requirements of an award. Instead, ARPA-E has substantial involvement in the direction and redirection of the technical aspects of the project as a whole.
- During award negotiations, ARPA-E Program Directors and Prime Recipients mutually establish an aggressive schedule of quantitative milestones and deliverables that must be met every quarter. In addition, ARPA-E will negotiate and establish "Go/No-Go" milestones for each project. If the Prime Recipient fails to achieve any of the "Go/No-Go" milestones or technical milestones and deliverables as determined by the ARPA-E Contracting Officer, ARPA-E may – at its discretion - renegotiate the statement of project objectives or schedule of technical milestones and deliverables for the project. In the alternative, ARPA-E may suspend or terminate the award in accordance with 2 C.F.R. §§ 200.338 and 200.339.
- ARPA-E may provide guidance and/or assistance to the Prime Recipient to accelerate the commercial deployment of ARPA-E-funded technologies. Guidance and assistance provided by ARPA-E may include coordination with other Government agencies and nonprofits to provide mentoring and networking opportunities for Prime Recipients. ARPA-E may also organize and sponsor events to educate Prime Recipients about key barriers to the deployment of their ARPA-E-funded technologies. In addition, ARPA-E may establish collaborations with private and public entities to provide continued support for the development and deployment of ARPA-E-funded technologies.

III. ELIGIBILITY INFORMATION

A. ELIGIBLE APPLICANTS

1. SBIR ELIGIBILITY

SBA rules and guidelines govern eligibility to apply to this FOA. For information on program eligibility, please refer to SBA's "Guide to SBIR/ STTR Program Eligibility" available at http://sbir.gov/sites/default/files/elig_size_compliance_guide.pdf.

A Small Business Concern[23] may apply as a Standalone Applicant[24] or as the lead organization for a Project Team.[25] If applying as the lead organization, the Small Business Concern must perform at least 66.7% of the work in Phase I and at least 50% of the work in Phase II and Phase IIS, as measured by the Total Project Cost.[26]

For information on eligibility as a Small Business Concern, please refer to SBA's website (https://www.sba.gov/content/am-i-small-business-concern).

2. STTR ELIGIBILITY

SBA rules and guidelines govern eligibility to apply to this FOA. For information on program eligibility, please refer to SBA's "Guide to SBIR/ STTR Program Eligibility" available at http://sbir.gov/sites/default/files/elig_size_compliance_guide.pdf.

Only a Small Business Concern may apply as the lead organization for a Project Team. The Small Business Concern must perform at least 40% of the work in Phase I, Phase II, and/or Phase IIS, as measured by the Total Project Cost. A single Research Institution must perform at least 30% of the work in Phase I, Phase II, and/or Phase IIS, as measured by the Total Project Cost. Please refer to Section III.B.1 of the FOA for guidance on Research Institutions' participation in STTR projects.

---

[23] A Small Business Concern is a for-profit entity that: (1) maintains a place of business located in the United States; (2) operates primarily within the United States or makes a significant contribution to the United States economy through payment of taxes or use of American products, materials or labor; (3) is an individual proprietorship, partnership, corporation, limited liability company, joint venture, association, trust, or cooperative; and (4) meets the size eligibility requirements set forth in 13 C.F.R. § 121.702. Where the entity is formed as a joint venture, there can be no more than 49% participation by foreign business entities in the joint venture.

[24] A "Standalone Applicant" is an Applicant that applies for funding on its own, not as part of a Project Team.

[25] The term "Project Team" is used to mean any entity with multiple players working collaboratively and could encompass anything from an existing organization to an ad hoc teaming arrangement. A Project Team consists of the Prime Recipient, Subrecipients, and others performing or otherwise supporting work under an ARPA-E funding agreement.

[26] The Total Project Cost is the sum of the Prime Recipient share and the Federal Government share of total allowable costs. The Federal Government share generally includes costs incurred by GOGOs, FFRDCs, and GOCOs.

For information on eligibility as a Small Business Concern, please refer to SBA's website (https://www.sba.gov/content/am-i-small-business-concern).

3. JOINT SBIR AND STTR ELIGIBILITY

An Applicant that meets both the SBIR and STTR eligibility criteria above may request both SBIR and STTR funding if:

- The Small Business Concern is partnered with a Research Institution;
- The Small Business Concern performs at least 66.7% of the work in Phase I and at least 50% of the work in Phase II and/or Phase IIS (as applicable), as measured by the Total Project Cost;
- The partnering Research Institution performs 30-33.3% of the work in Phase I and 30-50% of the work in Phase II and/or Phase IIS (as applicable), as measured by the Total Project Cost; and
- The Principal Investigator (PI) is employed by the Small Business Concern. If the PI is employed by the Research Institution, submissions will be considered only under the STTR program.

B. ELIGIBLE SUBRECIPIENTS

1. RESEARCH INSTITUTIONS

A Research Institution[27] may apply only as a member of a Project Team (i.e., as a Subrecipient to a Small Business Concern). In STTR projects, a single Research Institution must perform at least 30%, but no more than 60%, of the work under the award in Phase I, Phase II, and/or Phase IIS (as applicable), as measured by the Total Project Cost.

2. OTHER PROJECT TEAM MEMBERS

The following entities are eligible to apply for SBIR/STTR funding as a member of a Project Team (i.e., as a Subrecipient to a Small Business Concern):

- For-profit entities, including Small Business Concerns
- Nonprofits other than Research Institutions[28]
- Government-Owned, Government Operated laboratories (GOGOs)

[27] Research Institutions include FFRDCs, nonprofit educational institutions, and other nonprofit research organizations owned and operated exclusively for scientific purposes. Eligible Research Institutions must maintain a place of business in the United States, operate primarily in the United States, or make a significant contribution to the U.S. economy through the payment of taxes or use of American products, materials, or labor.
[28] Nonprofit organizations described in section 501(c)(4) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 that engaged in lobbying activities after December 31, 1995 are not eligible to apply for funding as a Subrecipient.

- State, local, and tribal government entities
- Foreign entities[29]

In SBIR projects, Project Team members other than the lead organization, including but not limited to Research Institutions, may collectively perform no more than 33.3% of the work under the award in Phase I and no more than 50% of the work under the award in Phase II and/or Phase IIS. This includes efforts performed by Research Institutions.

In STTR projects, Project Team members (other than the lead organization and the partnering Research Institution) may collectively perform no more than 30% of work under the award in Phase I, Phase II, and/or Phase IIS.

C. ELIGIBLE PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATORS

1. SBIR

For the duration of the award, the PI for the proposed project (or, if multiple PIs, at least one PI) must be employed by, and perform more than 50% of his or her work for, the Prime Recipient. The Contracting Officer may waive this requirement or approve the substitution of the PI after consultation with the ARPA-E SBIR/STTR Program Director.

For projects with multiple PIs, at least one PI must meet the primary employment requirement. That PI will serve as the contact PI for the Project Team.

2. STTR

For the duration of the award, the PI for the proposed project (or, if multiple PIs, at least one PI) must be employed by, and perform more than 50% his or her work for, the Prime Recipient or the partnering Research Institution. The Contracting Officer may waive this requirement or approve the substitution of the PI after consultation with the ARPA-E SBIR/STTR Program Director.

For projects with multiple PIs, at least one PI must meet the primary employment requirement. That PI will serve as the contact PI for the Project Team.

[29] All work by foreign entities must be performed by subsidiaries or affiliates incorporated in the United States (see Section IV.G.6 of the FOA). However, the Applicant may request a waiver of this requirement in the Business Assurances & Disclosures Form submitted with the Full Application.

D. ELIGIBILITY OF PRIOR SBIR AND STTR AWARDEES: SBA BENCHMARKS ON PROGRESS TOWARDS COMMERCIALIZATION

Applicants awarded multiple prior SBIR or STTR awards must meet DOE's benchmark requirements for progress towards commercialization before ARPA-E may issue a new Phase I award. For purposes of this requirement, Applicants are assessed using their prior Phase I and Phase II SBIR and STTR awards across all SBIR agencies. If an awardee fails to meet either of the benchmarks, that awardee is not eligible for an SBIR or STTR Phase I award and any Phase II award for a period of one year from the time of the determination.

ARPA-E applies two benchmark rates addressing an Applicant's progress towards commercialization: (1) the DOE Phase II Transition Rate Benchmark and (2) the SBA Commercialization Rate Benchmark:

- The DOE Phase II Transition Rate Benchmark sets the minimum required number of Phase II awards the Applicant must have received for a given number of Phase I awards received during the specified period. **This Transition Rate Benchmark applies only to Phase I Applicants that have received more than 20 Phase I awards during the last five (5) year period, excluding the most recently completed fiscal year**. DOE's Phase II Transition Rate Benchmark requires that 25% of all Phase I awards received over the past five years transition to Phase II awards.

  The SBIR/STTR Phase II transition rates and commercialization rates are calculated using the data in the SBA's TechNet database. For the purpose of these benchmark requirements, awardee firms are assessed once a year, on June 1st, using their prior SBIR and STTR awards across all agencies. SBA makes this tabulation of awardee transition rates and commercialization rates available to all federal agencies. ARPA-E uses this tabulation to determine which companies do not meet the DOE benchmark rates and are, therefore, ineligible to receive new Phase I awards.

- The Commercialization Rate Benchmark sets the minimum Phase III[30] commercialization results that an Applicant must have achieved from work it performed under prior Phase II awards (i.e. this measures an Applicant's progress from Phase II or Phase IIS to Phase III awards). **This benchmark requirement applies only to Applicants that have received more than 15 Phase II awards during the last 10 fiscal years, excluding the two most recently completed fiscal years.**

[30] SBIR Phase III refers to work that derives from, extends or completes an effort made under prior SBIR funding agreements, but is funded by sources other than the SBIR Program. Phase III work is typically oriented towards commercialization of SBIR research or technology. For more information please refer to the Small Business Administration's "Small Business Innovation Research (SBIR) Program Policy Directive" at https://www.sbir.gov/sites/default/files/sbir_pd_with_1-8-14_amendments_2-24-14.pdf.

The current Commercialization Benchmark requirement, agreed upon and established by all 11 SBIR agencies, is that the Applicants must have received, to date, an average of at least $100,000 of sales and/or investments per Phase II award received, or have received a number of patents resulting from the relevant SBIR work equal to or greater than 15% of the number of Phase II awards received during the period.

- On June 1 of each year, SBIR/STTR awardees registered on SBIR.gov are assessed to determine if they meet the Phase II Transition Rate Benchmark requirement. (At this time, SBA is not identifying companies that fail to meet the Commercialization Rate Benchmark requirement). Companies that fail to meet the Phase II Transition Rate Benchmark as of June 1 of a given year will not be eligible to apply to an SBIR/STTR FOA for the following year. For example, if SBA determined on June 1, 2017 that a small business failed to meet the Phase II Transition Rate Benchmark requirement, that small business would not be eligible to apply to an ARPA-E SBIR/STTR FOA from June 1, 2017 to May 31, 2018.

E. COST SHARING[31]

Applicants are bound by the cost share proposed in their Full Applications. Due to recent legislation, the cost share requirements in this FOA have been modified and may be modified again for project teams that include domestic education institutions and domestic nonprofits.[32] Cost share requirements are not anticipated to change for for-profit entities participating as Prime Recipients or Subrecipients. Specific cost-sharing requirements for this FOA are as follows:

1. PHASE I

Prime Recipients/Project Teams are not required to contribute cost share during Phase I of an SBIR/STTR award.

2. PHASE II AND IIS COST SHARE REQUIREMENT

For Phase II and Phase IIS, Prime Recipients must contribute cost share as follows:

- Small businesses – or consortia of small businesses - will provide 0% cost share from the outset of the Phase II project through the first 12 months of Phase II (referred to as the "Cost Share Grace Period"). If the project is continued beyond the Cost Share Grace Period, then at least 10% of the Total Project Cost[33] (including the costs

[31] Please refer to Section VI.B.3-4 of the FOA for guidance on cost share payments and reporting.
[32] Department of Energy Research and Innovation Act, Pub. L. No. 115-246, § 108 (enacted September 28, 2018).
[33] The Total Project Cost is the sum of the Prime Recipient share and the Federal Government share of total allowable costs. The Federal Government share generally includes costs incurred by GOGOs and FFRDCs.

incurred during the Cost Share Grace Period) will be required as cost share over the remaining period of performance.

- Project Teams where a small business is the lead organization and small businesses perform greater than or equal to 80% of the total work under the funding agreement (as measured by the Total Project Cost) are entitled to the same cost share reduction and Cost Share Grace Period as provided above to Standalone small businesses or consortia of small businesses.
- Project teams that do not meet any of the above criteria are subject to a minimum cost share requirement of 20%.

3. LEGAL RESPONSIBILITY

Although the cost share requirement applies to the Project Team as a whole, the funding agreement makes the Prime Recipient legally responsible for paying, or ensuring payment of, the entire cost share. The Prime Recipient's cost share obligation is expressed in the funding agreement as a static amount in U.S. dollars (cost share amount) and as a percentage of the Total Project Cost (cost share percentage). If the funding agreement is terminated prior to the end of the period of performance, the Prime Recipient is required to contribute, or ensure contribution of, at least the cost share percentage of total expenditures incurred through the date of termination.

The Prime Recipient is solely responsible for managing cost share contributions by the Project Team and enforcing cost share obligations assumed by Project Team members in subawards or related agreements.

4. COST SHARE ALLOCATION

Each Project Team is free to determine how much each Project Team member will contribute towards the cost share requirement. The amount contributed by individual Project Team members may vary, as long as the cost share requirement for the project as a whole is met.

5. COST SHARE TYPES AND ALLOWABILITY

Every cost share contribution must be allowable under the applicable Federal cost principles, as described in Section IV.G.1 of the FOA.

Project Teams may provide cost share in the form of cash or in-kind contributions. Cash contributions may be provided by the Prime Recipient or Subrecipients. Allowable in-kind contributions include but are not limited to personnel costs, indirect costs, facilities and administrative costs, rental value of buildings or equipment, and the value of a service, other resource, or third party in-kind contribution. Project Teams may use funding or property received from state or local governments to meet the cost share requirement, so long as the

funding or property was not provided to the state or local government by the Federal Government.

The Prime Recipient may not use the following sources to meet its cost share obligations:

- Revenues or royalties from the prospective operation of an activity beyond the period of performance;
- Proceeds from the prospective sale of an asset of an activity;
- Federal funding or property (e.g., Federal grants, equipment owned by the Federal Government); or
- Expenditures that were reimbursed under a separate Federal program.

Project Teams may not use the same cash or in-kind contributions to meet cost share requirements for more than one project or program.

Cost share contributions must be specified in the project budget, verifiable from the Prime Recipient's records, and necessary and reasonable for proper and efficient accomplishment of the project. Every cost share contribution must be reviewed and approved in advance by the Contracting Officer and incorporated into the project budget before the expenditures are incurred.

Applicants may wish to refer to 2 C.F.R. Parts 200 and 910, and 10 C.F.R Part 603 for additional guidance on cost sharing, specifically 2 C.F.R. §§ 200.306 and 910.130, and 10 C.F.R. §§ 603.525-555.

6. COST SHARE CONTRIBUTIONS BY FFRDCS, GOGOS, DOMESTIC EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTIONS, AND DOMESTIC NONPROFITS

Because FFRDCs are funded by the Federal Government, costs incurred by FFRDCs generally may not be used to meet the cost share requirement. FFRDCs may contribute cost share only if the contributions are paid directly from the contractor's Management Fee or a non-Federal source.

Because GOGOs/Federal Agencies are funded by the Federal Government, GOGOs/Federal Agencies may not provide cost share for the proposed project. However, the GOGO/Agency costs would be included in Total Project Costs for purposes of calculating the cost-sharing requirements of the applicant.
As noted above, recent legislation exempts domestic education institutions and domestic nonprofits from the requirement to provide cost share in projects that will be selected for award negotations under this FOA.[34] However, if a domestic education institution or domestic nonprofit is part of Project Team listed in Section III.B.3 above that will be required to provide cost share, the domestic education institution/domestic nonprofit costs would be included in

[34] Department of Energy Research and Innovation Act, Pub. L. No. 115-246, § 108 (enacted September 28, 2018).

the Total Project Costs for purposes of calculating the cost-sharing requirements of the Project Team.

7. COST SHARE VERIFICATION

Upon selection for award negotiations, Applicants are required to provide information and documentation regarding their cost share contributions. Please refer to Section VI.B.3 of the FOA for guidance on the requisite cost share information and documentation.

F. OTHER

1. COMPLIANT CRITERIA

Concept Papers are deemed compliant if:

- The Applicant meets the eligibility requirements in Section III.A of the FOA;
- The Concept Paper complies with the content and form requirements in Section IV.C of the FOA; and
- The Applicant entered all required information, successfully uploaded all required documents, and clicked the "Submit" button in ARPA-E eXCHANGE by the deadline stated in the FOA.

Concept Papers found to be noncompliant may not be merit reviewed or considered for award. ARPA-E may not review or consider noncompliant Concept Papers, including Concept Papers submitted through other means, Concept Papers submitted after the applicable deadline, and incomplete Concept Papers. A Concept Paper is incomplete if it does not include required information. ARPA-E will not extend the submission deadline for Applicants that fail to submit required information and documents due to server/connection congestion.

Full Applications are deemed compliant if:

- The Applicant submitted a compliant and responsive Concept Paper;
- The Applicant meets the eligibility requirements in Section III.A of the FOA;
- The Full Application complies with the content and form requirements in Section IV.D of the FOA; and
- The Applicant entered all required information, successfully uploaded all required documents, and clicked the "Submit" button in ARPA-E eXCHANGE by the deadline stated in the FOA.

Full Applications found to be noncompliant may not be merit reviewed or considered for award. ARPA-E may not review or consider noncompliant Full Applications, including Full Applications submitted through other means, Full Applications submitted after the applicable deadline, and incomplete Full Applications. A Full Application is incomplete if it does not

include required information and documents, such as Forms SF-424 and SF-424A. ARPA-E will not extend the submission deadline for Applicants that fail to submit required information and documents due to server/connection congestion.

Replies to Reviewer Comments are deemed compliant if:

- The Applicant successfully uploads its response to ARPA-E eXCHANGE by the deadline stated in the FOA; and
- The Replies to Reviewer Comments comply with the content and form requirements of Section IV.E of the FOA.

ARPA-E will not review or consider noncompliant Replies to Reviewer Comments, including Replies submitted through other means and Replies submitted after the applicable deadline. ARPA-E will not extend the submission deadline for Applicants that fail to submit required information due to server/connection congestion. ARPA-E will review and consider each compliant and responsive Full Application, even if no Reply is submitted or if the Reply is found to be noncompliant.

2. RESPONSIVENESS CRITERIA

ARPA-E performs a preliminary technical review of Concept Papers and Full Applications. The following types of submissions, and those identified below in Section III.F.3 may be deemed nonresponsive and may not be reviewed or considered:

- Submissions that fall outside the technical parameters specified in this FOA.
- Submissions that have been submitted in response to other currently issued ARPA-E FOAs.
- Submissions that are not scientifically distinct from applications submitted in response to other currently issued ARPA-E FOAs.
- Submissions for basic research aimed solely at discovery and/or fundamental knowledge generation.
- Submissions for large-scale demonstration projects of existing technologies.
- Submissions for proposed technologies that represent incremental improvements to existing technologies.
- Submissions for proposed technologies that are not based on sound scientific principles (e.g., violates a law of thermodynamics).
- Submissions for proposed technologies that are not transformational, as described in Section I.A of the FOA.
- Submissions for proposed technologies that do not have the potential to become disruptive in nature, as described in Section I.A of the FOA. Technologies must be scalable such that they could be disruptive with sufficient technical progress.

- Submissions that are not distinct in scientific approach or objective from activities currently supported by or actively under consideration for funding by any other office within Department of Energy.
- Submissions that are not distinct in scientific approach or objective from activities currently supported by or actively under consideration for funding by other government agencies or the private sector.
- Submissions that do not propose a R&D plan that allows ARPA-E to evaluate the submission under the applicable merit review criteria provided in Section V.A of the FOA.

3. SUBMISSIONS SPECIFICALLY NOT OF INTEREST

Submissions that propose the following may be deemed nonresponsive and may not be merit reviewed or considered:

- Analytical and computer simulations work only without any materials and manufacturing efforts and experimental work
- Similar work that is being sponsored by DOE or other federal government agencies
- "Paper studies" of novel material, design, or heat exchanger
- Turbomachinery development
- Pure materials research or projects involving discovery and development of new materials
- Submissions proposing a technology that does not lead to the development of a recuperator heat exchanger – e.g. submission proposing the development of a regenerator.

4. LIMITATION ON NUMBER OF SUBMISSIONS

ARPA-E is not limiting the number of submissions from Applicants. Applicants may submit more than one application to this FOA, provided that each application is scientifically distinct.

However, small businesses that qualify as a "Small Business Concern" may apply to only one of the two ARPA-E HITEMMP FOAs: ARPA-E FOA DE-FOA-0001972 (SBIR/STTR), High Intensity Thermal Exchange Through Materials and Manufacturing Processes (HITEMMP) (SBIR/STTR), or ARPA-E FOA DE-FOA-0001970, High Intensity Thermal Exchange Through Materials and Manufacturing Processes (HITEMMP). Small businesses that qualify as "Small Business Concerns" are strongly encouraged to apply under the former (SBIR/STTR FOA). To determine eligibility as a "Small Business Concern" under DE-FOA-0001972, please review the eligibility requirements in Sections III.A – III.D above.

IV. APPLICATION AND SUBMISSION INFORMATION

A. APPLICATION PROCESS OVERVIEW

1. REGISTRATION IN SBA COMPANY REGISTRY

The first step in applying to this FOA is registering in the U.S. Small Business Administration (SBA) Company Registry (http://sbir.gov/registration). Upon completing registration, Applicants will receive a unique small business Control ID and Registration Certificate in Adobe PDF format, which may be used at any participating SBIR and STTR agencies. Applicants that have previously registered in the SBA Company Registry need not register again.

Applicants that are sole proprietors and do not have an Employer Identification Number may use social security numbers for purposes of registering in the SBA Company Registry. Applicants that do not possess a Dun and Bradstreet Data Universal Numbering System (DUNS) number may also use their social security number in the SBA Company Registry.

Applicants must submit their Registration Certificate in ARPA-E eXCHANGE (https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov) as part of their Full Application (see Section IV.D.5 of the FOA).

2. REGISTRATION IN ARPA-E eXCHANGE

The first step in applying to this FOA is registration in ARPA-E eXCHANGE, ARPA-E's online application portal. For detailed guidance on using ARPA-E eXCHANGE, please refer to Section IV.H.1 of the FOA and the "ARPA-E eXCHANGE Applicant Guide" (https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov/Manuals.aspx).

3. CONCEPT PAPERS

Applicants must submit a Concept Paper by the deadline stated in the FOA. Section IV.C of the FOA provides instructions on submitting a Concept Paper.

ARPA-E performs a preliminary review of Concept Papers to determine whether they are compliant and responsive, as described in Section III.C of the FOA. Concept Papers found to be noncompliant or nonresponsive may not be merit reviewed or considered for award. ARPA-E makes an independent assessment of each compliant and responsive Concept Paper based on the criteria and program policy factors in Sections V.A.1 and V.B.1 of the FOA.

ARPA-E will encourage a subset of Applicants to submit Full Applications. Other Applicants will be discouraged from submitting a Full Application in order to save them the time and expense of preparing an application submission that is unlikely to be selected for award negotiations. By discouraging the submission of a Full Application, ARPA-E intends to convey its lack of

programmatic interest in the proposed project. Such assessments do not necessarily reflect judgments on the merits of the proposed project. Unsuccessful Applicants should continue to submit innovative ideas and concepts to future FOAs.

4. FULL APPLICATIONS

Applicants must submit a Full Application by the deadline stated in the FOA. Applicants will have approximately 40 days from receipt of the Encourage/Discourage notification to prepare and submit a Full Application. Section IV.D of the FOA provides instructions on submitting a Full Application.

ARPA-E performs a preliminary review of Full Applications to determine whether they are compliant and responsive, as described in Section III.C of the FOA. Full Applications found to be noncompliant or nonresponsive may not be merit reviewed or considered for award. ARPA-E makes an independent assessment of each compliant and responsive Full Application based on the criteria and program policy factors in Sections V.A.2 and V.B.1 of the FOA.

5. REPLY TO REVIEWER COMMENTS

Once ARPA-E has completed its review of Full Applications, reviewer comments on compliant and responsive Full Applications are made available to Applicants via ARPA-E eXCHANGE. Applicants may submit an optional Reply to Reviewer Comments, which must be submitted by the deadline stated in the FOA. Section IV.E of the FOA provides instructions on submitting a Reply to Reviewer Comments.

ARPA-E performs a preliminary review of Replies to determine whether they are compliant, as described in Section III.C.1 of the FOA. ARPA-E will review and consider compliant Replies only. ARPA-E will review and consider each compliant and responsive Full Application, even if no Reply is submitted or if the Reply is found to be non-compliant.

6. PRE-SELECTION CLARIFICATIONS AND "DOWN-SELECT" PROCESS

Once ARPA-E completes its review of Full Applications and Replies to Reviewer Comments, it may, at the Contracting Officer's discretion, conduct a pre-selection clarification process and/or perform a "down-select" of Full Applications. Through the pre-selection clarification process or down-select process, ARPA-E may obtain additional information from select Applicants through pre-selection meetings, webinars, videoconferences, conference calls, written correspondence, or site visits that can be used to make a final selection determination. ARPA-E will not reimburse Applicants for travel and other expenses relating to pre-selection meetings or site visits, nor will these costs be eligible for reimbursement as pre-award costs.

ARPA-E may select applications for award negotiations and make awards without pre-selection meetings and site visits. Participation in a pre-selection meeting or site visit with ARPA-E does not signify that Applicants have been selected for award negotiations.

7. SELECTION FOR AWARD NEGOTIATIONS

ARPA-E carefully considers all of the information obtained through the application process and makes an independent assessment of each compliant and responsive Full Application based on the criteria and program policy factors in Sections V.A.2 and V.B.1 of the FOA. The Selection Official may select all or part of a Full Application for award negotiations. The Selection Official may also postpone a final selection determination on one or more Full Applications until a later date, subject to availability of funds and other factors. ARPA-E will enter into award negotiations only with selected Applicants.

Applicants are promptly notified of ARPA-E's selection determination. ARPA-E may stagger its selection determinations. As a result, some Applicants may receive their notification letter in advance of other Applicants. Please refer to Section VI.A of the FOA for guidance on award notifications.

B. APPLICATION FORMS

Required forms for Full Applications are available on ARPA-E eXCHANGE (https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov), including the SF-424 and Budget Justification Workbook/SF-424A. A sample Summary Slide is available on ARPA-E eXCHANGE. Applicants may use the templates available on ARPA-E eXCHANGE, including the template for the Concept Paper, the template for the Technical Volume of the Full Application, the template for the Summary Slide, the template for the Summary for Public Release, the template for the Reply to Reviewer Comments, and the template for the Business Assurances & Disclosures Form. A sample response to the Business Assurances & Disclosures Form is available on ARPA-E eXCHANGE.

C. CONTENT AND FORM OF CONCEPT PAPERS

The Concept Paper is mandatory (i.e. in order to submit a Full Application, a compliant and responsive Concept Paper must have been submitted) and must conform to the following formatting requirements:

- The Concept Paper must not exceed 4 pages in length including graphics, figures, and/or tables (except the performance targets table, provided as Table 1 in the Concept Paper template, which will not count as part of the 4 pages).
- The Concept Paper must be submitted in Adobe PDF format.
- The Concept Paper must be written in English.
- All pages must be formatted to fit on 8-1/2 by 11 inch paper with margins not less than one inch on every side. Single space all text and use Times New Roman typeface, a black font color, and a font size of 12 point or larger (except in figures and tables).

- The ARPA-E assigned Control Number, the Lead Organization Name, and the Principal Investigator's Last Name must be prominently displayed on the upper right corner of the header of every page. Page numbers must be included in the footer of every page.
- The first paragraph must include the Lead Organization's Name and Location, Principal Investigator's Name, Technical Category and Subcategory, Proposed Funding Requested (Federal and Cost Share), and Project Duration.

Concept Papers found to be noncompliant or nonresponsive may not be merit reviewed or considered for award (see Section III.C of the FOA).

Each Concept Paper must be limited to a single concept or technology. Unrelated concepts and technologies must not be consolidated into a single Concept Paper.

A fillable Concept Paper template is available on ARPA-E eXCHANGE at https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov.

Concept Papers must conform to the content requirements described below. If Applicants exceed the maximum page length indicated above, ARPA-E will review only the authorized number of pages and disregard any additional pages.

1. CONCEPT PAPER

a. CONCEPT SUMMARY

- Describe the proposed concept with minimal jargon, and explain how it addresses the Program Objectives of the FOA.

b. INNOVATION AND IMPACT

- Clearly identify the problem to be solved with the proposed technology concept.
- Clearly state the targeted application of the proposed technology concept.
- Describe how the proposed effort represents an innovative and potentially transformational solution to the technical challenges posed by the FOA.
- Explain the concept's potential to be disruptive compared to existing or emerging technologies.
- For the Applicant's targeted application, select the category (Category A or B) you are responding to and provide quantitative metrics in Table 1 located in Section I.E. of the FOA. Please use the Table 1 template provided at the end of the Concept Paper template. To the extent possible and as applicable provide comparison against the current and emerging technologies for all of the Technical Performance Targets detailed in Section I.E of the FOA.

- Describe the innovation and quantify the advancement over state-of-the-art in the manufacturing machines and processes.

c. PROPOSED WORK

- Describe the final deliverable(s) for the project and the overall technical approach used to achieve project objectives.
- Discuss alternative approaches considered, if any, and why the proposed approach is most appropriate for the project objectives.
- Describe the background, theory, simulation, modeling, experimental data, or other sound engineering and scientific practices or principles that support the proposed approach. Provide specific examples of supporting data and/or appropriate citations to the scientific and technical literature.
- Describe why the proposed effort is a significant technical challenge and the key technical risks to the project. Does the approach require one or more entirely new technical developments to succeed? How will technical risk be mitigated?
- Briefly describe a path to commercialization of the proposed technology concept for the selected application area(s).
- Identify techno-economic challenges to be overcome for the proposed technology to be commercially relevant.
- Estimated federal funds requested; total project cost including cost sharing.

d. TEAM ORGANIZATION AND CAPABILITIES

- Indicate the roles and responsibilities of the organizations and key personnel that comprise the Project Team.
- Provide the name, position, and institution of each key team member and describe in 1-2 sentences the skills and experience that he/she brings to the team.
- Identify key capabilities provided by the organizations comprising the Project Team and how those key capabilities will be used in the proposed effort.
- Identify (if applicable) previous collaborative efforts among team members relevant to the proposed effort.

D. CONTENT AND FORM OF FULL APPLICATIONS

Full Applications must conform to the following formatting requirements:

- Each document must be submitted in the file format prescribed below.
- The Full Application must be written in English.

- All pages must be formatted to fit on 8-1/2 by 11 inch paper with margins not less than one inch on every side. Single space all text and use Times New Roman typeface, a black font color, and a font size of 12 point or larger (except in figures and tables).
- The ARPA-E assigned Control Number, the Lead Organization Name, and the Principal Investigator's Last Name must be prominently displayed on the upper right corner of the header of every page. Page numbers must be included in the footer of every page.

Full Applications found to be noncompliant or nonresponsive may not be merit reviewed or considered for award (see Section III.F of the FOA).

Each Full Application should be limited to a single concept or technology. Unrelated concepts and technologies should not be consolidated in a single Full Application.

Fillable Full Application template documents are available on ARPA-E eXCHANGE at https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov.

Full Applications must conform to the content requirements described below.

Component	Required Format	Description and Information
Technical Volume	PDF	The centerpiece of the Full Application. Provides a detailed description of the proposed R&D project and Project Team. A Technical Volume template is available on ARPA-E eXCHANGE (https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov).
SF-424	PDF	Application for Federal Assistance (https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov). Applicants are responsible for ensuring that the proposed costs listed in eXCHANGE match those listed on forms SF-424 and SF-424A. Inconsistent submissions may impact ARPA-E's final award determination.
Budget Justification Workbook/SF-424A	XLS	Budget Information – Non-Construction Programs (https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov)
Summary for Public Release	PDF	Short summary of the proposed R&D project. Intended for public release. A Summary for Public Release template is available on ARPA-E eXCHANGE (https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov).
Summary Slide	PPT	A four-panel project slide summarizing different aspects of the proposed R&D project. A Summary Slide template is available on ARPA-E eXCHANGE (https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov).
SBA Company Registration Certificate	PDF	Registration Certificate generated upon completion of registration in the SBA Company Registry (http://sbir.gov/registration).
Certification for Applicants	PDF	Requires SBIR Applicants that are majority-owned by multiple venture capital operating companies, hedge funds, or private equity firms to self-identify and

Majority-Owned by Multiple Venture Capital Operating Companies, Hedge Funds, or Private Equity Firms (if applicable)		verify registration as such in the SBA Company Registry (http://sbir.gov/registration).
Business Assurances & Disclosures Form	PDF	Requires the Applicant to acknowledge eligibility with SBIR/STTR program requirements, make responsibility disclosures, and disclose potential conflicts of interest within the Project Team. Requires the Applicant to describe the additionality and risks associated with the proposed project, disclose applications for funding currently pending with Federal and non-Federal entities, and disclose funding from Federal and non-Federal entities for work in the same technology area as the proposed R&D project. If the Applicant is a FFRDC/DOE Lab, requires the Applicant to provide written authorization from the cognizant Federal agency and, if a DOE/NNSA FFRDC/DOE Lab, a Field Work Proposal. Allows the Applicant to request a waiver or modification of the Performance of Work in the United States requirement and/or the Technology Transfer & Outreach (TT&O) spending requirement. This form is available on ARPA-E eXCHANGE at https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov. A sample response to the Business Assurances & Disclosures Form is also available on ARPA-E eXCHANGE.
U.S. Manufacturing Plan	PDF	As part of the application, Applicants are required to submit a U.S. Manufacturing Plan. The U.S. Manufacturing Plan represents the Applicant's measurable commitment to support U.S. manufacturing as a result of its award. See detailed U.S. Manufacturing Plan instructions and examples in the Seventh Component description below.

ARPA-E provides detailed guidance on the content and form of each component below.

1. FIRST COMPONENT: TECHNICAL VOLUME

The Technical Volume must be submitted in Adobe PDF format. A Technical Volume template is available at https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov. The Technical Volume must conform to the following content and form requirements, including maximum page lengths specified. If Applicants exceed the maximum page lengths specified for each section indicated below, ARPA-E will review only the authorized number of pages and disregard any additional pages.

Applicants must provide sufficient citations and references to the primary research literature to justify the claims and approaches made in the Technical Volume. ARPA-E and reviewers may review primary research literature in order to evaluate applications. However, ARPA-E and reviewers are under no obligation to review cited sources (e.g., Internet websites).

2. SECOND COMPONENT: SF-424

The SF-424 must be submitted in Adobe PDF format. This form is available on ARPA-E eXCHANGE at https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov.

The SF-424 includes instructions for completing the form. Applicants are required to complete all required fields in accordance with the instructions.

Prime Recipients and Subrecipients are required to complete SF-LLL (Disclosure of Lobbying Activities), available at http://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/omb/grants/sflllin.pdf, if any non-Federal funds have been paid or will be paid to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any Federal agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with your application or funding agreement. The completed SF-LLL must be appended to the SF-424.

ARPA-E provides the following supplemental guidance on completing the SF-424:

- Each Project Team should submit only one SF-424 (i.e., a Subrecipient should not submit a separate SF-424).
- Assume a project start date of March 2018, or as negotiated.
- The list of certifications and assurances in Block 21 can be found at http://energy.gov/management/downloads/certifications-and-assurances-use-sf-424.
- The dates and dollar amounts on the SF-424 are for the entire period of performance (from the project start date to the project end date), not a portion thereof.
- Applicants are responsible for ensuring that the proposed costs listed in eXCHANGE match those listed on forms SF-424 and SF-424A. Inconsistent submissions may impact ARPA-E's final award determination.

3. THIRD COMPONENT: BUDGET JUSTIFICATION WORKBOOK/SF-424A

Applicants are required to complete the Budget Justification Workbook/SF-424A Excel spreadsheet. This form is available on ARPA-E eXCHANGE at https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov. Prime Recipients must complete each tab of the Budget Justification Workbook for the project as a whole, including all work to be performed by the Prime Recipient and its Subrecipients and Contractors. The SF-424A form included with the Budget Justification Workbook will "auto-populate" as the Applicant enters information into the Workbook. Applicants should carefully read the "Instructions and Summary" tab provided within the Budget Justification Workbook.

Subrecipient information must be submitted as follows:

- Each Subrecipient incurring greater than or equal to 10% of the Total Project Cost must complete a separate Budget Justification workbook to justify its proposed budget. These worksheets must be inserted as additional sheets within in the Prime Recipient's Budget Justification.

- Subrecipients incurring less than 10% of the Total Project Cost are not required to complete a separate Budget Justification workbook. However, such Subrecipients are required to provide supporting documentation to justify their proposed budgets. At a minimum, the supporting documentation must show which tasks/subtasks are being performed, the purpose/need for the effort, and a sufficient basis for the estimated costs.

ARPA-E provides the following supplemental guidance on completing the Budget Justification Workbook/SF-424A:

- Applicants may request funds under the appropriate object class category tabs as long as the item and amount requested are necessary to perform the proposed work, meet all the criteria for allowability under the applicable Federal cost principles, and are not prohibited by the funding restrictions described herein.

- If Patent costs are requested, they must be included in the Applicant's proposed budget (see Section IV.G.3 of the FOA for more information on Patent Costs).

- For pricing purposes, assume a project start date of March 2018, or as negotiated.

- For more information, please refer to the ARPA-E Budget Justification Guidance document at https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov.

4. FOURTH COMPONENT: SUMMARY FOR PUBLIC RELEASE

Applicants are required to provide a 250 word maximum Summary for Public Release. A Summary for Public Release template is available on ARPA-E eXCHANGE (https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov). The Summary for Public Release must be submitted in Adobe PDF format. This summary should not include any confidential, proprietary, or privileged information. The summary should be written for a lay audience (e.g., general public, media, Congress) using plain English.

250 Words	**SUMMARY FOR PUBLIC RELEASE**	Briefly describe the proposed effort, summarize its objective(s) and technical approach, describe its ability to achieve the "Program Objectives" (see Section I.C of the FOA), and indicate its potential impact on "ARPA-E Mission Areas" (see Section I.A of the FOA). The summary should be written at technical level suitable for a high-school science student and is designed for public release. **INSTRUCTIONS:** (1) The Summary for Public Release shall not exceed 250 words and one paragraph. (2) The Summary for Public Release shall consist only of text—no graphics, figures, or tables. (3) For applications selected for award negotiations, the Summary may be used as the basis for a public announcement by ARPA-E; therefore, **this Cover Page and Summary should not contain confidential or proprietary information**. See Section VIII.I of the FOA for additional information on marking confidential information

5. FIFTH COMPONENT: SUMMARY SLIDE

Applicants are required to provide a single PowerPoint slide summarizing the proposed project. The slide must be submitted in Microsoft PowerPoint format. This slide will be used during ARPA-E's evaluation of Full Applications. A summary slide template and a sample summary slide are available on ARPA-E eXCHANGE (https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov).
Summary Slides must conform to the content requirements described below:

- A Technology Summary;
  - Bullet points that describe novel aspects of the proposed technology and technology approach;
- A description of the technology's impact;
  - Quantitative description (through text or graphic) of the impact the proposed project will provide to the market and ARPA-E mission areas;
- Proposed Targets;
  - Including any important technical performance metrics and/or impact categories;
  - Including quantitative description of the state of the art;

  - Including quantitative descriptions of the proposed targets;
- Any key graphics (illustrations, charts and/or tables) summarizing technology development and/or impact;
- The project's key idea/takeaway;
- Project title and Principal Investigator information; and
- Requested ARPA-E funds and proposed Applicant cost share.

6. SIXTH COMPONENT: SBA REGISTRATION CERTIFICATE

Applicants are required to provide a copy of the SBA Registration Certificate generated in the SBA Company Registry (http://sbir.gov/registration) in Adobe PDF format (see Section IV.A.1 of the FOA). Applicants that have previously registered in the SBA Company Registry may submit a copy their existing Registration Certificate.

7. SEVENTH COMPONENT: CERTIFICATION FOR APPLICANTS MAJORITY-OWNED BY MULTIPLE VENTURE CAPITAL OPERATING COMPANIES, HEDGE FUNDS, AND PRIVATE EQUITY FIRMS

Only those Applicants that are majority-owned by multiple venture capital operating companies, hedge funds, or private equity firms are required to complete the Certification for Applicants Majority-Owned by Multiple Venture Capital Operating Companies, Hedge Funds, and Private Equity Funds. The certification must be submitted in Adobe PDF format. This form is available on ARPA-E eXCHANGE at https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov.

In the Certification for Applicants Majority-Owned by Multiple Venture Capital Operating Companies, Hedge Funds, and Private Equity Funds, the Applicant is required to self-identify as an entity that falls into one of those categories, verify its ownership status, and verify that it has registered in the SBA Company Registry (http://sbir.gov/registration) as such an entity.

Applicants that are not majority-owned by multiple venture capital operating companies, hedge funds, or private equity firms are not required to complete this certification.

8. EIGHTH COMPONENT: BUSINESS ASSURANCES & DISCLOSURES FORM

Applicants are required to provide the information requested in the Business Assurances & Disclosures Form. The information must be submitted in Adobe PDF format. A fillable Business Assurances & Disclosures Form template is available on ARPA-E eXCHANGE at https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov. A sample response to the Business Assurances & Disclosures Form is also available on ARPA-E eXCHANGE.

In the Business Assurances & Disclosures Form, the Applicant is required to:

- Acknowledge that it has reviewed SBA's eligibility requirements for the SBIR and STTR programs and that it anticipates that it will be able to certify eligibility to participate in ARPA-E's SBIR/STTR program at the time of award

- Disclose conditions bearing on responsibility, such as criminal convictions and Federal tax liability
- Disclose potential conflicts of interest within the Project Team

In addition, ARPA-E is required by statute to "accelerat[e] transformational technological advances in areas that industry is by itself not likely to undertake because of technical and financial uncertainty."[35] In accordance with ARPA-E's statutory mandate, the Applicant is required to:

- Describe the additionality and risks associated with the proposed R&D project

- Disclose any applications for the same project or related work currently pending with any Federal or non-Federal entities

- Disclose all funding for work in the same technology area as the proposed project received from any Federal or non-Federal entity within the last 5 years

- The Applicant may use the Business Assurances & Disclosures Form to request authorization to perform some work overseas

Each entity on a Project Team must also report the entity's DUNS number, confirmation of active registration in SAM, or the dates when the entity began or will begin the process of obtaining a DUNS number and/or register in SAM.

9. NINTH COMPONENT: U.S. MANUFACTURING PLAN

As part of the application, Applicants are required to submit a U.S. Manufacturing Plan that should not exceed one page in length. The U.S. Manufacturing Plan represents the Applicant's measurable commitment to support U.S. manufacturing as a result of its award. U.S. Manufacturing Plans are a Program Policy Factor during the review and selection process. See Section V.B.1 of the FOA. A U.S. Manufacturing Plan must contain a commitment to the U.S manufacturing requirements stated in Section VI.B.8 below.

In addition, the plan should include other specific and measurable commitments. For example, an Applicant may commit particular types of products to be manufactured in the U.S. These

[35] America COMPETES Act, Pub. L. No. 110-69, § 5012 (2007), as amended (codified at 42 U.S.C. § 16538).

plans should not include requirements regarding the source of inputs used during the manufacturing process. In addition to or instead of making a commitment tied to a particular product, the Applicant may make other types of commitments still beneficial to U.S. manufacturing. An Applicant may commit to a particular investment in a new or existing U.S. manufacturing facility, keep certain activities based in the U.S. (i.e., final assembly), or support a certain number of jobs in the U.S. related to the technology and manufacturing.

When an Applicant is selected for an award, the U.S. Manufacturing Plan submitted by the Applicant will become part of the terms and conditions of the award. It is important to note that the U.S. Manufacturing Plan is in support of and not a replacement for the U.S. Manufacturing Requirement described in Section VI.B.8. The Applicant/Awardee may request a waiver or modification of the U.S. Manufacturing Plan from DOE/ARPA-E upon a showing that the original U.S. Manufacturing Plan is no longer economically feasible.

Class patent waivers usually apply to domestic large businesses as set forth in Section VIII.A of the FOA. Under this class patent waiver, domestic large businesses may elect title to their subject inventions similar to the right provided to the domestic small businesses, educational institutions, and nonprofits by law. In order to avail itself of the class patent waiver, a domestic large business must agree that any products embodying or produced through the use of an invention conceived or first actually reduced to practice under the award will be substantially manufactured in the United States, unless a waiver is granted by DOE/ARPA-E. The U.S. Manufacturing Plan submitted by the Applicant will become part of the terms and conditions of the award in addition to the requirements attaching to subject inventions.

E. CONTENT AND FORM OF REPLIES TO REVIEWER COMMENTS

Written feedback on Full Applications is made available to Applicants before the submission deadline for Replies to Reviewer Comments. Applicants have a brief opportunity to prepare a short Reply to Reviewer Comments responding to one or more comments or supplementing their Full Application. A fillable Reply to Reviewer Comments template is available on ARPA-E eXCHANGE (https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov).

Replies to Reviewer Comments must conform to the following requirements:

- The Reply to Reviewer Comments must be submitted in Adobe PDF format.
- The Reply to Reviewer Comments must be written in English.
- All pages must be formatted to fit on 8-1/2 by 11 inch paper with margins not less than one inch on every side. Use Times New Roman typeface, a black font color, and a font size of 12 points or larger (except in figures and tables).
- The Control Number must be prominently displayed on the upper right corner of the header of every page. Page numbers must be included in the footer of every page.

ARPA-E may not review or consider noncompliant Replies to Reviewer Comments (see Section III.F.1 of the FOA). ARPA-E will review and consider each compliant and responsive Full Application, even if no Reply is submitted or if the Reply is found to be noncompliant.

Replies to Reviewer Comments must conform to the following content and form requirements, including maximum page lengths, described below. If a Reply to Reviewer Comments is more than three pages in length, ARPA-E will review only the first three pages and disregard any additional pages.

SECTION	PAGE LIMIT	DESCRIPTION
Text	2 pages maximum	• Applicants may respond to one or more reviewer comments or supplement their Full Application.
Images	1 page maximum	• Applicants may provide graphs, charts, or other data to respond to reviewer comments or supplement their Full Application.

F. INTERGOVERNMENTAL REVIEW

This program is not subject to Executive Order 12372 (Intergovernmental Review of Federal Programs).

G. FUNDING RESTRICTIONS

1. ALLOWABLE COSTS

All expenditures must be allowable, allocable, and reasonable in accordance with the applicable Federal cost principles. ARPA-E has listed the Federal cost principles for different categories of Applicants at http://arpa-e.energy.gov/?q=site-page/post-award-guidance-sbir-sttr.

2. PRE-AWARD COSTS

ARPA-E will not reimburse any pre-award costs incurred by Applicants before they are selected for award negotiations. Please refer to Section VI.A of the FOA for guidance on award notices.

Upon selection for award negotiations, Applicants may incur pre-award costs at their own risk, consistent with the requirements in 2 C.F.R. Part 200, as modified by 2 C.F.R. Part 910, and other Federal laws and regulations. ARPA-E generally does not accept budgets as submitted with the Full Application. Budgets are typically reworked during award negotiations. ARPA-E is under no obligation to reimburse pre-award costs if, for any reason, the Applicant does not receive an award or the award is made for a lesser amount than the Applicant expected, or if the costs incurred are not allowable, allocable, or reasonable.

Please refer to the "SBIR/STTR Applicants' Guide to ARPA-E Award Negotiations" (http://arpa-e.energy.gov/?q=arpa-e-site-page/pre-award-guidance-sbir-sttr) for additional guidance on pre-award costs.

3. PATENT COSTS

For Subject Inventions disclosed to DOE under an award, ARPA-E will reimburse the Prime Recipient – in addition to allowable costs associated with Subject Invention disclosures - up to $30,000 of expenditures for filing and prosecution of United States patent applications, including international applications ("PCT application") submitted to the USPTO.

The Prime Recipient may request a waiver of the $30,000 cap which is subject to approval by the ARPA-E Program Director and Contracting Officer.

4. CONSTRUCTION

ARPA-E generally does not fund projects that involve major construction. Recipients are required to obtain written authorization from the Contracting Officer before incurring any major construction costs.

5. FOREIGN TRAVEL

ARPA-E generally does not fund projects that involve foreign travel. Recipients are required to obtain written authorization from the Contracting Officer before incurring any foreign travel costs and provide trip reports with their reimbursement requests.

6. PERFORMANCE OF WORK IN THE UNITED STATES

ARPA-E strongly encourages interdisciplinary and cross-sectoral collaboration spanning organizational boundaries. Such collaboration enables the achievement of scientific and technological outcomes that were previously viewed as extremely difficult, if not impossible.

ARPA-E requires all work under ARPA-E funding agreements to be performed in the United States – i.e., Prime Recipients must expend 100% of the Total Project Cost in the United States. However, Applicants may request a waiver of this requirement where their project would materially benefit from, or otherwise requires, certain work to be performed overseas.

Applicants seeking a waiver of this requirement are required to include an explicit request in the Business Assurances & Disclosures Form, which is part of the Full Application submitted to ARPA-E. Such waivers are granted where there is a demonstrated need, as determined by ARPA-E.

7. PURCHASE OF NEW EQUIPMENT

All equipment purchased under ARPA-E funding agreements must be made or manufactured in the United States, to the maximum extent practicable. This requirement does not apply to used or leased equipment. The Prime Recipients are required to notify the ARPA-E Contracting Officer reasonably in advance of purchasing any equipment that is not made or manufactured in the United States with an acquisition cost of $25,000 or more per unit. The ARPA-E Contracting Officer will provide consent to purchase or reject within 30 calendar days of receipt of the Recipient's notification.

8. LOBBYING

Prime Recipients and Subrecipients may not use any Federal funds, directly or indirectly, to influence or attempt to influence, directly or indirectly, congressional action on any legislative or appropriation matters pending before Congress, other than to communicate to Members of Congress as described in 18 U.S.C. § 1913. This restriction is in addition to those prescribed elsewhere in statute and regulation.

Prime Recipients and Subrecipients are required to complete and submit SF-LLL, "Disclosure of Lobbying Activities" (http://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/omb/grants/sflllin.pdf) if any non-Federal funds have been paid or will be paid to any person for influencing or attempting to influence any of the following in connection with your application:

- An officer or employee of any Federal agency,
- A Member of Congress,
- An officer or employee of Congress, or
- An employee of a Member of Congress.

9. CONFERENCE SPENDING

Prime Recipients and Subrecipients may not use any Federal funds to:

- Defray the cost to the United States Government of a conference held by any Executive branch department, agency, board, commission, or office which is not directly and programmatically related to the purpose for which their ARPA-E award is made and for which the cost to the United States Government is more than $20,000; or

- To circumvent the required notification by the head of any such Executive Branch department, agency, board, commission, or office to the Inspector General (or senior ethics official for any entity without an Inspector General), of the date, location, and number of employees attending such a conference.

10. INDEPENDENT RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT COSTS

ARPA-E does not fund Independent Research and Development (IR&D) as part of an indirect cost rate under its financial assistance awards. IR&D, as defined at FAR 31.205-18(a), includes cost of effort that is not sponsored by an assistance agreement or required in performance of a contract, and that consists of projects falling within the four following areas: (i) basic research, (ii) applied research, (iii) development, and (iv) systems and other concept formulation studies.

ARPA-E's goals are to enhance the economic and energy security of the United States through the development of energy technologies and ensure that the United States maintains a technological lead in developing and deploying advanced energy technologies. ARPA-E accomplishes these goals by providing financial assistance for energy technology projects, and has well recognized and established procedures for supporting research through competitive financial assistance awards based on merit review of proposed projects. Reimbursement for independent research and development costs through the indirect cost mechanism could circumvent this competitive process.

To ensure that all projects receive similar and equal consideration, eligible organizations may compete for direct funding of independent research projects they consider worthy of support by submitting proposals for those projects to ARPA-E. Since proposals for these projects may be submitted for direct funding, costs for independent research and development projects are not allowable as indirect costs under ARPA-E awards. IR&D costs, however, would still be included in the direct cost base that is used to calculate the indirect rate so as to ensure an appropriate allocation of indirect costs to the organization's direct cost centers.

H. OTHER SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS

1. USE OF ARPA-E eXCHANGE

To apply to this FOA, Applicants must register with ARPA-E eXCHANGE (https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov/Registration.aspx). Concept Papers, Full Applications, and Replies to Reviewer Comments must be submitted through ARPA-E eXCHANGE (https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov/login.aspx). ARPA-E will not review or consider applications submitted through other means (e.g., fax, hand delivery, email, postal mail). For detailed guidance on using ARPA-E eXCHANGE, please refer to the "ARPA-E eXCHANGE Applicant Guide" (https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov/Manuals.aspx).

Upon creating an application submission in ARPA-E eXCHANGE, Applicants will be assigned a Control Number. If the Applicant creates more than one application submission, a different Control Number will be assigned for each application.

Once logged in to ARPA-E eXCHANGE (https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov/login.aspx), Applicants may access their submissions by clicking the "My Submissions" link in the navigation on the left

side of the page. Every application that the Applicant has submitted to ARPA-E and the corresponding Control Number is displayed on that page. If the Applicant submits more than one application to a particular FOA, a different Control Number is shown for each application.

Applicants are responsible for meeting each submission deadline in ARPA-E eXCHANGE. **Applicants are strongly encouraged to submit their applications at least 48 hours in advance of the submission deadline.** Under normal conditions (i.e., at least 48 hours in advance of the submission deadline), Applicants should allow at least 1 hour to submit a Concept Paper, or Full Application. In addition, Applicants should allow at least 15 minutes to submit a Reply to Reviewer Comments. Once the application is submitted in ARPA-E eXCHANGE, Applicants may revise or update their application until the expiration of the applicable deadline.

Applicants should not wait until the last minute to begin the submission process. During the final hours before the submission deadline, Applicants may experience server/connection congestion that prevents them from completing the necessary steps in ARPA-E eXCHANGE to submit their applications. **ARPA-E will not extend the submission deadline for Applicants that fail to submit required information and documents due to server/connection congestion.**

ARPA-E may not review or consider incomplete applications and applications received after the deadline stated in the FOA. Such applications may be deemed noncompliant (see Section III.F.1 of the FOA). The following errors could cause an application to be deemed "incomplete" and thus noncompliant:

- Failing to comply with the form and content requirements in Section IV of the FOA;
- Failing to enter required information in ARPA-E eXCHANGE;
- Failing to upload required document(s) to ARPA-E eXCHANGE;
- Failing to click the "Submit" button in ARPA-E eXCHANGE by the deadline stated in the FOA;
- Uploading the wrong document(s) or application(s) to ARPA-E eXCHANGE; and
- Uploading the same document twice, but labeling it as different documents. (In the latter scenario, the Applicant failed to submit a required document.)

ARPA-E urges Applicants to carefully review their applications and to allow sufficient time for the submission of required information and documents.

V. APPLICATION REVIEW INFORMATION

A. CRITERIA

ARPA-E performs a preliminary review of Full Applications to determine whether they are compliant and responsive (see Section III.F of the FOA). ARPA-E also performs a preliminary review of Replies to Reviewer Comments to determine whether they are compliant.

ARPA-E considers a mix of quantitative and qualitative criteria in determining whether to encourage the submission of a Full Application and whether to select a Full Application for award negotiations.

1. CRITERIA FOR CONCEPT PAPERS

(1) *Impact of the Proposed Technology Relative to FOA Targets* (50%) - This criterion involves consideration of the following:

- The potential for a transformational and disruptive (not incremental) advancement compared to existing or emerging technologies;
- Identification of techno-economic challenges that must be overcome for the proposed technology to be commercially relevant; and
- Demonstration of awareness of competing commercial and emerging technologies and identifies how the proposed concept/technology provides significant improvement over existing solutions.

(2) *Overall Scientific and Technical Merit* (50%) - This criterion involves consideration of the following:

- The feasibility of the proposed work, as justified by appropriate background, theory, simulation, modeling, experimental data, or other sound scientific and engineering practices;
- Sufficiency of technical approach to accomplish the proposed R&D objectives, including why the proposed concept is more appropriate than alternative approaches and how technical risk will be mitigated;
- Clearly defined project outcomes and final deliverables; and
- The demonstrated capabilities of the individuals performing the project, the key capabilities of the organizations comprising the Project Team, the roles and responsibilities of each organization and (if applicable) previous collaborations among team members supporting the proposed project.

Submissions will not be evaluated against each other since they are not submitted in accordance with a common work statement. The above criteria will be weighted as follows:

Impact of the Proposed Technology Relative to FOA Targets	50%
Overall Scientific and Technical Merit	50%

2. CRITERIA FOR FULL APPLICATIONS

Full Applications are evaluated based on the following criteria:

(1) *Impact of the Proposed Technology* (30%) - This criterion involves consideration of the following:

- The potential for a transformational and disruptive (not incremental) advancement in one or more energy-related fields;
- Thorough understanding of the current state-of-the-art and presentation of an innovative technical approach to significantly improve performance over the current state-of-the-art;
- Awareness of competing commercial and emerging technologies and identification of how the proposed concept/technology provides significant improvement over these other solutions; and
- A reasonable and effective strategy for transitioning the proposed technology from the laboratory to commercial deployment.

(2) *Overall Scientific and Technical Merit* (30%) - This criterion involves consideration of the following:

- Whether the proposed work is unique and innovative;
- Clearly defined project outcomes and final deliverables;
- Substantiation that the proposed project is likely to meet or exceed the Technical Program Metrics identified in this FOA;
- Feasibility of the proposed work based upon preliminary data or other background information and sound scientific and engineering practices and principles;
- A sound technical approach, including appropriately defined technical tasks, to accomplish the proposed R&D objectives; and
- Management of risk, to include identifying major technical R&D risks and feasible, effective mitigation strategies.

(3) *Qualifications, Experience, and Capabilities of the Proposed Project Team* (30%) - This criterion involves consideration of the following**:**

- The PI and Project Team have the skill and expertise needed to successfully execute the project plan, evidenced by prior experience that demonstrates an ability to perform R&D of similar risk and complexity; and

- Access to the equipment and facilities necessary to accomplish the proposed R&D effort and/or a clear plan to obtain access to necessary equipment and facilities.

(4) *Soundness of Management Plan* (10%) - This criterion involves consideration of the following:

- Plausibility of plan to manage people and resources;
- Allocation of appropriate levels of effort and resources to proposed tasks;
- Reasonableness of the proposed project schedule, including major milestones; and
- Reasonableness of the proposed budget to accomplish the proposed project.

Submissions will not be evaluated against each other since they are not submitted in accordance with a common work statement.

3. CRITERIA FOR REPLIES TO REVIEWER COMMENTS

ARPA-E has not established separate criteria to evaluate Replies to Reviewer Comments. Instead, Replies to Reviewer Comments are evaluated as an extension of the Full Application.

B. REVIEW AND SELECTION PROCESS

1. PROGRAM POLICY FACTORS

In addition to the above criteria, ARPA-E may consider the following program policy factors in determining which Concept Papers to encourage to submit a Full Application and which Full Applications to select for award negotiations:

I. **ARPA-E Portfolio Balance**. Project balances ARPA-E portfolio in one or more of the following areas:
   a. Diversity of technical personnel in the proposed Project Team;
   b. Technological diversity;
   c. Organizational diversity;
   d. Geographic diversity;
   e. Technical or commercialization risk; or
   f. Stage of technology development.

II. **Relevance to ARPA-E Mission Advancement.** Project contributes to one or more of ARPA-E's key statutory goals:
   a. Reduction of US dependence on foreign energy sources;
   b. Stimulation of domestic manufacturing/U.S. Manufacturing Plan;
   c. Reduction of energy-related emissions;
   d. Increase in U.S. energy efficiency;
   e. Enhancement of U.S. economic and energy security; or

f. Promotion of U.S. advanced energy technologies competitiveness.

III. **Synergy of Public and Private Efforts**.
   a. Avoids duplication and overlap with other publicly or privately funded projects;
   b. Promotes increased coordination with nongovernmental entities for demonstration of technologies and research applications to facilitate technology transfer; or
   c. Increases unique research collaborations.

IV. **Low likelihood of other sources of funding.** High technical and/or financial uncertainty that results in the non-availability of other public, private or internal funding or resources to support the project.

V. **High Project Impact Relative to Project Cost.**

2. ARPA-E Reviewers

By submitting an application to ARPA-E, Applicants consent to ARPA-E's use of Federal employees, contractors, and experts from educational institutions, nonprofits, industry, and governmental and intergovernmental entities as reviewers. ARPA-E selects reviewers based on their knowledge and understanding of the relevant field and application, their experience and skills, and their ability to provide constructive feedback on applications.

ARPA-E requires all reviewers to complete a Conflict-of-Interest Certification and Nondisclosure Agreement through which they disclose their knowledge of any actual or apparent conflicts and agree to safeguard confidential information contained in Concept Papers, Full Applications, and Replies to Reviewer Comments. In addition, ARPA-E trains its reviewers in proper evaluation techniques and procedures.

Applicants are not permitted to nominate reviewers for their applications. Applicants may contact the Contracting Officer by email (ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov) if they have knowledge of a potential conflict of interest or a reasonable belief that a potential conflict exists.

3. ARPA-E Support Contractor

ARPA-E utilizes contractors to assist with the evaluation of applications and project management. To avoid actual and apparent conflicts of interest, ARPA-E prohibits its support contractors from submitting or participating in the preparation of applications to ARPA-E.

By submitting an application to ARPA-E, Applicants represent that they are not performing support contractor services for ARPA-E in any capacity and did not obtain the assistance of

ARPA-E's support contractor to prepare the application. ARPA-E will not consider any applications that are submitted by or prepared with the assistance of its support contractors.

C. ANTICIPATED ANNOUNCEMENT AND AWARD DATES

ARPA-E expects to announce selections for negotiations in approximately March 2019 and to execute funding agreements in approximately June 2019.

VI. AWARD ADMINISTRATION INFORMATION

A. AWARD NOTICES

1. REJECTED SUBMISSIONS

Noncompliant and nonresponsive Concept Papers and Full Applications are rejected by the Contracting Officer and are not merit reviewed or considered for award. The Contracting Officer sends a notification letter by email to the technical and administrative points of contact designated by the Applicant in ARPA-E eXCHANGE. The notification letter states the basis upon which the Concept Paper or Full Application was rejected.

2. CONCEPT PAPER NOTIFICATIONS

ARPA-E promptly notifies Applicants of its determination to encourage or discourage the submission of a Full Application. ARPA-E sends a notification letter by email to the technical and administrative points of contact designated by the Applicant in ARPA-E eXCHANGE. ARPA-E provides feedback in the notification letter in order to guide further development of the proposed technology.

Applicants may submit a Full Application even if they receive a notification discouraging them from doing so. By discouraging the submission of a Full Application, ARPA-E intends to convey its lack of programmatic interest in the proposed project. Such assessments do not necessarily reflect judgments on the merits of the proposed project. The purpose of the Concept Paper phase is to save Applicants the considerable time and expense of preparing a Full Application that is unlikely to be selected for award negotiations.

A notification letter encouraging the submission of a Full Application does not authorize the Applicant to commence performance of the project. Please refer to Section IV.G.2 of the FOA for guidance on pre-award costs.

3. FULL APPLICATION NOTIFICATIONS

ARPA-E promptly notifies Applicants of its determination. ARPA-E sends a notification letter by email to the technical and administrative points of contact designated by the Applicant in ARPA-E eXCHANGE. The notification letter may inform the Applicant that its Full Application was selected for award negotiations, or not selected. Alternatively, ARPA-E may notify one or more Applicants that a final selection determination on particular Full Applications will be made at a later date, subject to the availability of funds or other factors.

Written feedback on Full Applications is made available to Applicants before the submission deadline for Replies to Reviewer Comments. By providing feedback, ARPA-E intends to guide

the further development of the proposed technology and to provide a brief opportunity to respond to reviewer comments.

a. Successful Applicants

ARPA-E has discretion to select all or part of a proposed project for negotiation of an award. A notification letter selecting a Full Application for award negotiations does not authorize the Applicant to commence performance of the project. **ARPA-E selects Full Applications for award negotiations, not for award.** Applicants do not receive an award until award negotiations are complete and the Contracting Officer executes the funding agreement. ARPA-E may terminate award negotiations at any time for any reason.

Please refer to Section IV.G.2 of the FOA for guidance on pre-award costs. Please also refer to the "SBIR/STTR Applicants' Guide to ARPA-E Award Negotiations" (http://arpa-e.energy.gov/?q=arpa-e-site-page/pre-award-guidance-sbir-sttr) for guidance on the award negotiation process.

b. Postponed Selection Determinations

A notification letter postponing a final selection determination until a later date does not authorize the Applicant to commence performance of the project. ARPA-E may ultimately determine to select or not select the Full Application for award negotiations.

Please refer to Section IV.G.2 of the FOA for guidance on pre-award costs.

c. Unsuccessful Applicants

By not selecting a Full Application, ARPA-E intends to convey its lack of programmatic interest in the proposed project. Such assessments do not necessarily reflect judgments on the merits of the proposed project. ARPA-E hopes that unsuccessful Applicants will submit innovative ideas and concepts for future FOAs.

B. Administrative and National Policy Requirements

The following administrative and national policy requirements apply to Prime Recipients. The Prime Recipient is the responsible authority regarding the settlement and satisfaction of all contractual and administrative issues, including but not limited to disputes and claims arising out of any agreement between the Prime Recipient and a FFRDC contractor. Prime Recipients are required to flow down these requirements to their Subrecipients through subawards or related agreements.

1. DUNS NUMBER AND SAM, FSRS, AND FEDCONNECT REGISTRATIONS

Prime Recipients and Subrecipients are required to obtain a Dun and Bradstreet Data Universal Numbering System (DUNS) number at http://fedgov.dnb.com/webform and to register with the System for Award Management (SAM) at https://www.sam.gov/portal/public/SAM/. Prime Recipients and Subrecipients should commence this process as soon as possible in order to expedite the execution of a funding agreement. Obtaining a DUNS number and registering with SAM could take several weeks.

Prime Recipients are also required to register with the Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act Subaward Reporting System (FSRS) at https://www.fsrs.gov/.[36] Prime Recipients are required to report to FSRS the names and total compensation of each of the Prime Recipient's five most highly compensated executives and the names and total compensation of each Subrecipient's five most highly compensated executives. Please refer to https://www.fsrs.gov/ for guidance on reporting requirements.

ARPA-E may not execute a funding agreement with the Prime Recipient until it has obtained a DUNS number and completed its SAM and FSRS registrations. In addition, the Prime Recipient may not execute subawards with Subrecipients until they obtain a DUNS number and complete their SAM registration. Prime Recipients and Subrecipients are required to keep their SAM and FSRS data current throughout the duration of the project.

Finally, Prime Recipients are required to register with FedConnect in order to receive notification that their funding agreement has been executed by the Contracting Officer and to obtain a copy of the executed funding agreement. Please refer to https://www.fedconnect.net/FedConnect/ for registration instructions.

2. NATIONAL POLICY ASSURANCES

Project Teams, including Prime Recipients and Subrecipients, are required to comply with the National Policy Assurances attached to their funding agreement in accordance with 2 C.F.R. 200.300. Please refer to ARPA-E's Model Cooperative Agreement for SBIR/STTR awards (http://arpa-e.energy.gov/?q=arpa-e-site-page/award-guidance-sbir-sttr) for guidance on the National Policy Assurances.

3. PROOF OF COST SHARE COMMITMENT AND ALLOWABILITY

Upon selection for award negotiations, the Prime Recipient must confirm in writing that the proposed cost share contribution is allowable in accordance with applicable Federal cost principles.

[15] The Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act, P.L. 109-282, 31 U.S.C. 6101 note.

The Prime Recipient is also required to provide cost share commitment letters from Subrecipients or third parties that are providing cost share, whether cash or in-kind. Each Subrecipient or third party that is contributing cost share must provide a letter on appropriate letterhead that is signed by an authorized corporate representative.
Please refer to the "SBIR/STTR Applicants' Guide to ARPA-E Award Negotiations" (http://arpa-e.energy.gov/?q=arpa-e-site-page/pre-award-guidance-sbir-sttr) for guidance on the contents of cost share commitment letters. The ARPA-E Contracting Officer will determine if cost share is allowable under applicable Federal cost principles. For additional information on cost share types and allowability see Section III.E.5 of the FOA.

4. COST SHARE PAYMENTS[37]

All proposed cost share contributions for Phase II and Phase IIS of Combined Phase I/II/IIS awards must be reviewed in advance by the Contracting Officer and incorporated into the project budget before the expenditures are incurred.

ARPA-E requires Prime Recipients to contribute the cost share amount incrementally during the performance of work in Phase II and/or Phase IIS.[38] Specifically, every Prime Recipient is required to contribute, at a minimum, the cost share percentage of total expenditures incurred during every billing period in Phase II and/or Phase IIS (subject to any applicable Cost Share Grace Period). For example, a Prime Recipient is required to contribute at least 10% of the total expenditures incurred during every billing period in Phase II if the funding agreement states that the cost share percentage in Phase II is 10%.

Prime Recipients must submit written documentation with every reimbursement request demonstrating that it (or Project Team, as appropriate) has provided the requisite cost share during the relevant billing period.

If Prime Recipients anticipate difficulty providing the requisite cost share every billing period, they may request authorization from the Contracting Officer upon selection for award negotiations to deviate from ARPA-E's standard cost share payment schedule.

Please refer to the "SBIR/STTR Applicants' Guide to ARPA-E Award Negotiations" (http://arpa-e.energy.gov/?q=arpa-e-site-page/pre-award-guidance-sbir-sttr) for additional guidance on cost share payment requirements.

ARPA-E may deny reimbursement requests, in whole or in part, or modify or terminate funding agreements where Prime Recipients (or Project Teams) fail to comply with ARPA-E's cost share payment requirements.

[16] Please refer to Section III.E of the FOA for guidance on cost share requirements.
[38] Prime Recipients may elect to pay the entire cost share amount at the start of the project.

5. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT QUESTIONNAIRE

By law, ARPA-E is required to evaluate the potential environmental impact of projects that it is considering for funding. In particular, ARPA-E must determine before funding a project whether the project qualifies for a categorical exclusion under 10 C.F.R. § 1021.410 or whether it requires further environmental review (i.e., an environmental assessment or an environmental impact statement).

To facilitate and expedite ARPA-E's environmental review, Prime Recipients are required to complete an Environmental Impact Questionnaire during award negotiations. This form is available on ARPA-E eXCHANGE at http://arpa-e.energy.gov/?q=arpa-e-site-page/pre-award-guidance-sbir-sttr. The Environmental Impact Questionnaire is due within 21 calendar days of the selection announcement.

6. TECHNOLOGY-TO-MARKET PLAN

During award negotiations, Prime Recipients are required to negotiate and submit an initial Technology-to-Market Plan for Phase II and Phase IIS with the ARPA-E Program Director, and obtain the ARPA-E Program Director's approval prior to the execution of the award. During the project period, Prime Recipients are required to provide regular updates on the initial Technology-to-Market plan and report on implementation of Technology-to-Market activities. Prime Recipients may be required to perform other actions to further the commercialization of their respective technologies. Prime Recipients are not required to negotiate a Technology-to-Market Plan for Phase I only awards.

ARPA-E may waive or modify this requirement, as appropriate.

7. INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY AND DATA MANAGEMENT PLANS

ARPA-E requires every Project Team to negotiate and establish an Intellectual Property Management Plan for the management and disposition of intellectual property arising from the project. The Prime Recipient must submit a completed and signed Intellectual Property Management plan to ARPA-E within six weeks of the effective date of the ARPA-E funding agreement. All Intellectual Property Management Plans are subject to the terms and conditions of the ARPA-E funding agreement and its intellectual property provisions, and applicable Federal laws, regulations, and policies, all of which take precedence over the terms of Intellectual Property Management Plans.

ARPA-E has developed a template for Intellectual Property Management Plans (http://arpa-e.energy.gov/?q=arpa-e-site-page/award-guidance-sbir-sttr) so as to facilitate and expedite negotiations between Project Team members. ARPA-E does not mandate the use of this template. ARPA-E and DOE do not make any warranty (express or implied) or assume any liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the template. ARPA-E

and DOE strongly encourage Project Teams to consult independent legal counsel before using the template.

Awardees are also required, post-award, to submit a Data Management Plan (DMP) that addresses how data generated in the course of the work performed under an ARPA-E award will be preserved and, as appropriate, shared publicly. The Prime Recipient must submit a completed and signed DMP - as part of the Team's Intellectual Property Management Plan - to ARPA-E within six weeks of the effective date of the ARPA-E funding agreement. The DMP must meet the minimum requirements set forth in ARPA-E's "Applicant Guide to Award Negotiations" available at the following website: http://arpa-e.energy.gov/?q=arpa-e-site-page/pre-award-guidance."

8. U.S. MANUFACTURING REQUIREMENT

As part of its Full Application, each applicant is required to submit a U.S. Manufacturing Plan that includes the following U.S. Manufacturing Requirements. For more information on the required U.S Manufacturing Plan, see Section IV.D.7 above.

a. SMALL BUSINESSES

Small businesses (and in rare cases where a non-profit might manufacture) that are Prime Recipients or Subrecipients under ARPA-E funding agreements must agree that any products embodying any subject invention or produced through the use of any subject invention will be manufactured substantially in the United States for any use or sale anywhere in the world.

Small business must also agree that, for their exclusive and nonexclusive licensees, any products that embody any subject invention or that will be produced through the use of any subject invention will be manufactured substantially in the United States for any use or sale anywhere in the world.

Small businesses must require their assignees and entities acquiring a controlling interest in the small business to apply the same U.S. Manufacturing requirements to their licensees.

b. LARGE BUSINESSES AND FOREIGN ENTITIES

Large businesses that are Prime Recipients or Subrecipients (and in rare cases, foreign entities that are subrecipients) under ARPA-E funding agreements are required to substantially manufacture the following products in the United States: (1) products embodying subject inventions, and (2) products produced through the use of subject inventions. This requirement applies to products that are manufactured for use or sale in the United States and outside the United States.

Large businesses (and in rare cases, foreign entities that are subrecipients) must apply the same U.S. Manufacturing requirements to their assignees, licensees, and entities acquiring a

controlling interest in the large business or foreign entity. Large businesses must require their assignees and entities acquiring a controlling interest in the large business to apply the same U.S. Manufacturing requirements to their licensees.

c. Educational Institutions and Nonprofits

Domestic educational institutions and nonprofits that are Prime Recipients or Subrecipients under ARPA-E funding agreements must require their exclusive and nonexclusive licensees to substantially manufacture the following products in the United States for any use or sale anywhere in the world: (1) articles embodying subject inventions, and (2) articles produced through the use of subject inventions. Educational institutions and nonprofits must require their assignees to apply the same U.S. Manufacturing requirements to their licensees.

d. FFRDCs/DOE Labs and State and Local Government Entities

FFRDCs/DOE Labs that are GOCOs and state and local government entities that are Prime Recipients or Subrecipients under ARPA-E funding agreements must require their exclusive licensees to substantially manufacture the following products in the United States for any use or sale in the United States: (1) products embodying subject inventions, and (2) products produced through the use of subject inventions. This requirement does not apply to products that are manufactured for use or sale overseas. They must also require their assignees to apply the same U.S. Manufacturing requirements to their exclusive licensees. GOGOs are subject to the requirements in 37 CFR § 404.5(a)(2).

e. Criteria for Waiving U.S. Manufacturing Requirements

ARPA-E seeks to "enhance the economic and energy security of the United States …" and "ensure that the United States maintains a technological lead in developing and deploying advanced energy technologies." The preferred benefit to the U.S. economy is the creation and maintenance of manufacturing capabilities and jobs within the United States. However, an applicant or awardee may request a modification or waiver of the standard U.S. Manufacturing Requirement, or its submitted U.S. Manufacturing Plan, if the applicant/awardee can demonstrate to the satisfaction of DOE/ARPA-E that it is not commercially feasible to comply with U.S. manufacturing requirements. In addition, such requests must include a description of specific economic or other benefits to the U.S. economy which are related to the commercial use by requestor of the technology being funded by ARPA-E and which are commensurate with the Government's contribution to the proposed work. These types of benefits are more easily measured and evaluated after technical advance has been made under an award, such as by the making of a subject invention.

Such benefits may include one or more of the following:

- Direct or indirect investment in U.S.-based plant and equipment.

- Creation of new and/or higher-quality U.S.-based jobs.
- Enhancement of the domestic skills base.
- Further domestic development of the technology.
- Significant reinvestment of profits in the domestic economy.
- Positive impact on the U.S. balance of payments in terms of product and service exports as well as foreign licensing royalties and receipts.
- Appropriate recognition of U.S. taxpayer support for the technology; e.g., a quid-pro-quo commensurate with the economic benefit that would be domestically derived by the U.S. taxpayer from U.S.-based manufacture.
- Cross-licensing, sublicensing, and reassignment provisions in licenses which seek to maximize the benefits to the U.S. taxpayer.
- Any foreign manufacturing/use will occur in a country that protects U.S. patents/intellectual property.

9. CORPORATE FELONY CONVICTIONS AND FEDERAL TAX LIABILITY

In submitting an application in response to this FOA, the Applicant represents that:

- It is not a corporation that has been convicted of a felony criminal violation under any Federal law within the preceding 24 months; and

- It is not a corporation that has any unpaid Federal tax liability that has been assessed, for which all judicial and administrative remedies have been exhausted or have lapsed, and that is not being paid in a timely manner pursuant to an agreement with the authority responsible for collecting the tax liability.

For purposes of these representations the following definitions apply: A Corporation includes any entity that has filed articles of incorporation in any of the 50 states, the District of Columbia, or the various territories of the United States [but not foreign corporations]. It includes both for-profit and non-profit organizations.

10. APPLICANT RISK ANALYSIS

If selected for award negotiations, ARPA-E may evaluate the risks posed by the Applicant using the criteria set forth at 2 CFR §200.205(c), subparagraphs (1) through (4). ARPA-E may require special award terms and conditions depending upon results of the risk analysis.

11. RECIPIENT INTEGRITY AND PERFORMANCE MATTERS

Prior to making a Federal award with a total amount of Federal share greater than the simplified acquisition threshold (presently $150,000), ARPA-E is required to review and consider

any information about Applicants that is contained in the Office of Management and Budget's designated integrity and performance system accessible through SAM (currently the Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System or FAPIIS) (41 U.S.C. § 2313 and 2 C.F.R. 200.205).

Applicants may review information in FAPIIS and comment on any information about itself that a Federal awarding agency previously entered into FAPIIS.

ARPA-E will consider any written comments provided by Applicants during award negotiations, in addition to the other information in FAPIIS, in making a judgment about an Applicant's integrity, business ethics, and record of performance under Federal awards when reviewing potential risk posed by Applicants as described in 2 C.F.R. §200.205.

12. NONDISCLOSURE AND CONFIDENTIALITY AGREEMENTS REPRESENTATIONS

In submitting an application in response to this FOA the Applicant represents that:

(1) **It does not and will not** require its employees or contractors to sign internal nondisclosure or confidentiality agreements or statements prohibiting or otherwise restricting its employees or contractors from lawfully reporting waste, fraud, or abuse to a designated investigative or law enforcement representative of a Federal department or agency authorized to receive such information.

(2) **It does not and will not** use any Federal funds to implement or enforce any nondisclosure and/or confidentiality policy, form, or agreement it uses unless it contains the following provisions:

a. *"These provisions are consistent with and do not supersede, conflict with, or otherwise alter the employee obligations, rights, or liabilities created by existing statute or Executive order relating to (1) classified information, (2) communications to Congress, (3) the reporting to an Inspector General of a violation of any law, rule, or regulation, or mismanagement, a gross waste of funds, an abuse of authority, or a substantial and specific danger to public health or safety, or (4) any other whistleblower protection. The definitions, requirements, obligations, rights, sanctions, and liabilities created by controlling Executive orders and statutory provisions are incorporated into this agreement and are controlling."*

b. The limitation above shall not contravene requirements applicable to Standard Form 312, Form 4414, or any other form issued by a Federal department or agency governing the nondisclosure of classified information.

c. Notwithstanding provision listed in paragraph (a), a nondisclosure confidentiality policy form or agreement that is to be executed by a person connected with the

conduct of an intelligence or intelligence-related activity, other than an employee or officer of the United States Government, may contain provisions appropriate to the particular activity for which such document is to be used. Such form or agreement shall, at a minimum, require that the person will not disclose any classified information received in the course of such activity unless specifically authorized to do so by the United States Government. Such nondisclosure or confidentiality forms shall also make it clear that they do not bar disclosure to congress, or to an authorized official of an executive agency or the Department of Justice, that are essential to reporting a substantial violation of law.

C. REPORTING

Recipients are required to submit periodic, detailed reports on technical, financial, and other aspects of the project, as described in Attachment 4 to ARPA-E's Model Cooperative Agreement for SBIR/STTR Awards (http://arpa-e.energy.gov/?q=arpa-e-site-page/award-guidance-sbir-sttr).

VII. AGENCY CONTACTS

A. COMMUNICATIONS WITH ARPA-E

Upon the issuance of a FOA, only the Contracting Officer may communicate with Applicants. ARPA-E personnel and our support contractors are prohibited from communicating (in writing or otherwise) with Applicants regarding the FOA. This "quiet period" remains in effect until ARPA-E's public announcement of its project selections.

During the "quiet period," Applicants are required to submit all questions regarding this FOA to ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov. Questions and Answers (Q&As) about ARPA-E and the FOA are available at http://arpa-e.energy.gov/faq. For questions that have not already been answered, please send an email with the FOA name and number in the subject line to ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov. Due to the volume of questions received, ARPA-E will only answer pertinent questions that have not yet been answered and posted at the above link.

- ARPA-E will post responses on a weekly basis to any questions that are received that have not already been addressed at the link above. ARPA-E may re-phrase questions or consolidate similar questions for administrative purposes.
- ARPA-E will cease to accept questions approximately 10 business days in advance of each submission deadline. Responses to questions received before the cutoff will be posted approximately one business day in advance of the submission deadline. ARPA-E may re-phrase questions or consolidate similar questions for administrative purposes.
- Responses are published in a document specific to this FOA under "CURRENT FUNDING OPPORTUNITIES – FAQS"" on ARPA-E's website (http://arpa-e.energy.gov/faq).

Applicants may submit questions regarding ARPA-E eXCHANGE, ARPA-E's online application portal, to ExchangeHelp@hq.doe.gov. ARPA-E will promptly respond to emails that raise legitimate, technical issues with ARPA-E eXCHANGE. ARPA-E will refer any questions regarding the FOA to ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov.

ARPA-E will not accept or respond to communications received by other means (e.g., fax, telephone, mail, hand delivery). Emails sent to other email addresses will be disregarded.

During the "quiet period," only the Contracting Officer may authorize communications between ARPA-E personnel and Applicants. The Contracting Officer may communicate with Applicants as necessary and appropriate. As described in Section IV.A of the FOA, the Contracting Officer may arrange pre-selection meetings and/or site visits during the "quiet period."

B. DEBRIEFINGS

ARPA-E does not offer or provide debriefings. ARPA-E provides Applicants with a notification encouraging or discouraging the submission of a Full Application based on ARPA-E's assessment of the Concept Paper. In addition, ARPA-E provides Applicants with reviewer comments on Full Applications before the submission deadline for Replies to Reviewer Comments.

VIII. OTHER INFORMATION

A. TITLE TO SUBJECT INVENTIONS

Ownership of subject inventions is governed pursuant to the authorities listed below. Typically, either by operation of law or under the authority of a patent waiver, Prime Recipients and Subrecipients may elect to retain title to their subject inventions under ARPA-E funding agreements.

- Domestic Small Businesses, Educational Institutions, and Nonprofits: Under the Bayh-Dole Act (35 U.S.C. § 200 et seq.), domestic small businesses, educational institutions, and nonprofits may elect to retain title to their subject inventions. If they elect to retain title, they must file a patent application in a timely fashion.
- All other parties: The Federal Non-Nuclear Energy Research and Development Act of 1974, 42. U.S.C. 5908, provides that the Government obtains title to new inventions unless a waiver is granted (*see below)*.
- Class Waiver: Under 42 U.S.C. § 5908, title to subject inventions vests in the U.S. Government and large businesses and foreign entities do not have the automatic right to elect to retain title to subject inventions. However, ARPA-E typically issues "class patent waivers" under which large businesses that meet certain stated requirements, such as cost sharing of at least 20%, may elect to retain title to their subject inventions. If a large business elects to retain title to its subject invention, it must file a patent application in a timely fashion. If the class waiver does not apply, a party may request a waiver in accordance with 10 C.F.R. §784.
- GOGOs are subject to the requirements of 37 C.F.R. Part 501.
- Determination of Exceptional Circumstances (DEC): DOE has determined that exceptional circumstances exist that warrant the modification of the standard patent rights clause for small businesses and non-profit awardees under Bayh-Dole to maximize the manufacture of technologies supported by ARPA-E awards in the United States. The DEC, including a right of appeal, is dated September 9, 2013 and is available at the following link: http://energy.gov/gc/downloads/determination-exceptional-circumstances-under-bayh-dole-act-energy-efficiency-renewable. Please see Section IV.D.7 and VI.B.8 for more information on U.S. Manufacturing Requirements.

B. GOVERNMENT RIGHTS IN SUBJECT INVENTIONS

Where Prime Recipients and Subrecipients retain title to subject inventions, the U.S. Government retains certain rights.

1. GOVERNMENT USE LICENSE

The U.S. Government retains a nonexclusive, nontransferable, irrevocable, paid-up license to practice or have practiced for or on behalf of the United States any subject invention throughout the world. This license extends to contractors doing work on behalf of the Government.

2. MARCH-IN RIGHTS

The U.S. Government retains march-in rights with respect to all subject inventions. Through "march-in rights," the Government may require a Prime Recipient or Subrecipient who has elected to retain title to a subject invention (or their assignees or exclusive licensees), to grant a license for use of the invention. In addition, the Government may grant licenses for use of the subject invention when Prime Recipients, Subrecipients, or their assignees and exclusive licensees refuse to do so.

The U.S. Government may exercise its march-in rights if it determines that such action is necessary under any of the four following conditions:

- The owner or licensee has not taken or is not expected to take effective steps to achieve practical application of the invention within a reasonable time;
- The owner or licensee has not taken action to alleviate health or safety needs in a reasonably satisfactory manner;
- The owner has not met public use requirements specified by Federal statutes in a reasonably satisfactory manner; or
- The U.S. Manufacturing requirement has not been met.

C. RIGHTS IN TECHNICAL DATA

Data rights differ based on whether data is first produced under an award or instead was developed at private expense outside the award.

- Background or "Limited Rights Data": The U.S. Government will not normally require delivery of technical data developed solely at private expense prior to issuance of an award, except as necessary to monitor technical progress and evaluate the potential of proposed technologies to reach specific technical and cost metrics.
- Generated Data: The U.S. Government normally retains very broad rights in technical data produced under Government financial assistance awards, including

the right to distribute to the public. However, pursuant to special statutory authority for SBIR/STTR awards, data generated under ARPA-E SBIR/STTR awards may be protected from public disclosure for up to four years in accordance with provisions that will be set forth in the award. In addition, invention disclosures may be protected from public disclosure for a reasonable time in order to allow for filing a patent application.

D. PROTECTED PERSONALLY IDENTIFIABLE INFORMATION

Applicants may not include any Protected Personally Identifiable Information (Protected PII) in their submissions to ARPA-E. Protected PII is defined as data that, if compromised, could cause harm to an individual such as identity theft. Listed below are examples of Protected PII that Applicants must not include in their submissions.

- Social Security Numbers in any form;
- Place of Birth associated with an individual;
- Date of Birth associated with an individual;
- Mother's maiden name associated with an individual;
- Biometric record associated with an individual;
- Fingerprint;
- Iris scan;
- DNA;
- Medical history information associated with an individual;
- Medical conditions, including history of disease;
- Metric information, e.g. weight, height, blood pressure;
- Criminal history associated with an individual;
- Ratings;
- Disciplinary actions;
- Performance elements and standards (or work expectations) are PII when they are so intertwined with performance appraisals that their disclosure would reveal an individual's performance appraisal;
- Financial information associated with an individual;
- Credit card numbers;
- Bank account numbers; and
- Security clearance history or related information (not including actual clearances held).

E. FOAs and FOA Modifications

FOAs are posted on ARPA-E eXCHANGE (https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov/), Grants.gov (http://www.grants.gov/), and FedConnect (https://www.fedconnect.net/FedConnect/). Any modifications to the FOA are also posted to these websites. You can receive an e-mail when a modification is posted by registering with FedConnect as an interested party for this FOA. It is recommended that you register as soon as possible after release of the FOA to ensure that you receive timely notice of any modifications or other announcements. More information is available at https://www.fedconnect.net.

F. Obligation of Public Funds

The Contracting Officer is the only individual who can make awards on behalf of ARPA-E or obligate ARPA-E to the expenditure of public funds. A commitment or obligation by any individual other than the Contracting Officer, either explicit or implied, is invalid.

ARPA-E awards may not be transferred, assigned, or assumed without the prior written consent of a Contracting Officer.

G. Requirement for Full And Complete Disclosure

Applicants are required to make a full and complete disclosure of the information requested in the Business Assurances & Disclosures Form. Disclosure of the requested information is mandatory. Any failure to make a full and complete disclosure of the requested information may result in:

- The rejection of a Concept Paper, Full Application, and/or Reply to Reviewer Comments;
- The termination of award negotiations;
- The modification, suspension, and/or termination of a funding agreement;
- The initiation of debarment proceedings, debarment, and/or a declaration of ineligibility for receipt of Federal contracts, subcontracts, and financial assistance and benefits; and
- Civil and/or criminal penalties.

H. Retention of Submissions

ARPA-E expects to retain copies of all Concept Papers, Full Applications, Replies to Reviewer Comments, and other submissions. No submissions will be returned. By applying to ARPA-E for funding, Applicants consent to ARPA-E's retention of their submissions.

I. MARKING OF CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION

ARPA-E will use data and other information contained in Concept Papers, Full Applications, and Replies to Reviewer Comments strictly for evaluation purposes.

Concept Papers, Full Applications, Replies to Reviewer Comments, and other submissions containing confidential, proprietary, or privileged information must be marked as described below. Failure to comply with these marking requirements may result in the disclosure of the unmarked information under the Freedom of Information Act or otherwise. The U.S. Government is not liable for the disclosure or use of unmarked information, and may use or disclose such information for any purpose.

The cover sheet of the Concept Paper, Full Application, Reply to Reviewer Comments, or other submission must be marked as follows and identify the specific pages containing confidential, proprietary, or privileged information:

> Notice of Restriction on Disclosure and Use of Data:
>
> Pages [___] of this document may contain confidential, proprietary, or privileged information that is exempt from public disclosure. Such information shall be used or disclosed only for evaluation purposes or in accordance with a financial assistance or loan agreement between the submitter and the Government. The Government may use or disclose any information that is not appropriately marked or otherwise restricted, regardless of source.

The header and footer of every page that contains confidential, proprietary, or privileged information must be marked as follows: "Contains Confidential, Proprietary, or Privileged Information Exempt from Public Disclosure." In addition, every line and paragraph containing proprietary, privileged, or trade secret information must be clearly marked with double brackets or highlighting.

J. ADDITIONAL NOTICES

- This FOA is intended for informational purposes and reflects current planning. If there is any inconsistency between the information contained herein and the terms of any resulting SBIR or STTR funding agreement, the terms of the funding agreement are controlling.
- Before award of an SBIR or STTR funding agreement, ARPA-E may request the selectee to submit certain organizational, management, personnel, and financial information to assure responsibility of the Prime Recipient. In addition, selectees will be required to make certain legal commitments at the time of execution of funding agreements resulting from this FOA. ARPA-E encourages Prime Recipients to review the Model

Cooperative Agreement for SBIR/STTR Awards, which is available at https://arpa-e.energy.gov/?q=site-page/funding-agreements.

- ARPA-E will not pay a fee or profit on Cooperative Agreements resulting from this FOA to recipients or subrecipients.
- Actual or suspected fraud, waste, or abuse may be reported to the DOE Office of Inspector General (OIG) at 1-800-541-1625.

K. COMPLIANCE AUDIT REQUIREMENT

A prime recipient organized as a for-profit entity expending $750,000 or more of DOE funds in the entity's fiscal year (including funds expended as a Subrecipient) must have an annual compliance audit performed at the completion of its fiscal year. For additional information, refer to Subpart F of: (i) 2 C.F.R. Part 200, and (ii) 2 C.F.R. Part 910.

If an educational institution, non-profit organization, or state/local government is either a Prime Recipient or a Subrecipient, and has expended $750,000 or more of Federal funds in the entity's fiscal year, the entity must have an annual compliance audit performed at the completion of its fiscal year. For additional information refer to Subpart F of 2 C.F.R. Part 200.

IX. GLOSSARY

Applicant: The entity that submits the application to ARPA-E. In the case of a Project Team, the Applicant is the lead organization listed on the application.

Application: The entire submission received by ARPA-E, including the Concept Paper, Full Application, and Reply to Reviewer Comments.

ARPA-E: is the Advanced Research Projects Agency – Energy, an agency within the U.S. Department of Energy.

Cost Sharing: is the portion of project costs from non-Federal sources that are borne by the Prime Recipient (or non-Federal third parties on behalf of the Prime Recipient), rather than by the Federal Government.

Deliverable: A deliverable is the quantifiable goods or services that will be provided upon the successful completion of a project task or sub-task.

DOE: U.S. Department of Energy.

DOE/NNSA: U.S. Department of Energy/National Nuclear Security Administration

FFRDCs: Federally Funded Research and Development Centers.

FOA: Funding Opportunity Announcement.

GOCOs: U.S. Government Owned, Contractor Operated laboratories.

GOGOs: U.S. Government Owned, Government Operated laboratories.

Milestone: A milestone is the tangible, observable measurement that will be provided upon the successful completion of a project task or sub-task.

Prime Recipient: The signatory to the funding agreement with ARPA-E.

PI: Principal Investigator.

Project Team: A Project Team consists of the Prime Recipient, Subrecipients, and others performing inventive supportive work that is part of an ARPA-E project.

SBA: U.S. Small Business Administration.

SBIR: Small Business Innovation Research Program.

Small Business Concern: A for-profit entity that: (1) maintains a place of business located in the United States; (2) operates primarily within the United States or makes a significant contribution to the United States economy through payment of taxes or use of American products, materials or labor; (3) is an individual proprietorship, partnership, corporation, limited liability company, joint venture, association, trust, or cooperative; and (4) meets the size eligibility requirements set forth in 13 C.F.R. § 121.702. Where the entity is formed as a joint venture, there can be no more than 49% participation by foreign business entities in the joint venture.

Standalone Applicant: An Applicant that applies for funding on its own, not as part of a Project Team.

STTR: Small Business Technology Transfer Program.

Subject Invention: Any invention conceived or first actually reduced to practice under an ARPA-E funding agreement.

Task: A task is an operation or segment of the work plan that requires both effort and resources. Each task (or sub-task) is connected to the overall objective of the project, via the achievement of a milestone or a deliverable.

Total Project Cost: The sum of the Prime Recipient share and the Federal Government share of total allowable costs. The Federal Government share generally includes costs incurred by GOGOs, FFRDCs, and GOCOs.