

“RECOVERY ACT”
FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE
FUNDING OPPORTUNITY ANNOUNCEMENT



U. S. Department of Energy - Headquarters
Advanced Research Projects Agency – Energy (ARPA-E)
Building Energy Efficiency Through Innovative Thermodevices
(BEETIT)

Funding Opportunity Number: DE-FOA-0000289
Announcement Type: Modification No. 002
CFDA Number: 81.135

***** All questions regarding this funding opportunity announcement must be submitted to ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov. *****

Issue Date: 3/2/2010

Amended: 4/23/2010

Concept Paper Submission: CLOSED 4/3/2010 at 5:00 PM Eastern

Full Application Submission Deadline: 5/24/2010 at 5:00 PM Eastern

Reply to Reviewer Comments Deadline: 6/22/2010 at 5:00 PM Eastern*

***** Applicants will have 4 calendar days to prepare and submit a Reply to Reviewer Comments. Please see Section IV.D for full details. *****

TO: All Prospective Applicants

SUBJECT: Modification No. 002 to Announcement DE-FOA-0000289, Building Energy Efficiency Through Innovative Thermodevices (BEETIT)

- I. The purpose of this amendment is to revise the FOA to include information on the requirements for the Full Application in Section IV.C including the Full Application deadline of May 24, 2010 at 5:00 PM Eastern.**
- II. Cost share requirement information has been added in Sections I.A.1 and III.B.**
- III. Information about applicants responding to reviewer comments was added to Sections I.A.6 and IV.D.**
- IV. Additional guidance on the total project cost is given in Section I.B.7 and II.E.**
- V. Clarification of eligibility requirements in Section III.C.**
- VI. Additional details on the Full Application evaluation criteria and program policy factors were added to Sections V.B and C.**
- VII. Clarification of notice of selection date in Section V.E.**
- VIII. Additional information on award notices was added to Section VI.A.**
- IX. The deadline for questions to ARPA-E about this FOA was changed to May 21, 2010 in Section VII.A.**
- X. Additional guidance on marking the Full Application is given in Section IX.E.**
- XI. Appendix 2 was updated to include the information required by the ARPA-E eXCHANGE for a Full Application.**

All changes from FOA modification 1 are highlighted in yellow in this document.

Table of Contents:

Section I - FUNDING OPPORTUNITY DESCRIPTION	5
A. FUNDING OPPORTUNITY ANNOUNCEMENT (FOA) OVERVIEW	5
A.1. Overview	5
A.2. Introduction	6
A.3. American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (Recovery Act)	6
A.4. Advanced Research Projects Agency – Energy	6
A.5. Strategy for American Innovation	6
A.6. Description of Funding Opportunity	7
B. PROGRAM OVERVIEW	8
B.1. Background	8
B.2. Objectives	9
B.3. Areas of Interest	9
B.5. Concept Paper Structure	15
B.6. Concept Paper Evaluation Criteria	17
B.7. Award Categories and Award Information	17
Section II - AWARD INFORMATION	19
A. TYPE OF AWARD INSTRUMENT	19
B. ESTIMATED FUNDING	19
C. MAXIMUM AND MINIMUM AWARD SIZE	20
D. EXPECTED NUMBER OF AWARDS	20
E. ANTICIPATED AWARD SIZE	20
F. PERIOD OF PERFORMANCE	20
Section III - ELIGIBILITY INFORMATION	21
A. ELIGIBLE APPLICANTS	21
A.1. Participation by Foreign Entities	21
A.2. Organizational Conflicts of Interest	21
B. COST SHARING	21
C. OTHER ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS	22
Section IV - APPLICATION AND SUBMISSION INFORMATION	23
A. REGISTRATION REQUIREMENTS	23
B. CONCEPT PAPER STRUCTURE AND SUBMISSION INFORMATION	23
C. FULL APPLICATION STRUCTURE AND SUBMISSION INFORMATION	25
D. REPLIES TO REVIEWER COMMENTS	34
E. SUBMISSION DATES AND TIMES	35
F. INTERGOVERNMENTAL REVIEW	36
G. FUNDING RESTRICTIONS	36
Section V - APPLICATION REVIEW INFORMATION	37
A. CONCEPT PAPER EVALUATION CRITERIA	37
B. FULL APPLICATION EVALUATION CRITERIA	38
C. OTHER SELECTION FACTORS – PROGRAM POLICY FACTORS	39
D. REVIEW AND SELECTION PROCESS	39
E. ANTICIPATED NOTICE OF SELECTION AND AWARD DATES	40
Section VI - AWARD ADMINISTRATION INFORMATION	41
A. AWARD NOTICES	41
B. ADMINISTRATIVE AND NATIONAL POLICY REQUIREMENTS	41
C. REPORTING	42
Section VII - QUESTIONS/AGENCY CONTACTS	43
A. QUESTIONS AND AGENCY CONTACT	43
Section VIII - OTHER INFORMATION	44
Section IX - SPECIAL PROVISIONS RELATING TO WORK FUNDED UNDER THE AMERICAN RECOVERY AND REINVESTMENT ACT OF 2009 (March 2009)	46
Section X - APPENDICES/REFERENCE MATERIAL	66
APPENDIX 1: END OF PROJECT TARGETS TEMPLATE	66

APPENDIX 2: FULL APPLICATION DATA	67
APPENDIX 3: TECHNOLOGY READINESS LEVEL	68
APPENDIX 4: COST SHARE INFORMATION	70

Section I - FUNDING OPPORTUNITY DESCRIPTION

A. FUNDING OPPORTUNITY ANNOUNCEMENT (FOA) OVERVIEW

A.1. OVERVIEW

Federal Agency Name: Department of Energy: Advanced Research Projects Agency - Energy

Funding Opportunity Title: Building Energy Efficiency Through Innovative Thermodevices (BEETIT)

Funding Opportunity Number: DE-FOA-0000289

Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Numbers (CFDA): 81.135

FOA issue date: March 2, 2010, Updated April, 23, 2010

Concept Paper submission deadline: April 3, 2010 at 5:00 PM Eastern Time—CLOSED

Full Application submission deadline: May 24, 2010 at 5:00 PM Eastern Time

Anticipated Individual Awards: Awards will be for the Government's share of the allowable project costs to be approximately in the range of \$500,000 to \$10 million. Multiple awards are anticipated, but not required. The Government reserves the right for one, several, or no awards under this FOA.

Types of Agreements that may be Awarded: Cooperative Agreement or Technology Investment Agreement (TIA)

Cost Sharing Requirements: If an applicant is exclusively a university or other educational institution ("Educational Institution"), a cost share of at least 10% of the total allowable costs will be required. For consortia or teams consisting exclusively of Educational Institutions, cost share of at least 10% is required. If an applicant is not an Educational Institution ("Other Applicant"), a cost share of at least 20% of the total allowable costs will be required. For consortia or teams including one or more Other Applicants, cost share of at least 20% is required. However, teams or consortia consisting exclusively of Educational Institutions may include Other Applicants under the following conditions: (1) each Other Applicant may incur no more than \$100,000 in total project costs, and (2) together, the Other Applicants may incur no more than \$250,000 in total project costs. Provided these conditions are met, the minimum cost share would be 10%. For awards where ARPA-E determines that use of a TIA is appropriate -- when a standard cooperative agreement is not feasible or appropriate -- a cost share of at least 50% of the total allowable costs will be required to the maximum extent practicable. The Government share includes any costs incurred by Federally Funded Research and Development Centers. Cost sharing beyond the required minimum amount is encouraged and may be considered during the selection process. Monetary cost share is preferred; however, in-kind cost share is permitted and will be considered. Further information can be found in Appendix 4.

Period of Performance: Not to exceed 36 months

Agency Contact:

ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov

Advanced Research Projects Agency - Energy

U.S. Department of Energy

1000 Independence Avenue, S.W.

Washington, D.C. 20585

A.2. INTRODUCTION

This Funding Opportunity Announcement (FOA), funded through the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009¹, is one of three (3) FOAs which are being issued concurrently in the third round of ARPA-E financial assistance awards. The first FOA (DE-FOA-0000065), which closed on August 28, 2009, was narrowly focused on transformational R&D, but intentionally broad on the applications and technologies which could apply. The second round of FOAs, which close on March 15, 2010 (DE-FOA-0000206, 207 and 208) addresses Electrofuels, Batteries for Electrical Energy Storage in Transportation (BEEST), and Innovative Materials and Processes for Advanced Carbon Capture Technology (IMPACCT), respectively. This FOA will seek to further technical objectives related directly to Building Energy Efficiency Through Innovative Thermodevices (BEETIT), as outlined in Section I.B. Program Overview.

A.3. AMERICAN RECOVERY AND REINVESTMENT ACT OF 2009 (RECOVERY ACT)

Projects under this FOA will be funded, in whole or in part, with funds appropriated by the Recovery Act. The Act's purposes are to stimulate the economy and to create and retain jobs. The Act gives preference to activities that can be started and completed expeditiously. Accordingly, special consideration will be given to projects that promote and enhance the objectives of the Act, especially job creation, job preservation and economic recovery, in an expeditious manner. Be advised that special terms and conditions apply to projects funded by the Act. (See Section IX)

A.4. ADVANCED RESEARCH PROJECTS AGENCY – ENERGY

The Advanced Research Projects Agency – Energy (ARPA-E) is an agency within the Department of Energy (DOE), chartered by Congress in the America COMPETES Act (P. L. 110-69) to create transformational new energy technologies and systems through funding and managing research and development (R&D) efforts. The mission of ARPA-E is to overcome the long-term and high-risk technological barriers in the development of energy technologies that can achieve the following ARPA-E Mission Areas, with no direct detriment to any of these Mission Areas:

- (1) Enhance the economic and energy security of the United States through the development of energy technologies that result in:
 - a. reductions of imports of energy from foreign sources;
 - b. reductions of energy-related emissions, including greenhouse gases; and
 - c. improvement in the energy efficiency of all economic sectors; *and*
- (2) Ensure that the United States maintains a technological lead in developing and deploying advanced energy technologies.

Under this announcement, ARPA-E will achieve these goals by funding energy technology projects that (1) translate scientific discoveries and cutting-edge inventions into technological innovations and (2) accelerate transformational technological advances in areas that industry by itself is not likely to undertake because of high technical or financial risk.

A.5. STRATEGY FOR AMERICAN INNOVATION

ARPA-E contributes to a broader national innovation strategy and will help lay the foundation for

¹ P.L. 111-5 or the Recovery Act or Act. For all projects funded through the Recovery Act, special terms and conditions apply. Details are provided in this announcement.

innovation that leads to quality jobs and shared prosperity.² It is expected that energy technologies funded by ARPA-E will help catalyze breakthroughs for national priorities which:

- Harness science and technology to address the "grand challenges" of the 21st century;
- Unleash a clean energy revolution.

Under this FOA, ARPA-E is seeking R&D applications for technologies that, when in wide-spread use, will make substantial, significant, quantitative contributions to these national priorities and ARPA-E Mission Areas. In addition, the proposed technology when in use may not have a negative impact on any of the ARPA-E Mission Areas.

A.6. DESCRIPTION OF FUNDING OPPORTUNITY

Recipients of ARPA-E financial assistance awards may include a full range of R&D entities. ARPA-E encourages collaboration and the mix of complementary expertise to perform the proposed R&D. This may be a single performer or team, may be one or more institutions, and may include operational experts along with the research team.

The result of a successful ARPA-E project will be such that at the end of the project the transformational technology will be sufficiently advanced and well defined in terms of performance and risk to promote next-stage development or transfer of the project to next-stage developers. Projects under this FOA must be aimed at *more than progress toward* identified project goals; the project must be aimed at *actual delivery* of these project goals. The R&D effort on later stage technology development projects must carry the risk reduction process for the technology to the point at which entrepreneurial decisions can be made with confidence.

Concept Paper

Applicants are required to submit a Concept Paper consisting of an abstract, technical section, and cost summary, as outlined in Section IV. The Concept Paper must address the programmatic goals, objectives, and/or performance metrics as stated in Section I.B. Program Overview. Based on the review of Concept Paper submissions, applicants will be Encouraged or Discouraged to submit Full Applications.

Submission of Concept Papers began on March 2, 2010, at 1:00 PM Eastern Time. The Concept Paper submission closing date and time will be April 3, 2010, at 5:00 PM Eastern Time. Instructions for completing the Concept Paper submission are in Section IV of this FOA.

Applicants will be notified by e-mail whether they are Encouraged or Discouraged to submit a Full Application on **April 23, 2010**. This e-mail shall be considered the "Concept Paper notification". Applicants must submit a compliant Concept Paper to receive a Concept Paper notification and to be eligible to submit a Full Application.

Full Application

An applicant may submit a Full Application anytime before the closing date established in their Concept Paper notification. The Full Application is due by **May 24, 2010 at 5:00 PM Eastern Time**, unless ARPA-E elects to establish an alternative deadline. Applicants will be sent notification of the status of their Concept Paper on mid-April 2010 and will have 31 calendar days to prepare and submit a Full Application. In the event that ARPA-E elects to establish an alternative deadline, ARPA-E will notify each applicant (specifically, the Administrative and Technical points of contact) of the new deadline.

² <http://www.whitehouse.gov/administration/eop/nec/StrategyforAmericanInnovation/>

Replies to Reviewer Comments

Applicants will receive comments and questions from reviewers related to their Full Application on or about Thursday, June 17, 2010. Applicants will have the opportunity to submit a maximum two-page text response with one additional page for tables and figures in PDF format to Reviewer Comments by Tuesday, June 22, 2010 at 5:00 PM Eastern Time.

B. PROGRAM OVERVIEW

B.1. BACKGROUND

Buildings consume 40% of the primary energy in the United States³. Buildings use 72% of the nation's electricity and 55% of natural gas¹. Energy use by buildings account for² ~ 40% of CO₂ emissions in US. The energy use in the residential and commercial building sector is roughly split in half. From 2006 to 2030, the US population is expected to increase by 21% while the number of households is expected to increase by 25%. Commercial space is expected to increase by 35% over the same period⁴. Building floor space is increasing at a much faster rate in developing countries such as China and India compared to the US. It is expected that floor space will increase at an annual rate of 8.5% in India from 2010 to 2030, and at an annual rate of 3.5% in China⁵, which will lead to significant increase in demand for energy in the building sector worldwide. Therefore, energy efficiency measures in the buildings sector provide a tremendous opportunity to reduce the energy demand and reduction in greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions.

Space cooling accounts for approximately 12.7% of primary energy consumption in buildings² and accounts for 13% of CO₂ emissions from buildings in US. This amounts to ~5% of primary energy consumption and ~ 5% of CO₂ emissions in US. Refrigerants used in vapor compressions systems for space cooling are another source of green house gas (GHG) emissions. More than 90% of cooling is provided by vapor compression based systems in the US^{2,6}. The global warming potential^{2,4} (GWP) of refrigerants such as hydrochlorofluorocarbons (HCFC) and hydrofluorocarbons (HFC) are typically more than 1000 times that of CO₂. Although the current contribution of GHG due to HCFC, HFC and chlorofluorocarbons (CFC) in US² is ~1%, however, a recent report⁷ suggests that the global GHG emissions from these chemicals in 2050 could be equivalent to 9–19% (CO₂-equivalent basis) of projected global CO₂ emissions in business-as-usual scenarios. This percentage increases to 28–45% compared with projected CO₂ emissions in a 450-ppm CO₂ stabilization scenario. Due to significant increase in the demand for air conditioners and refrigerators in developing countries, GHG emissions due to refrigerants from developing countries can be as much as 800% greater than in developed countries by 2050.

Vapor compression based space cooling systems come in various types depending on the tons (1 Ton = 3.5 KW of cooling load) of cooling needed. Packaged units which include unitary roof top or split system account for roughly 50% of the current systems and 50% of energy consumed in commercial building cooling^{2,4}. In packaged units, air is in direct contact with expansion coils and the condenser is typically dry cooled (air cooled). In a chiller system, water is cooled in the evaporator of the refrigeration system and the chilled water is used for cooling the air. Water based chillers account for ~30% of the units and the condenser is either dry cooled or wet cooled (water cooled). The coefficient of performance (COP =

³ Buildings Energy Data Book, 2007

⁴ Buildings Energy Data Book, 2009

⁵ Zhou N, et al. (2008) Energy use in China: Sectoral Trends and Future Outlook. Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory paper LBNL-61904 (Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkley, California)

⁶ Energy Consumption Characteristics of Commercial Building HVAC Systems, Volume 1: Chillers, Refrigerant Compressors and Heating Systems, Arthur D. Little Report For Office of Building Technology State and Community Programs, Department of Energy

⁷ Velders et al, Proc. National Academy of Sciences ,**106**, 10949 (2009)

cooling load (KW)/electrical power (KW)) of dry cooled systems⁸ is typically less than 4. Depending on the age of the buildings⁹, COP of the cooling equipment can be significantly less than 4. COP of wet cooled chillers¹⁰ can be as high as 7. However, wet cooling is achieved by evaporation of water, which leads to significant water consumption. Since water scarcity is the other major challenge facing the planet, consumption in such huge amounts is not desirable.

Based on the discussions above, there is an urgent need to accelerate research and development of cooling technologies for buildings, which can enhance overall energy efficiency and reduce GHG emissions, while reducing the cost incurred by the consumers. ARPA-E seeks innovative proposals for energy efficient cooling devices/air conditioners (AC) for commercial buildings to cater to these needs.

B.2. OBJECTIVES

The focus of this FOA is to develop energy efficient cooling technologies/air conditioners (AC) for buildings to reduce GHG from: (a) primary energy consumption due to space cooling; and (b) refrigerants used in vapor compression systems. ARPA-E seeks innovative research and development approaches to increase energy efficiency and reduce GHG emissions due to cooling of buildings by

- Development of cooling systems that use refrigerants with global warming potential[†] ≤ 1 .
- Development of energy efficient air conditioning (AC) system for warm and humid climates to increase the coefficient of performance (COP) of ventilation load cooling by $\geq 50\%$.
- Increased efficiency of vapor compression AC system for hot climate for re-circulating air loads by increasing the COP by $\geq 50\%$.

One or a combination of these technologies can be utilized in buildings, where many factors influence the cooling load:

- Type of building such as office space versus hospital (~100 % air is fresh i.e. very high ventilation load)
- Climate type, i.e. warm and humid versus hot and dry

The unique challenge for the US market is to come up with technologies that can be retrofitted into current cooling systems. For developing economies on the other hand, there is a large market for new cooling technologies. The development of these technologies will lead to reduction in GHG emissions and significantly increase US technological lead in the world.

B.3. AREAS OF INTEREST

Area of Interest 1: Compact cooling systems that are based on refrigerants with global warming potential ≤ 1

As mentioned earlier, if the state-of-the-art cooling paradigm is continued, HFC and HCFCs used in vapor compression systems can contribute significantly to global warming. Therefore there is a critical need to develop novel cooling technologies that are not based on vapor compression of refrigerants with GHG potential. There have been significant breakthroughs in the past decade on alternate cooling technologies such as magnetic¹¹, thermoelectric¹², and thermoacoustic¹³ cooling. Some of these technologies also have the potential to be more compact in size in comparison to vapor compression systems. This could potentially open up the opportunity to use them in other applications such as window-mounted air conditioners and automobiles. Furthermore, during low occupancy periods in

⁸ DOE report, How to Buy an Energy-Efficient Air-Cooled Electric Chiller

⁹ Database for Energy Efficiency, DEER report 2005, <http://www.deeresources.com/>

¹⁰ DOE report, How to Buy an Energy-Efficient Water-Cooled Electric Chiller

[†] The global warming potential of CO₂ is considered 1. Global warming potential for other gases can be found at: http://unfccc.int/ghg_data/items/3825.php

¹¹ K.A. Gschneidner and V.K. Pecharsky, International J. of Refrigeration, **31**, 2008, 945-961

¹² L.E. Bell, Science, **321**, 2008, 1457 - 1461

¹³ S. L. Garrett, American J. Physics, **72**, 2004, 11 - 17

buildings, the use of central chillers is inefficient for the whole building, since the energy required for cooling is wasted on zones that do not require cooling. Ideally, for low occupancy conditions, the central chiller should be switched off and only localized cooling should be provided either by storage units or by compact coolers installed in the ducts with some way to dissipate the rejected heat. Hence, decentralizing a centralized cooling system would require the development of compact coolers with potentially storage units in way that they can be integrated in existing ducting systems of a building.

Area of Interest 1a: In spite of significant advances in materials and new cooling concepts mentioned above, most of these ideas have not been translated into viable technologies due to multiple reasons. For example, the maximum cooling demonstrated for magnetic refrigeration¹⁰ has been less than 1 KW. To show the viability of alternate cooling technologies, ARPA-E seeks innovative proposals which can show 1 Ton of cooling using approaches including, but not limited to, magnetic, thermoelectric, electrocaloric, thermionic, and closed gas cycles. Only those ideas will be entertained which have the complete cooling system i.e. the refrigeration unit and heat exchangers on the cold and the hot side. The typical cost of conventional cooling equipment is \$1000/ton of cooling¹⁴. Therefore, the cost of these new technologies should be targeted to be in the same range. The technology target specification for this area of interest is given in Table 1. Proposed technology development plans must have well justified, realistic potential to meet or exceed the stated “Primary Technology Target Specification” by the end of the period of performance of the proposed project in order to be considered for award. Proposed technologies will secondarily be evaluated against their well justified, realistic potential to approach the “Secondary Technology Target Specification” by the end of the period of performance of the proposed project. Proposed technologies will still be considered for award if they fall short of one or more of the Secondary Technical Targets below, but will be evaluated and compared to one another according to their ability to address these targets.

Table 1a: Primary technology target specifications for compact cooling systems, which are not based on refrigerants that have GHG potential.

Global warming potential	≤ 1.0
COP	4
Technology demonstration	1 Ton = 3.5 KW
Air entering the cold side	75°F, 60% Relative humidity
Air leaving the cold side	55°F, 100% Relative humidity
Hot side air temperature	95°F

Table 1b: Secondary technology target specifications for compact cooling systems, which are not based on refrigerants that have GHG potential.

Size	Length = 1.5 ft, width = 1 ft, height/thickness = 9 inches.
Life time	14 years (See subsection C in section B.4)
Retail cost	~ \$1000/ton

Area of Interest 1b: ARPA-E realizes that for many new approaches, developing a system with a cooling capacity of 1 Ton and the cost target of \$1000/ton may not be realistic. There are many new ideas for which the concept has not yet been categorically proven. However, if proven, their impact could be significant. For such unproven and yet promising ideas, ARPA-E seeks small seedling proposals to conduct experiments to achieve a proof of concept. However, the proof-of-concept experiment must be designed in a way that the results obtained suggest possible paths to approach the specifications of Table 1.

¹⁴ http://www.energystar.gov/ia/business/bulk_purchasing/bpsavings_calc/Calc_CAC.xls

Area of Interest 2: Enhanced energy efficiency of vapor compression based air conditioning systems:

As discussed earlier, more than 90% of the cooling systems today are based on vapor compression. Therefore, ARPA-E has strong interest in increasing the energy efficiency of vapor compression based systems while reducing greenhouse gas emissions and the operating cost to the consumers. Air conditioning is used to both control the temperature (sensible load) and the humidity (latent load) in the indoor environment. Therefore, air conditioning requirements can vary significantly depending on the building and climate type. For example, the fraction of fresh air intake is a strong function of building type, e.g. hospitals require 100% fresh air vs. a typical office might require less than 20% fresh air intake¹⁵. In warm and humid climate, this will lead to very high latent heat load (dehumidification load) in the fresh air ventilation part of the cooling load¹⁶. Even if 100% air is re-circulated, the COP is a strong function of the condenser side ambient air temperature. To account for these different climatic conditions and building types, there are two sub-thrust areas of Interest as shown below. Since ~50% of AC units are of packaged type, the focus will be on packaged air conditioning units. For the US market, most of the opportunity lies in retrofitting concepts whereas for developing markets, new systems can also have a substantial market. To cover both the climate type (warm & humid vs. hot and dry) and fresh air intake vs. re-circulating air, ARPA-E is interested in two areas in this thrust with the expectation that technological advances in these areas will cover any of the possible combinations of climate type and the amount to fresh air intake.

Area of Interest 2a: Efficient control of temperature and humidity in warm and humid climate to increase energy efficiency, and indoor air quality while reducing operating cost

Ventilation load can be significant fraction of cooling load depending on building type and desired indoor air quality in warm and humid climate¹⁴. The minimum amount of work needed to condition air from state 1 (ambient) to state 2 (supply) is the availability (exergy) of air with respect to the ambient and is given as follows.¹⁷ For sensible cooling,

$$W_s = c_{Pair} (T - T_{amb}) - T_{amb} \left[c_{Pair} \ln \left(\frac{T}{T_{amb}} \right) \right] + x \left(c_{Pvapor} (T - T_{amb}) - T_{amb} \left[c_{Pvapor} \ln \left(\frac{T}{T_{amb}} \right) \right] \right) \quad (1)$$

and for dehumidification (latent load cooling):

$$W_l = R_{air} T_{amb} \ln \left(\frac{P - P_{vapor}}{P - P_{vapor_amb}} \right) + x R_{vapor} T_{amb} \ln \left(\frac{P_{vapor}}{P_{vapor_amb}} \right) \quad (2)$$

where W_s is the minimum required work for sensible cooling per unit mass of dry air, W_l the minimum required work for latent load per unit mass of dry air, T the temperature of the output of the air conditioner that is supplied to the building, c_p the specific heat, R the gas constant, P the pressure, P_{vapor} the partial pressure of vapor, and x is the humidity ratio at the supply conditions. Since a conventional vapor compression system can only provide sensible cooling, it reduces the temperature to the dew point so that the relative humidity of the supply air is ~100% to condense the water from the humid air. This significantly increases the work input into the cooling system. Sometimes depending on the relative humidity and the temperature, the dew point temperature can be very low, which in turn requires reheat to reach the desired indoor temperature. This leads to significant decrease in the effective COP of the cooling system, which increases the cost to consumers. However, if the COP of the vapor compression system can be increased then energy required to dehumidify can be significantly reduced.

¹⁵ D.R. Kosar et al., 1998, ASHRAE Journal, March 1998, 71- 75

¹⁶ L.G. Harriman III et al., ASHRAE Journal, November 1997, 37-45

¹⁷ E.M. Mina, Int. J. of Refrigeration, 28, 784 – 795 (2005)

Another approach that has been investigated is to provide sensible cooling using conventional vapor compression system (or evaporative coolers in some cases) combined with the use of moisture absorbing materials such as desiccants to handle the latent load. Desiccants require heat to desorb (regenerate) the moisture, which can be provided by natural gas combustion or waste heat available at the cooling site. The COP of desiccants are typically reported in terms of the primary thermal energy used for regeneration i.e. $COP_{latent} = \text{Latent load/primary thermal energy input}$.

Regardless of whether one uses a purely mechanical system or a combination of desiccant use and a mechanical system, it is important to understand the thermodynamic limit and how far we are today from the limit. An ideal system which operates at the thermodynamic limit for a combined vapor compression and desiccant cycle is illustrated in Fig. 1. If the outside air is at $T_{amb} = 90^\circ\text{F}$ with a relative humidity of 90% and the indoor supply air is at $T = 55^\circ\text{F}$ with a relative humidity of 55%, W_l from Eq. (2) is 2.06 kJ/kg (per unit mass of dry air). This is the exergy or the minimum work required for the latent heat load. However, we are achieving this regeneration process not by work but by heat, Q_d . The temperature of regeneration for the desiccant, T_r , is in the range^{14,18} of 60 to 120 °C, which has to be higher than both T_{amb} and T . Assuming ambient temperature, T_{amb} , of 90 °F (32.22 °C) the Carnot efficiency, η_{Carnot} of an engine running between T_r and T_{amb} will range from 0.083 to 0.22, respectively. Therefore, the minimum heat required for regeneration of moisture when T_r ranges from 60 to 120 °C is $Q_d = W_l / \eta_{Carnot} = 2.06/0.083$ to $2.06/0.22$ or 24.6 to 9.2 kJ/kg (per unit mass of dry air), respectively. The actual latent load, which is given by $Q_l = h_g (x_{amb} - x)$ where h_g is the enthalpy of saturated vapor under these conditions, is 59 kJ/kg (per unit mass of dry air). Therefore, the theoretical limit of

$(COP_{latent})_{lim} = Q_l / Q_d$ with respect to primary energy will range from 2.4 to 6.4, respectively. In current desiccant systems COP_{latent} typically ranges from¹⁶ 0.5 – 1.0. Therefore, there is a significant potential to improve the COP_{latent} . The losses in desiccant systems arise from mainly two sources: (a) the regenerative heat exchanger between the process air and the regeneration air has an effectiveness less than 1 (i.e. an imperfect heat exchanger); (b) the moisture sorption and desorption processes are irreversible and have hysteresis, as required by kinetics. Furthermore, thermal and mass transport in desiccants take place at finite temperature and vapor pressure differences, which also lead to irreversibilities.

As discussed above, depending on the technology proposed, both thermal energy and electricity can be simultaneously used, such as in desiccant systems regenerated by thermal energy combined with vapor compression system powered by electricity for cooling. Therefore, the technical specifications have been set in terms of the COP with respect to the primary energy source which assumes that electricity comes from a thermal source[‡] i.e. $COP_{primary} = \text{cooling-capacity/primary-energy}$. For simplicity, we require proposers to use the conversion factor² between electrical energy and primary energy to be 3.18. For example, if the COP of a vapor compression system with no reheat is 4 then $COP_{primary} = COP/3.18$.




Fig. 1 Schematic diagram showing ideal system with Carnot engines and refrigerators. Note that $(Q_d + Q)$ is the minimum primary energy input.

¹⁸ A. Lowenstein, HVAC&R Research, 14, 6, (2008)

[‡] We will only consider electricity generation for thermal plants, with an average efficiency of 31.4%. This yields a multiplier of 3.18 for the primary energy consumption. We will not consider renewable electricity here, since that is small fraction of our 12.8 Quads of annual electricity generation today.

While ARPA-E fully realizes that ambient conditions depend on time of the day, month and location, for performance benchmark it is assumed that outdoor ambient temperature is 90°F and relative humidity (RH) is 90%. It is also assumed that 100% of the indoor air is supplied at 55°F with a relative humidity of 50%. Under these conditions a conventional mechanical vapor compression system with electric reheat with an assumed COP of 4 will have $COP_{primary}$ of 0.75, which also includes the energy used for reheating. ARPA-E seeks proposals to increase the $COP_{primary}$ by 50%. If waste heat from the air conditioning unit is being used (such as heat from the condenser) then it should not be included in the calculation of $COP_{primary}$.

For the particular set of conditions for $T_r = 100^\circ\text{C}$, and $T_{hot} = 500^\circ\text{C}$ (thermal plant), Figure 2 shows the ideal primary energy input as a function of COP_{VC} of the vapor compression system. It also shows the primary energy input for a purely vapor compression system with electrical reheat, and ones with dessicants with COP_{latent} of 0.7 and 1.4. Also shown in Fig. 2, is the region where current packaged units can be placed, where the primary energy input is about 120 kJ/kg and a COP_{VC} of 2-4. These calculations have been done using the cycle shown in Fig. 2, whereas the thermodynamic limit is obtained from the ideal cycle shown in Fig. 1.




Fig. 2 (Left) A desiccant-based cooling system with regenerative heat exchange. In an ideal system the heat exchange should have an effectiveness of 1 and the desiccant should have no hysteresis during sorption-desorption cycles. (Right) Primary energy input as a function of COP of the vapor compression system for a particular set of ambient and supply conditions. Also shown is an ideal system operating at thermodynamic limit, the current systems and ARPA-E target for the current FOA.

Note that the thermodynamic limit is about 12 kJ/kg, making the current packaged units a factor of 10 away from the thermodynamic limit. For water-cooled systems, the COP_{VC} can be as high as 8 and the primary energy input is about 65 kJ/kg. However, there is significant loss of water due to evaporation in such systems.

The challenge that ARPA-E poses to the technical community is to reach primary energy input to be about 65 kJ/kg without water loss. This is to cut the difference between current energy input and the ideal energy input by a factor of 2.

Table 2 provides the technology target specification for this area of interest. It is expected that while integrating it in a building, various types of regenerative heat exchanges are possible depending on the fraction of fresh air intake. This will further increase the efficiency, however for technology demonstration the device should be tested without considering different integration schemes in an actual building. It is expected that while integrating in a building, those advantages will be availed whenever possible.

Energy efficiency can be significantly increased by use of evaporative coolers; however, significant amount of water is lost to the atmosphere in evaporative coolers. Water scarcity is another major problem that the planet is facing today. Therefore, use of evaporative coolers can be proposed only if 90% of the water mass flow rate that is evaporated can be reclaimed.

Table 2: Technology target specification for efficient control of temperature and humidity in warm and humid climate

COP based on primary energy source = cooling load/primary thermal energy use	1.125
Technology demonstration	5 Ton capacity for packaged systems
Air entering the evaporator	90°F, 90% Relative humidity
Air leaving the evaporator	55°F, 50 % Relative humidity
Condenser side air temperature	90°F
Use of evaporative cooling	Yes if 90% water is reclaimed i.e. 90% of water that is lost due to evaporation and drift has to be reclaimed i.e make up water flow rate is less than 10% of the flow rate of water being lost due to evaporation and drift
Life time	14 years (See subsection C in section B.4)
Retail cost	< \$1500/ton for new systems, < \$1000/ton for a retrofit to existing vapor compression system

Area of Interest 2b: Increase the cooling efficiency of recirculation air in hot environment

Depending on the building type, a significant fraction of air is simply re-circulated within a building. However, the *COP* of cooling is still a strong function of the ambient temperature because the condenser rejects the heat to the outdoor environment. It is possible to increase the *COP* by wet cooling of condensers by using cooling towers. But a significant amount of water is lost in wet cooling.

ARPA-E seeks innovative proposals to increase the *COP* of packaged AC units. Proposed ideas could include but are not only limited to: wet cooling of condensers where 90% water lost during evaporation and drift is reclaimed; heat pipe based heat exchangers to increase the effectiveness within the footprint of the state-of-the-art condensers, which are widely used for cooling high-power computers; thermal storage of condenser heat during the day and release during the night when the ambient temperature is lower.

Table 3 provides the technology target specification for this area of interest.

Table 3: Technology target specification for increasing the cooling efficiency of recirculation air in hot environment

<i>COP_{vapor-compression}</i>	6
Technology demonstration	5 Ton capacity for packaged systems
Air entering the evaporator	75°F, 60% Relative humidity
Air leaving the evaporator	55°F, 100% Relative humidity
Condenser side air temperature	105°F
Use of evaporative cooling	Yes if 90% water is reclaimed i.e. 90% of water that is lost due to evaporation and drift has to be reclaimed i.e make up water flow rate is less than 10% of the flow rate of water being lost due to evaporation and drift
Life time	14 years (See subsection C in section B.4)
Retail cost	< \$1500/ton for new systems, < \$1000/ton for a retrofit to existing vapor compression system

B.4. Other Technical Requirements

A. Proposed technology

- Areas of interest 2a and 2b should be treated separately i.e. applicants are not expected to tackle both the areas
- If an applicant wishes to apply under multiple Areas of Interest, a separate and complete application will be required for each Area of Interest submittal, with no need for application reviewers to refer to another application.
- It is anticipated that some applicants may wish to submit proposals that incorporate elements of both areas of Interest 1& 2 (an example of which might be to build an air conditioning system with a refrigerant with GWP < 1 and meets the criteria of areas of interest 2a or 2b) or elements of sub areas of interest 2a and 2b (an example of which could be system with a COP of vapor compression of 6.0 with desiccant dehumidification). Such submissions are allowed, and applicants should consider submitting such applications to the Area of Interest where the greatest amount of ARPA-E funding would be devoted.

B. Manufacturability of Proposed Technology at Scale

ARPA-E understands that not all applicants will have access to sophisticated cost models for these areas of interest. However, it is expected that all applicants will make a strong effort to estimate the potential materials and manufacturing costs of the proposed technology to justify how the technology holds promise to approach, meet or exceed the cost targets given in this FOA for different areas of interest. The applicants must describe the manufacturing approach(es) that will most likely be used to scale up the proposed technologies. The applicant is also encouraged to describe whether or not the proposed cooling technologies offer an opportunity for the U.S. to take a leadership role in manufacturing of the proposed technologies. If rare earth metals are proposed in a technology, then it is expected applicant will discuss how these metals will be available at cost competitive prices for high-volume manufacturing.

C. Reliability of Proposed Technology

The typical lifetime requirement¹³ for cooling systems is 14 years. Therefore, it is expected that the proposed technology will have similar life time. ARPA-E recognizes that full-scale reliability testing is not possible by the applicants. However, it is expected that the applicant will perform some level of accelerated lifetime testing to understand critical failure modes and use physics-based understanding to project the reliability of the proposed technology.

D. Integration of retrofits in existing cooling systems

If the applicant is proposing a retrofitting scheme to existing cooling systems then it is expected that applicant will describe possible integration schemes.

E. Technical Strength of the Performance Team

The applicant should describe the unique elements/background of the proposed technical team that makes the team uniquely suited to successfully execute the proposed air conditioning research and development. Preference will be given to multidisciplinary teams where different team members complement each other and have expertise in different aspects of the technology. It is expected the principal investigator (PI) will have both technical and management roles. He/she will make sure that different elements of the project and technology are well integrated, while making decisions based on technical understanding of the problem.

B.5. CONCEPT PAPER STRUCTURE

Applicants are required to first submit a Concept Paper describing the essence and novelty of their new

technology concept in order to be considered for award under this FOA. The purpose of the Concept Paper phase of this FOA is to allow applicants to communicate their AC technology concept to ARPA-E, with a minimal level of investment in time and resources, and receive feedback on ARPA-E's level of interest in the concept before ARPA-E requests the submission of a more time and resource intensive Full Application.

General Concept Paper requirements can be found in Section IV.B.2 of this FOA. Specific requirements and key elements that each Concept Paper must address are found in this section (Section I.B.5) and in the rest of Section I.B.

As stated in Section IV.B.2, Concept Paper will consist of a body not exceeding five (5) pages in length containing the following sections: 1.) Abstract and 2.) Technical Section. The Concept Paper will also include a one page "Cost Summary" (described in Section IV.B.2) and a one page completed "End of Project Targets" table that should be included in a single Concept Paper file, but will not count toward the five (5) page Concept Paper body limit. The End of Project Targets table will include the end of project target for the scale and form factor of the prototype device deliverable, as well as the end of project targets for all Primary Technical Requirements and Secondary Technical Targets. The "End of Project Targets" template can be found in Appendix 1 in Section X.

TECHNICAL SECTION

Specific issues/questions that should be considered and addressed in the Technical Section include the following:

- Identification of whether the applicant is applying for an award under the "Proof of Concept Seedling" category or the "Advanced Device Prototyping" category. Please see definitions in section B.7 on page 18 of this document.
- A detailed description of the novel technology approach to be developed in the proposed project, including a description of its basic operating principles of how the proposed approach is unique and innovative.
- A description of the current state-of-the-art in the proposed technology area, including key shortcomings/limitations/challenges, and how the proposed project will seek to significantly improve upon the current state-of-the-art performance and overcome current key shortcomings/limitations.
- The applicant should provide a brief paragraph addressing the following issues for each of the technology target specification
 - What is the current state-of-the-art performance level for the proposed technology area for the specified requirement/target?
 - What level of performance will the project proposed here target for the specified requirement/target? What are the specific technical issues that have limited performance of this technology to date for the specified requirement or target?
 - How does the project proposed here address these specific technical issues to provide enhanced performance relative to the specified requirement or target? The applicant should provide technical justification for why this proposed target can credibly be met.
 - What are the key technical risks/issues associated with the technology development plan related to the specified requirement or target?
- A brief description of the manufacturing approach by which the proposed cooling technology would most likely be scaled and the scalability/cost issues related to this approach.
- A brief description of how the project, if successful, would impact U.S. leadership in cooling technology development and manufacturing.
- A brief description of the project team and why they are uniquely suited to successfully execute the proposed cooling research and development plan.
- A brief description of the impact ARPA-E funding of the proposed project would have relative to

other previous or existing funding sources the project team has secured.

B.6. CONCEPT PAPER EVALUATION CRITERIA

General Concept Paper Evaluation Criteria are found in Section V.A. of this FOA. More specific Concept Paper Evaluation Criteria are described in this section.

Concept Papers will be evaluated against the following evaluation criteria in decreasing order of importance:

- To what degree does the Concept Paper present a cooling technology development plan that demonstrates credible and well-justified technical potential to meet or exceed technology target specification of this FOA. Technology approaches will be evaluated in a quantitative fashion, with technology approaches rated according to the degree to which they fall short of, meet, or exceed each technology target specification.
- To what degree does the Concept Paper present a unique and innovative technical approach to significantly improve cooling performance over the current state-of-the-art
- To what degree does the Concept Paper present a clearly demonstrated understanding of the current state-of-the-art and technical limitations of the current state-of-the-art in the relevant technology area.
- To what degree does the cooling technology proposed in the Concept Paper hold potential to enable U.S. manufacturing leadership in building cooling systems.
- To what degree does the proposed technical team have the skills and knowledge to successfully execute the project plan
- To what degree will ARPA-E funding have a leveraged impact on the development of the proposed technology relative to other funding sources for the project team.

B.7. AWARD CATEGORIES AND AWARD INFORMATION

This FOA will expect to fund up to \$30M in advanced building cooling technology research and development projects. ARPA-E will accept Concept Papers and Full Applications in two award categories: 1.) a “Proof of Concept Seedling” category and 2.) an “Advanced Device Prototyping” category. Project durations will be up to 18 months for Proof-of-Concept Seedling Projects and from 24-36 months for other projects. Full Applications must be made to either the Proof of Concept or the Advanced Systems Prototyping category. One application cannot apply to both.

Proof of Concept Seedling Category

The “Proof of Concept Seedling” category for this FOA will focus on supporting early stage proof-of-concept level research and development efforts. This category of the FOA will seek to support particularly high-risk, high reward, highly speculative new cooling technology concepts that have yet to achieve a definitive “proof of concept” level of technology demonstration. By the end of the period of performance, projects in this category will be expected to provide proof-of-concept device performance levels that address technology target specification of this FOA based on demonstrations at smaller scale or improving only the most critical element of proposed technology. ARPA-E expects to select 5-15 projects for award under this category with awards expected to be approximately in the range of \$250K-\$500K for project durations up to 18 months.

Advanced Device Prototyping Category

The “Advanced Device Prototyping” category of this FOA will focus on supporting early stage device prototyping and optimization of cooling technology concepts for which a proof-of-concept scientific demonstration already exists. Projects in this category will be expected to focus on the development and demonstration of prototype systems that address the critical shortcoming of existing cooling technologies.

By the end of the period of performance, projects in this category will be expected to deliver prototype cooling systems. ARPA-E expects to select 2-8 projects for award under this category with awards expected to be approximately in the range of \$2-\$5M. ARPA-E would prefer to support only rare proposals with significant technology risk and aggressive timetables for support at the upper ranges, with plans or management and mitigation of the associated risks. ARPA-E does reserve the right to support any individual project for support up to \$10M in rare and exceptional cases.

Section II - AWARD INFORMATION

A. TYPE OF AWARD INSTRUMENT

ARPA-E anticipates awarding Cooperative Agreements or Technology Investment Agreements (TIAs) under this FOA. Cooperative Agreements are the most likely instruments to be used because of the need for substantial government interaction to review and assess technical progress and determine continuation of funding during the performance of research and development (R&D) projects.

A TIA may offer more flexibility for tailoring requirements than standard financial assistance awards in certain areas, including financial management systems that comply with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles for for-profit applicants; cost accounting systems; and intellectual property terms. While a TIA would typically offer flexibility in terms of audit provisions, ARPA-E's flexibility for these provisions is limited under this FOA. The funds for this FOA have been appropriated by the Recovery Act, which includes express requirements with respect to audit provisions. ARPA-E is also limited in its flexibility regarding tangible property management, including, for example, real property and equipment. Otherwise, it is ARPA-E's general policy to avoid requirements that would force participants to use different financial management, property management, and purchasing systems than those currently in use.

An applicant may request a TIA if the applicant believes that using a TIA could benefit the objectives of the program and can document these benefits. After an applicant is selected for negotiations, ARPA-E's Chief Counsel, Contracting Officer and the ARPA-E Program Director will determine if awarding a TIA would benefit the objectives of the program in ways that likely would not happen with a typical financial assistance agreement.

Before deciding that a TIA is appropriate, ARPA-E's Chief Counsel and Contracting Officer also must judge that using a TIA could benefit the RD&D objectives in ways that likely would not happen if another type of assistance instrument were used (e.g., a cooperative agreement subject to all of the requirements of 10 CFR part 600).

Considerations:

- Will the use of a TIA permit the involvement of any commercial firms or business units of firms that would not otherwise participate in the project?
- If so: What are the expected benefits of those firms' or divisions' participation (e.g., is there a specific technology that could be better, more readily available, or less expensive)?
- What precludes participation if an instrument other than a TIA were used? For example, if the RD&D effort is based substantially on a for-profit firm's privately developed technology a for-profit firm may not participate unless the Government's intellectual property rights in the technology are modified.
- Will the use of a TIA allow firms or business units of firms that traditionally accept Government awards to use new business practices in the execution of the RD&D project that will foster better technology, new technology more quickly or less expensively, or facilitate partnering with commercial firms?
- Are there any other benefits of the use of a TIA that could help DOE meet its objectives in carrying out the project?

B. ESTIMATED FUNDING

Awards will be for the Government's share of the allowable project costs in the range USD \$500,000 to \$10 million. Multiple awards are anticipated, but not required. The government reserves the right to

make one or more, or no awards on this FOA. The applicant should propose a funding level that is appropriate to the work, without introducing additional risk by either underfunding or adding extraneous tasks or large management reserves that will drive up the R&D cost.

ARPA-E anticipates awarding agreements totaling up to USD \$35 million for this FOA. However, the amount of resources made available under this announcement will depend on the quality of the proposed R&D projects and other programmatic considerations.

C. MAXIMUM AND MINIMUM AWARD SIZE

Ceiling for the Federal Government's share of allowable project costs (i.e., the maximum amount for an individual award made under this announcement):

USD \$ 10,000,000

Floor for the Federal Government's share of allowable project costs (i.e., the minimum amount for an individual award made under this announcement):

USD \$ 500,000

D. EXPECTED NUMBER OF AWARDS

Multiple awards are anticipated, but not required. The government reserves the right to make one or more, or no awards on this FOA; however, it is anticipated that between 5 and 15 awards will be made under this FOA.

E. ANTICIPATED AWARD SIZE

The award size will reflect the level of effort expected to achieve the project objectives. ARPA-E anticipates an average award size between USD \$1 million and \$5 million for the Federal Government's share of allowable project costs. For further guidance relating to award categories and size, please refer to Section I.B.7 of this FOA.

F. PERIOD OF PERFORMANCE

The period of performance may be no more than 36 months. ARPA-E anticipates that the majority of awards will have a period of performance between 24 and 36 months.

Section III - ELIGIBILITY INFORMATION

A. ELIGIBLE APPLICANTS

ARPA-E welcomes submissions from any type of capable technology research and development entity. This includes, but is not limited to, for-profit entities, academic institutions, research foundations, not-for-profit entities, collaborations, and consortia. A Federally Funded Research and Development Center (FFRDC) may submit a proposal as a project lead entity only if the FFRDC is the lead for a consortium, collaboration, or other teaming arrangement. The FFRDC may not submit a proposal as a standalone entity. A minimum of 90% of the work, as defined by total project costs, must be performed on U.S. soil, which includes the United States proper, its territories, and territorial waters.

A.1. PARTICIPATION BY FOREIGN ENTITIES

Foreign-owned entities may participate under this FOA as a recipient or sub-recipient if the foreign-owned entity is incorporated within the United States. A minimum of 90% of the work, as defined by total project costs, must be performed on U.S. soil, which includes the United States proper and its territories. U.S. preference and manufacturing requirements under the Bayh-Dole Act, 35 U.S.C. 200-212, and DOE regulations and policies will apply to all recipients and sub-recipients. Agreements resulting from the FOA will require recipients to promote manufacturing within the U.S. of products that embody the technology(ies) developed with ARPA-E funding.

A.2. ORGANIZATIONAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

ARPA-E may elect to utilize contractor services to support program creation, administration, and testing. To avoid both real and perceived conflicts of interest, ARPA-E only allows a company or other entity to be a R&D performer if the company is not a support contractor to ARPA-E, because support contractors may be involved in the program creation, administration, and testing phases. By submitting a Concept Paper or Full Application, the applicant and all subcontractors or team members on that application are stating that they are not performing support contractor services for ARPA-E, either as a prime contractor or subcontractor. If it is found that a lead applicant or any of the other entities on the application are support contractors to ARPA-E, the application may be rejected by ARPA-E without further review.

If a prospective applicant believes that any conflict of interest exists or may exist (whether organizational or otherwise), the applicant should promptly raise the issue with ARPA-E by sending the applicant's contact information and a summary of the potential conflict by email to ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov, before time and effort are expended in preparing an application.

B. COST SHARING

If an applicant is exclusively a university or other educational institution ("Educational Institution"), a cost share of at least 10% of the total allowable costs will be required. For consortia or teams consisting exclusively of Educational Institutions, cost share of at least 10% is required. If an applicant is not an Educational Institution ("Other Applicant"), a cost share of at least 20% of the total allowable costs will be required. For consortia or teams including one or more Other Applicants, cost share of at least 20% is required. However, teams or consortia consisting exclusively of Educational Institutions may include Other Applicants under the following conditions: (1) each Other Applicant may incur no more than \$100,000 in total project costs, and (2) together, the Other Applicants may incur no more than \$250,000 in total project costs. Provided these conditions are met, the minimum cost share would be 10%. For awards where ARPA-E determines that use of a TIA is appropriate -- when a standard cooperative agreement is not feasible or appropriate -- a cost share of at least 50% of the total allowable costs will be

required to the maximum extent practicable. The Government share shall include any costs incurred by Federally Funded Research and Development Centers. Cost sharing beyond the required minimum amount is encouraged and may be considered during the selection process. Monetary cost share is preferred; however, in-kind cost share is permitted and will be considered. Further information can be found in Appendix 4.

C. OTHER ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS

Federally Funded Research and Development Center (FFRDC) Contractors

A FFRDC contractor may not submit a proposal as a standalone entity. FFRDC contractors may submit a proposal as a project lead entity for a consortium, collaboration, or other teaming arrangement, subject to the following guidelines:

Authorization for non-DOE/NNSA FFRDCs.

The Federal agency sponsoring the FFRDC contractor must authorize in writing the use of the FFRDC contractor on the proposed project. This authorization is not required for the concept paper submission, but is required for the Full Applications. The use of a FFRDC contractor must be consistent with the contractor's authority under its award and must not place the FFRDC contractor in direct competition with the private sector.

Authorization for DOE/NNSA FFRDCs.

The cognizant contracting officer for the FFRDC must authorize in writing the use of a DOE/NNSA FFRDC contractor on the proposed project. This authorization is not required for the concept paper submission, but is required for the Full Applications. The following wording is acceptable for this authorization.

"Authorization is granted for the [Name] Laboratory to participate in the proposed project. The work proposed for the laboratory is consistent with or complementary to the missions of the laboratory, will not adversely impact execution of the DOE/NNSA assigned programs at the laboratory, and will not place the laboratory in direct competition with the domestic private sector."

For Full Applications where an FFRDC is on the team, but not the lead entity:

- Value/Funding - The value of, and funding for, the FFRDC contractor portion of the work will be included in the award to a successful applicant. **In other words, the FFRDC's costs should be counted as part of the Government's share.**
- Responsibility - The applicant, if successful, will be the responsible authority regarding the settlement and satisfaction of all contractual and administrative issues, including but not limited to, disputes and claims arising out of any agreement between the applicant and the FFRDC contractor.

Section IV - APPLICATION AND SUBMISSION INFORMATION

A. REGISTRATION REQUIREMENTS

There are several one-time actions the applicant must complete in order to submit a Full Application in response to this FOA. The applicant must:

- Register with ARPA-E through the ARPA-E eXCHANGE
- Obtain a Dun and Bradstreet Data Universal Numbering System (DUNS) number at <http://fedgov.dnb.com/webform>
- Register with the Central Contractor Registry (CCR) at <https://www.ccr.gov/>

Beside the eXCHANGE registration system, which does not have a delay, these registration requirements could take several weeks to process and are necessary in order for a potential applicant to submit a Full Application. Therefore, although not required in order to submit a Concept Paper, all potential applicants lacking any of the registration requirements above should complete them as soon as possible.

ARPA-E Web-Based Submission Information

All Concept Paper and Full Application submissions are to be made via the ARPA-E eXCHANGE at <https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov/>. In order to gain access to the ARPA-E eXCHANGE, the applicant must first register and create an account on the main ARPA-E eXCHANGE site. This account will then allow the user to register new Concept Papers for any open ARPA-E FOAs, to submit Full Applications for any responsive Concept Paper, and to submit Replies to Reviewer Comments. It is recommended that each organization or business unit, whether acting as a team or a single entity, utilize one account as the appropriate contact information for each submission will be entered later.

The applicant will receive an automated response when the Concept Paper, Full Application, or Reply to Reviewer Comments is received; this will serve as a confirmation of ARPA-E receipt – please do not reply to the automated response. The applicant will have the opportunity to re-submit a revised Concept Paper, Full Application, or Reply to Reviewer Comments for any reason so as long as the relevant submission is submitted by the specified deadline. A “User Guide” for the ARPA-E eXCHANGE can be found on the ARPA-E website <https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov/Manuals.aspx> after logging in to the system. Any other questions which arise during the application process should be sent to ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov.

Letters of Intent

Letters of Intent are not requested nor required.

B. CONCEPT PAPER STRUCTURE AND SUBMISSION INFORMATION

The typical Concept Paper should express a consolidated effort in support of one or more related technical concepts or ideas. Disjointed or unrelated efforts should not be consolidated into a single Concept Paper. No facsimile or hard copy submissions will be accepted; Concept Paper submissions are to be made via the ARPA-E eXCHANGE at <https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov/>.

The Concept Paper must be submitted through the web based submission system discussed in Section IV.A. The body of the Concept Paper is limited to five (5) pages, plus a one page cost summary page. If a Concept Paper exceeds the page limitation, only the first five (5) pages will be reviewed. All pages must be formatted to fit on 8-1/2 by 11 inch paper with type not smaller than 12 point font, except in figures

and tables, and margins not less than one inch on every side. The Concept Paper must be submitted as a PDF file. The page limitation for Concept Papers includes all figures, tables, and charts. The submission of other supporting materials is strongly discouraged unless specifically called for in Section I.B. Program Overview. Concept Papers must contain all pertinent information – no external data sources (e.g., websites) should be required for Concept Paper review. All applications must be written in English.

A Concept Paper may contain proprietary data. The Concept Paper will be held in confidence by DOE and ARPA-E and will not be shared outside of DOE unless review by a non-government subject matter relevant expert is required. Non-governmental reviewers will be selected based upon outstanding technical credentials, will be screened for any conflict-of-interest, and will be required to sign a non-disclosure agreement.

Patentable ideas, trade secrets, proprietary or confidential commercial or financial information, the disclosure of which may harm the applicant, should not be included in a concept paper or Full Application, unless such information is necessary to convey an understanding of the proposed project. The use and disclosure of such data may be restricted, provided the applicant includes the following legend on the first page of the project narrative and specifies the pages of the application which are to be restricted:

“The data contained in pages [APPLICANT MUST IDENTIFY PAGES] of this application have been submitted in confidence and contain trade secrets or proprietary information, and such data shall be used or disclosed only for review and evaluation purposes, provided that if this applicant receives an award as a result of or in connection with the submission of this application, DOE shall have the right to use or disclose the data herein to the extent provided in the award. Any disclosure outside the Government shall be made only to a party subject to an appropriate obligation to the Government to protect the confidentiality of the application. This restriction does not limit the government's right to use or disclose data obtained without restriction from any source, including the applicant.”

Applicants are required to identify in the bracketed space above the page numbers on which the patentable ideas, trade secrets, proprietary or confidential commercial or financial information appears. Failure to comply with this requirement will result in the waiver of any right to restricted treatment.

Further, each line or paragraph on the pages containing patentable ideas, trade secrets, proprietary or confidential commercial or financial information must be specifically identified and marked with a legend similar to the following:

“The following contains proprietary information that (NAME OF APPLICANT) requests not be released to persons outside the Government, except for purposes of review and evaluation.”

Failure to comply with this requirement will result in the waiver of any right to restricted treatment.

Concept Paper Structure

The body of the concept paper is limited to five (5) pages for the Abstract Section and Technical Section, with one (1) additional page allotted for the Cost Summary and one (1) additional page allotted for the End of Project Targets Table:

- **Abstract** (limited to 150 words or less) - The abstract should summarize the Concept Paper, providing the essence of the transformative concept, how the proposed research plan will develop

this concept and what the potential impact of this applied research is likely to be to the relevant field and application.

- **Technical Section** - In this section, describe the proposed technology as it relates to the Concept Paper evaluation criteria stated in Section V.A. The Technical Section must also address the programmatic goals, objectives, and/or performance metrics as stated in Section I.B. Program Overview. This section must also identify the current technology readiness level (TRL, described in Appendix 3) of the technology and the anticipated TRL at project completion. Preliminary technical data is highly desirable. This section should focus on what is new and innovative about the concept and proposed R&D. It is important the Technical Section also distinguish the proposed work from other R&D in substantively similar areas.
- **Cost Summary** - Include a one (1) page summary of costs. The Cost Summary should identify the general amount of time in hours anticipated on this project for every person funded under the project (people may be identified by role, such as “technician” rather than by name in the Concept Paper). It is important that the Cost Summary identify any major equipment purchases which will need to be made using ARPA-E funding. If multiple team members are proposed, include a top-level break-down of costs by team member. Note that the Cost Summary is not binding.
- **The End of Project Targets Table**- include the end of project target for the scale and form factor of the prototype device deliverable, as well as the end of project targets for all Primary Technical Requirements and Secondary Technical Targets. The “End of Project Targets” template can be found in Appendix 1 in Section X.

C. FULL APPLICATION STRUCTURE AND SUBMISSION INFORMATION

Only Full Applications which received a control number and were deemed compliant by ARPA-E in the Concept Paper phase will be considered for selection. Full Application submissions are to be made via the ARPA-E eXCHANGE at <https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov/>.

NO FACSIMILE OR HARD COPY SUBMISSIONS WILL BE ACCEPTED. ALL FULL APPLICATIONS MUST BE SUBMITTED VIA THE ARPA-E eXCHANGE <https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov/>

Full Application Structure

All applications must be in the format given below. Nonconforming applications may be rejected without review. All pages shall be formatted to fit on 8-1/2 by 11 inch paper with type not smaller than 12 point, except in figures and tables, and margins not less than one inch on every side. The page limitation for the Full Application includes all figures, tables, and charts. The submission of other supporting materials along with the applications is strongly discouraged as they will not be considered for review. All applications must be written in English.

Full Application Submission

Applicants must complete the mandatory forms in accordance with the instructions on the forms and the additional instructions below. Files that are attached to the forms must be named using the prescribed file name format and in Adobe Portable Document Format (PDF) unless otherwise specified in this announcement.

The following application forms and/or instructions can be found on the ARPA-E website (<https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov/>).

Full Application Format

The Full Application is composed of 7 items:

	Required Document	Document Summary	Document Naming Convention
1	Technical Volume (PDF)	Technical application (can contain confidential information)	Control#_Institution_Technical.PDF (e.g. 206_9999_Corporation XYZ_Technical.pdf)
2	SF424 (PDF)	Application for Federal assistance	Control#_Institution_App424.pdf
3	SF424a (XLS)	High level budget spreadsheet	Budget named Control#_Institution_SF424a.xls Please submit file as a .XLS only (not .XLSX or other formats)
4	SF424 Budget Justification (XLS)	Detailed budget spreadsheet	Control#_Institution_BudgetJustification.XLS
5	NEPA Compliance Form (PDF)	Environmental compliance certification form	Control#_Institution_Environmental.PDF
6	Summary for Public Release (PDF)	Public (non-confidential) project summary in paragraph format	Control#_Institution_PublicSummary.PDF
7	Summary Slide (PPT)	Project summary in PowerPoint format	Control#_Institution_Summary.ppt Please submit file as a .PPT only (not .PPTX or other formats)

Additional items will not be considered.

SF-424 – Application for Federal Assistance

Complete all required fields in accordance with the instructions to the form. In Field 17a, assume a project start date of September 1, 2010. The list of certifications and assurances in Field 21 can be found at http://management.energy.gov/business_doe/business_forms.htm, under Certifications and Assurances.

Note: The dates and dollar amounts on the SF 424 are for the complete project period and not just the first year, first phase or other subset of the project period.

Technical Volume

The Technical Volume should be submitted in PDF format. The Technical Volume must contain the following sections, in the order given and conforming to the page limits specified below. All pages shall be formatted to fit on 8-1/2 by 11 inch paper with type not smaller than 12 point, except in figures and tables, and margins not less than one inch on every side. The control number shall be included on the upper right of every page. Applicants should read and understand the Full Application evaluation criteria (given in Sections I and V) and keep the criteria in mind while preparing the application.

Abstract (1 page)

Provide a concise summary of the proposed research and development. The description should be understandable by technically literate but non-specialist readers. This abstract can, but does not have to, contain confidential information.

Research & Development Tasks (1 page)

Describe succinctly the purpose of the overall research and development program, the underlying hypothesis(es)/technical concept(s) guiding the approach, and a list of the specific tasks you will undertake and accomplish to achieve your purpose.

Research & Development Strategy (21 pages)

- a. *Innovation* Describe the current status of the field and how the work proposed here extends beyond currently available technology. Describe the current state of the art in the specific technology area of your research and development plan and how it will represent a significant improvement to the current state of the art. Describe how the work, if successful, could leapfrog over today's approaches and have significant impact on both technology and business. Clearly describe how the proposed approach differs from others under investigation in the field.
- b. *Approach* Provide detailed experimental plans for the completion of the tasks and goals specified in the Research & Development Tasks section. Provide sufficient detail that specialists in the field can understand and evaluate each and every relevant step.
- c. *Preliminary Results* Provide preliminary data and results if available, that support the feasibility of your proposed work-plan.
- d. *Significance With Respect to FOA Requirements and Targets*. Describe specifically how the proposed effort is responsive to the FOA and the impact that successful completion of the proposed work would have on the FOA target areas. Be sure to consider each aspect requested in the detailed FOA topic description in Section II.
- e. *Milestones* Using the illustrative milestone template provided below as a guide, please provide a set of milestones that provide a clear path to completion of the proposed Research & Development Tasks, with specific proposed "Go-No Go" milestones at the end of each year of the proposed project. Milestones should be objective and, where possible, quantitative.
- f. *Performance Team* Describe the specific attributes of the assembled performance team that uniquely qualifies it to successfully conduct the proposed research. This section should be a narrative description of the relevant strengths, experience, and expertise of the individual team members and the complementarity of these individual's strengths, experience, and expertise across the assembled team, not a synopsis of research accomplishments.

Program Element		Major Tasks	Key Milestones & Deliverables
Program Element 1: Develop a bioreactor for the energy-efficient production of lipid biomass. The ultimate goal of this program element is to develop a bioreactor system with a measured energy efficiency $\geq 95\%$ ($\text{Energy}_{\text{IN}}/\text{Energy}_{\text{OUT}}$)	Q1	1. Complete energy modeling analysis to support development of bioreactor prototype	1. Energy modeling complete 2. Q1 Progress Report complete
	Q2	1. Complete first phase of bioreactor development 2. Operate bioreactor and assess all initial performance metrics over five 10 hour performance runs 3. Select microorganisms to be piloted based on the following criteria (<i>list criteria</i>) (e.g. nutrient requirements).	1. Achieve initial bioreactor performance metrics (<i>list metrics and quantifiable targets</i>) 2. Selection of microorganisms to be piloted 3. Q2 Progress Report complete
	Q3	1. Complete second phase of bioreactor development 2. Verify all bioreactor performance metrics for all microorganisms over 3, 7, and 14 days of operation	1. Bioreactor performance will achieve the following performance metrics (<i>list metrics and quantifiable targets</i>) 2. Q3 Progress Report complete
	Q4	1. Complete experiments to determine optimal conditions for bioreactor operation for the energy efficient production of lipid biomass 2. Complete design report for bioreactor scale-up to X liters 3. Construct a cost model for bioreactor operations	1. Finalize bioreactor operational conditions to achieve 95% energy efficiency 2. Finalize methodology and empirically quantify all bioreactor performance metrics 3. Cost model and design report for bioreactor scale up 4. Q4 Final Report complete

Transition/Commercialization Strategy (1 page)

ARPA-E seeks to support energy technology R&D projects for a finite period of time at critical high-risk points in the technology development cycle. Describe succinctly the phases of development required for the proposed technology to be developed from its current TRL to commercial deployment. Please describe the specific phase of development that will be executed in the proposed ARPA-E project, why a successful project outcome will result in commercial development, and how the technology will be transitioned at the end of ARPA-E support to the next stage toward commercial deployment. Please describe an initial plan for commercialization of the proposed technology and the subsequent investment which will be required.

Additionality and Risk (1 page)

ARPA-E seeks to stimulate high risk, high return projects with significant market impact potential if the technology development plan is successful. Additionality in a project comes from multiple aspects including:

- Inflection points in market opportunities
- Critical milestones in technology development
- Emergent opportunities in technology resources or capabilities leveraged

Organizational risk is often perceived as the reason why technically meritorious projects are not supported in the private sector. Please describe the nature of these risks within your organization or team, and how ARPA-E investment will overcome these risks to enable follow-on investments. Examples may include:

- For a large company with central R&D, why is this project not sponsored internally
- For a start-up company with venture backed support, why is this project not supported by your investors
- For a startup (or planned start-up), why has this project been unable to receive venture investment to date

- For a university, non-profit, or Federally Funded Research and Development Centers (FFRDCs), what sort of institutional resources will be leveraged, and why has this leverage not been available to date

Management Plan (1 page)

Provide a management plan that ensures continuous effective communication between performance members. The management plan should clearly define the roles of each team member and describe any critical handoffs/interdependencies between team members.

Budget Summary (1 page)

Include a one page summary of the budget broken out by milestones and including all major equipment purchases.

- Payment Milestones – In coordination with the milestones outlined as part of the Technical R&D Plan, the applicant shall present a milestone-delineated payment schedule. The payments should be clearly associated with objective, measurable milestones that reflect quarterly progress on the proposed project. ARPA-E recognizes the need to provide an appropriate cash flow, but may discontinue awards that have performance issues.
- If costs are less than would normally be expected due to large amounts of previous R&D done by one or more members of your team, please describe.

Appendices (no page limit)

1. Qualifications, Experience, and Capabilities (no page limit)

- a. Personnel involved – discussion of qualifications and experience to conduct the R&D proposed.
 - You must include the following information for the Principle Investigator and key participants. A key person is any individual who contributes in a substantive, measurable way to the execution of the project. Each personal qualification summary can be no longer than three (3) sides of a page in length.
 - Each personal qualification summary must include:
 1. Education/training
 2. Employment history
 3. Awards and honors
 4. Up to 10 peer-reviewed publications specifically related to this project
 5. Up to 10 other peer-reviewed publications demonstrating capabilities in the broad field
 6. Up to 10 non-peer reviewed publications and patent demonstrating capabilities in the field.
- b. Organizations involved
 1. Give the organizations involved in the work and why they are qualified to accomplish their portion of the proposed R&D.
 2. If one or more foreign entities are on the team, address what work will occur outside the U.S. (Must not exceed 10%.)
- c. Facilities and equipment required, available, requested
 1. Describe facilities and equipment necessary to accomplish the proposed R&D.
 - a. Detail the quantity and quality of the space the team has access to, highlighting any specialized facilities and/or major equipment
 - b. Identify any additional equipment or other facilities that are needed to complete the project that the applicant does not already have access to.

2. For equipment acquired as part of the proposed effort, give the proposed disposition of the equipment after the project is completed or state if it is your intent to continue using the equipment for the authorized purpose of the project for its useful life.

2. Other Programmatic Issues (no page limit)

- Cost Share –
 - What is the cost share that you propose to offer?
 - Which of the entities are contributing what amounts?
 - What is the proposed form of contribution – monetary, in-kind or both?
 - Describe all in-kind contributions and what the relevance of that contribution is to the work. Foregone fee or profit by the applicant shall not be considered cost sharing under any resulting award.
 - Provide Cost Share Commitment Letters from each third party contributing cost sharing (i.e., a party other than the organization submitting the application) stating that the third party is committed to providing a specific minimum dollar amount of cost sharing. Identify the following information for each third party contributing cost sharing: (1) the name of the entity; (2) the proposed dollar amount to be provided; (3) the amount as a percentage of the total project cost; and (4) the proposed type of cost sharing - cash, services, or property. By submitting your application, you are providing assurance that you have signed the letter of commitment. Successful applicants will be required to submit these signed letters of commitments.
- TIAs –
 - Is the applicant requesting consideration for a TIA? If so, why?
 - What is the benefit to the project?

3. Literature Cited (no page limit)

Provide sufficient reference to the primary research literature to justify claims and approaches made in the Technical Volume.

4. Additionality of ARPA-E Funding Relative to Other Sources of Support (no page limit)

ARPA-E seeks to fund high risk, high reward R&D projects where ARPA-E support represents strong additionality and little or no overlap relative to other available sources of funding for the project. Please explain how ARPA-E funding for the proposed R&D project would represent strong additionality relative to other prior or existing sources of support or sources of support being currently pursued. Please describe all current and pending sources of support available for the proposed R&D project, if any. Academic, National Laboratory, and non-profit performers should list all existing sources of support for the proposed R&D project, current and pending, both external and internal. For-profit applicants should list all prior, existing, and pending financial support that has been or is available for the proposed R&D project, including pending funding applications to public and non-profit sources of support that impinge directly or indirectly on the proposed work, and internal sources of funding for the proposed work or related work that impinges on the proposed R&D program. If no internal sources of funding are available for the proposed work or related work a clear statement to this effect must be included. Appendix must at least include:

- a. Identify all other funding the proposed Principal Investigator and key participants currently receive from DOE. Explain how the proposed work is not redundant with on-going DOE funded work.
- b. Identify all other funding sources, whether Federal or not, that the proposed Principal Investigator and key participants currently receives which support the substance of the proposed work.
- c. Identify whether the proposed Principal Investigator and key participants have previously submitted the substance of this work to DOE, regardless of whether it was funded. If so, identify when it was submitted and to what DOE Program

- d. Identify all pending funding the Principal Investigator and key participants are waiting to receive notification on, noting the source of the funding and any overlap in the scope of the work with the tasks in the ARPA-E proposal.

5. Intellectual Property Strategy (no page limit)–

Applicants must address:

- The new intellectual property and data will you create as part of this effort
- The background intellectual property which will be necessary to exercise the new intellectual property
- The plan for disposition/ownership of the intellectual property including IP agreements or memorandums of understanding between team members
- How the intellectual property strategy will further the probability that your transformational technology will reach market and widely penetrate the installed base

Budget Volume

Fee or profit will not be paid to the award recipients or subrecipients of financial assistance awards. No recipient of ARPA-E funding can make a profit or fee from their award; all money must be spent on activities or equipment directly related to meeting the project milestones. Additionally, foregone fee or profit by the applicant shall not be considered cost sharing under any resulting award. A reasonable profit or fee may be paid to consultants, contractors and vendors under an ARPA-E award when the contractor/consultant provides supplies, equipment, or services needed to carry out the proposed project.

For pricing purposes assume an award date of September 1, 2010.

SF 424A Excel, Budget Information - Non-Construction Programs File

You must provide a separate budget (SF 424A) for each year of support requested and a cumulative budget for the total project period. Use the SF 424A Excel, "Budget Information Non-Construction Programs" form.

You may request funds under any of the Object Class Categories as long as the item and amount are necessary to perform the proposed work, meet all the criteria for allowability under the applicable Federal cost principles, and are not prohibited by the funding restrictions in this announcement. Save the information in a single file named "Control#_Institution_424A.xls."

Note: Patent Costs (if proposed) must be proposed in accordance with paragraph G below and requested in your budget)

Budget Justification File

Using the budget justification spreadsheet, you must justify the costs proposed in each Object Class Category/Cost Classification category (e.g., identify key persons and personnel categories and the estimated costs for each person or category state the amounts of time (e.g., hours or % of time) to be expended, the composite base pay rate, total direct personnel compensation and identify the rate basis (e.g., actual salary, labor distribution report, technical estimate, state civil service rates, etc.); provide a list of equipment and cost of each item providing a basis of cost such as vendor quotes, catalog prices, prior invoices, etc., and briefly justifying its need as it applies to the Statement of Project Objectives; identify proposed subaward/consultant work and cost of each subaward/consultant; describe purpose of proposed travel, number of travelers, and number of travel days; list general categories of supplies and amount for each category providing a basis of cost such as vendor quotes, catalog prices, prior invoices,

etc., and briefly justifying the need for the Supplies as they apply to the Statement of Project Objectives; and provide any other information you wish to support your budget). Provide the name of your cognizant/oversight agency, if you have one, and the name and phone number of the individual responsible for negotiating your indirect rates. Save the budget justification information in a single file named " Control#_ Institution_BudgetJustification.xls."

See Budget Justification Guidance at the ARPA-E Funding Opportunity Website located at: <https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov/>. This guidance also applies to each Subawardee and DOE/NNSA FFRDC contractor.

Recovery Act Additional Budget Justification Information

Applications shall provide information which validates that all laborers and mechanics on projects funded directly by or assisted in whole or in part by and through funding appropriated by the Act are paid wages at rates not less than those prevailing on projects of a character similar in the locality as determined by subchapter IV of Chapter 31 of title 40, United States Code (Davis-Bacon Act). For guidance on how to comply with this provision, see <http://www.dol.gov/esa/whd/contracts/dbra.htm>.

Subaward Budget File(s)

Using the budget justification spreadsheet discussed above, you must provide a separate worksheet in your budget file (i.e., a separate budget (SF 424A) for each year of support requested and a cumulative budget (SF 424A) for the total project period) for each subawardee that is expected to perform 10% or more of the total project costs. Use the SF 424A Excel for Non Construction Programs or the SF 424C Excel for Construction Programs. For each subawardee that is expected to perform less than 10% of the total project costs you must provide supporting documentation to justify the proposed budget and it may be in any format, and at a minimum should provide what Statement of Project Objectives task(s) are being performed, the purpose/need for the effort, and a basis of the estimated costs that is considered sufficient for DOE evaluation.

For example, if a recipient proposes total project costs (including cost share) for the amount of \$3,000,000, and proposes two subawardees (referred to as subawardee A and B) and subawardee A proposes total project costs in the amount of \$500,000 and subawardee B proposes total project costs in the amount of \$200,000 only subawardee A is required to complete the SF-424A and SF-424A budget justification file because their proposed total project costs are 10% or more of the total project costs proposed by the recipient whereas subawardee B is not required to submit a SF-424A and SF-424A budget justification file because their proposed total project costs are less than 10% of the recipients total project costs. However, subawardee B is required to provide supporting documentation to justify their proposed budget as described above.

Budget for DOE/NNSA Federally Funded Research and Development Center (FFRDC) Contractor, if applicable

If a DOE/NNSA FFRDC contractor is to perform a portion of the work, you must provide a budget justification spreadsheet discussed above and a separate worksheet in your budget file along with providing the basis of cost and justification for need (i.e. a separate budget (SF 424A) for each year of support requested and a cumulative budget (SF 424 A) for the total project period). If selected for award, the Contracting Officer will request the DOE/NNSA FFRDC Contractor to submit the DOE Field Work Proposal.

Restrictive Markings on Concept Papers and Applications

It is the policy of ARPA-E to treat all Concept Papers and Full Applications as competitive information and to disclose their contents only for the purpose of review and evaluation or as required by federal law. ARPA-E reserves the right to retain copies of all Concept Papers and Full Applications. No Concept Papers or Full Applications will be returned. ARPA-E reserves the right to publicly disclose the Summary for Public Release in any form and for any purpose.

In conducting review of Concept Papers and the merit review evaluation of Full Applications, the Government may seek the advice of qualified non-Federal personnel as reviewers. The Government may also use non-Federal personnel to conduct routine, nondiscretionary administrative activities. The applicant, by submitting its application, consents to the use of non-Federal reviewers/administrators. Non-Federal reviewers are bound by appropriate obligations of conflict of interest and confidentiality prior to reviewing an application. Applications may also be handled, for administrative or other purposes, by a support contractor. This support contractor is bound by appropriate obligations of confidentiality.

Patentable ideas, trade secrets, proprietary or confidential commercial or financial information, the disclosure of which may harm the applicant, should not be included in a Concept Paper or Full Application, unless such information is necessary to convey an understanding of the proposed project. The use and disclosure of such data may be restricted, provided the applicant includes the following legend on the first page of the project narrative and specifies the pages of the application which are to be restricted:

“The data contained in pages [APPLICANT MUST IDENTIFY PAGES] of this application have been submitted in confidence and contain trade secrets or proprietary information, and such data shall be used or disclosed only for review and evaluation purposes, provided that if this applicant receives an award as a result of or in connection with the submission of this application, DOE shall have the right to use or disclose the data herein to the extent provided in the award. Any disclosure outside the Government shall be made only to a party subject to an appropriate obligation to the Government to protect the confidentiality of the application. This restriction does not limit the government's right to use or disclose data obtained without restriction from any source, including the applicant.”

Applicants are required to identify in the bracketed space above the page numbers on which the patentable ideas, trade secrets, proprietary or confidential commercial or financial information appears. Failure to comply with this requirement will result in the waiver of any right to restricted treatment.

Further, each line or paragraph on the pages containing patentable ideas, trade secrets, proprietary or confidential commercial or financial information must be specifically identified and marked with a legend similar to the following:

“The following contains proprietary information that (NAME OF APPLICANT) requests not be released to persons outside the Government, except for purposes of review and evaluation.”

Failure to comply with this requirement will result in the waiver of any right to restricted treatment.

Summary of Required Forms/Files

Your full application must include the following documents:

	Name of Document	Format	File Name
I	Technical Volume Abstract	PDF	Control#_Institution_Technical.pdf

	Research Tasks Research Strategy Transition Strategy Management Plan Literature Cited Supporting Documentation		
2	Application for Federal Assistance – SF424	PDF	Control#_Institution_App424.pdf
3	SF 424A File - Budget Information for Non-Construction Programs Additional worksheets included in one file for subaward Budget(s) and FFRDC Contractors (if applicable)	Excel	Control#_Institution_SF424A.xls
4	Budget Justification File	Excel	Control#_Institution_BudgetJustification.pdf
5	ARPA-E Environmental Questionnaire	PDF	Control#_Institution_Environmental.PDF
6	Program Summary for Public Release	PDF	Control#_Institution_PublicSummary.PDF
7	Program Summary Slide	Power Point	Control#_Institution_Summary.ppt

Submissions from Successful Applicants

If selected for negotiations, ARPA-E reserves the right to request additional or clarifying information for any reason deemed necessary, such as:

- Indirect cost information and/or an indirect rate proposal, if applicable.
- Other budget information.
- Name and phone number of the Designated Responsible Employee for complying with national policies prohibiting discrimination (See 10 CFR 1040.5). Also, the applicant must complete and submit the DOE Form 1600.5 Assurance of Compliance – Nondiscrimination in Federally Assistance Programs and the DOE Office of Civil Rights Questionnaire.
- Representation of Limited Rights Data and Restricted Software, if applicable.
- DOE Field Work Proposal, if applicable.
- Signed Commitment Letters from Third Parties Contributing to Cost Sharing, if applicable
- Request for access to the applicants facility for ARPA-E to conduct a site visit prior to award in order for the Contracting Officer to make a determination of responsibility, which is a pre-requisite to making an award.
- Certification as to U.S. status of prime awardee or national status of any subawardee

D. REPLIES TO REVIEWER COMMENTS

NO FACSIMILE OR HARD COPY SUBMISSIONS WILL BE ACCEPTED. ALL RESPONSES MUST BE SUBMITTED IN PDF FORMAT VIA THE ARPA-E eXCHANGE <https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov/>

ARPA-E intends to provide all persons who submitted timely and responsive Full Application with a limited, optional opportunity to supplement their Full Applications. The principal goal is to offer Applicants the opportunity to respond to substantive reviewer comments or questions regarding the contents of Full Applications. Substantive reviewer comments and questions will be provided by ARPA-E to each Full Application applicant. Applicants' responses – as specified below - should address issues raised in the reviewer comments and questions. In addition, or alternatively, Applicants may use the

Reply to Reviewer Comments to provide ARPA-E with new information or data in support of concepts addressed in their Full Applications.

This opportunity is optional: each Full Application will still be considered on the merits of the Full Application regardless of whether a Reply is submitted. Also, Replies that are submitted are not expected to respond to each of the reviewers' comments and questions – applicants are free to determine which issues require clarification, correction, or supplementing information.

To accomplish the above intent, on or about Thursday, June 17, 2010, ARPA-E will notify each applicant (specifically, the Administrative and Technical points of contact) to log into the ARPA-E eXCHANGE to view the reviewer comments and questions regarding that applicant's Full Application. All Replies from applicants must be in the format given below. The Reply must be received by the ARPA-E eXCHANGE by 5:00 PM Eastern Time on Tuesday, June 22, 2010.

Replies shall be uploaded to the ARPA-E eXCHANGE in PDF, DOC, or DOCX format. Replies shall consist of not more than two pages of text and one of figures. Each page shall be formatted to fit on 8-1/2 by 11 inch paper with type not smaller than 12 point, except in figures and tables, and margins not less than one inch. The submission of other supporting materials along with the three page replies will not be considered for review. Also, Internet links to web sites/information cited in the responses will not be read or considered. All Responses must be written in English.

E. SUBMISSION DATES AND TIMES

It is the responsibility of the applicant to complete and submit their submissions before the established deadlines. **Applicants are urged to submit their Concept Papers, Full Applications, and Replies to Reviewer Comments as soon as possible. Because internet and data server traffic can be heavy on the ultimate due dates, and especially in the last several hours, applicants are urged to submit in a timely manner so as not to have difficulties meeting the deadlines.**

ARPA-E was created to be a catalyst for the next Industrial Revolution in clean energy technologies, and to pursue this transformation with fierce urgency. To foster rapid technological innovations, it is the policy of ARPA-E to establish and observe strict deadlines for all applicants.

Concept Paper Deadline

Concept Papers must be received by ARPA-E by April 3, 2010 at 5:00 PM Eastern Time. CONCEPT PAPERS RECEIVED AFTER THE DEADLINE WILL NOT BE REVIEWED OR CONSIDERED FOR AWARD.

Full Application Deadline

Full Applications must be received by 5:00 PM Eastern Time on May 24, 2010, 31 calendar days from Concept Paper notification. **You are encouraged to transmit your Full Application well before the deadline.** FULL APPLICATIONS RECEIVED AFTER THE DEADLINE WILL NOT BE REVIEWED OR CONSIDERED FOR AWARD.

Reply to Reviewer Comments Deadline

Replies to Reviewer Comments must be received by 5:00 PM Eastern Time on Tuesday, June 22, 2010. **You are encouraged to transmit your Reply well before the deadline.** REPLIES RECEIVED AFTER THE DEADLINE WILL NOT BE REVIEWED OR CONSIDERED FOR AWARD.

F. INTERGOVERNMENTAL REVIEW

This program is not subject to Executive Order 12372 - Intergovernmental Review of Federal Programs.

G. FUNDING RESTRICTIONS

Costs must be allowable in accordance with the applicable federal cost principles referenced in 10 CFR Part 600. The cost principles for commercial organization are in FAR Part 31.

Patent costs are allowable to the extent they are incurred as a requirement under the award. The costs enumerated in subparagraphs (1), (2) and (3) below are deemed to be required by the award, and therefore are allowable, subject to the limits set forth therein.

- (1) Costs of preparing invention disclosures of subject inventions that are submitted to DOE, and related reports and other documents in accordance with the Patent Rights Clause;
- (2) Costs for searching the art to the extent reasonable and necessary to make the invention disclosures submitted to DOE in accordance with the Patent Rights Clause; and
- (3) Other costs in connection with the filing and prosecution of U.S. patent applications on subject inventions disclosed to DOE in accordance with the Patent Rights clause, subject to a limitation of \$15,000 of allowability for all such filed U.S. patent applications.

Patent costs, including the cost of filing any foreign patent applications, not required by the award as set forth above are unallowable.

Section V - APPLICATION REVIEW INFORMATION

Merit Review Criteria

Applications that pass the initial review will be subject to a merit review in accordance with the guidance provided in the “Department of Energy Merit Review Guide for Financial Assistance and Unsolicited Proposals.” This guide is available under Financial Assistance, Regulations and Guidance at <http://www.management.energy.gov/documents/meritrev.pdf>.

Mandatory Requirements

- Total cost to ARPA-E does not exceed \$10 million;
- Period of performance does not exceed 36 months;
- No less than 90% of total project costs (exclusive of equipment not available in the U.S.) performed on U.S. soil.

A. CONCEPT PAPER EVALUATION CRITERIA

General Concept Paper Evaluation Criteria are found below. More specific Concept Paper Evaluation Criteria are described in Section I.B of this FOA.

ARPA-E will perform an initial Concept Paper review to determine that (1) the applicant is eligible for an award; (2) the information required by the announcement has been submitted; (3) all mandatory requirements are satisfied; and (4) the proposed project is responsive to the objectives of the funding opportunity announcement.

Evaluation of Concept Papers will be performed using the following criteria: (1) Impact of the Proposed Technology Relative to State of the Art and (2) Overall Scientific and Technical Merit. Concept Papers will not be evaluated against each other since they are not submitted in accordance with a common work statement. The following are descriptions of the above listed criteria:

1. Impact of the Proposed Technology Relative to State of the Art

The proposed technology must directly address one or more ARPA-E Mission Areas. Quantitative material and/or technology metrics must be proposed that demonstrate the potential for a transformational (not incremental) advancement in one or more energy-related fields. The applicant must demonstrate an awareness of competing commercial and emerging technologies and identify how its proposed concept/technology provides significant improvement over these other solutions. The applicant must have a strong and convincing transition strategy, including a feasible pathway to transition the program results to the next logical stage of R&D or directly into industrial development and deployment. The applicant must address the program-specific requirements identified for the Concept Paper phase in Section I.B. Program Overview.

2. Overall Scientific and Technical Merit

The work must be unique and innovative. The proposed work should be high risk, but must be feasible. The applicant must demonstrate a sound technical approach to accomplish the proposed R&D objectives. The outcome and deliverables of the program, if successful, should be clearly defined. The applicant must address the program-specific requirements identified for the Concept Paper phase in Section I.B. Program Overview.

B. FULL APPLICATION EVALUATION CRITERIA

ARPA-E will perform an initial Full Application review to determine that (1) the applicant is eligible for an award; (2) the information required by the announcement has been submitted; (3) all mandatory requirements are satisfied; and (4) the proposed project is responsive to the objectives of the funding opportunity announcement.

Evaluation of Full Applications will be accomplished using the following criteria: (1) Impact of the Proposed Technology Relative to State of the Art; (2) Overall Scientific and Technical Merit; (3) Qualifications, Experience, and Capabilities; and (4) Sound Management Plan. Each criterion is of equal weight to the others, meaning one criterion cannot be considered more important than another in the evaluation and selection of Full Applications. Full Proposals will not be evaluated against each other since they are not submitted in accordance with a common work statement. The following are descriptions of the above listed criteria:

1. Impact of the Proposed Technology Relative to State of the Art

The proposed technology must directly address one or more ARPA-E Mission Areas. Quantitative material and/or technology metrics must be proposed that demonstrate the potential for a transformational (not incremental) advancement in one or more energy-related fields. The applicant must demonstrate an awareness of competing commercial and emerging technologies and identify how its proposed concept/technology provides significant improvement over these other solutions. The applicant must have a strong and convincing transition strategy, including a feasible pathway to transition the program results to the next logical stage of R&D or directly into industrial development and deployment. The applicant must address the program-specific requirements identified for the Full Application phase as described in Section **1.B** of this FOA.

2. Overall Scientific and Technical Merit

The work must be unique and innovative. The proposed work should be high risk, but must be feasible. The applicant must demonstrate a sound technical approach to accomplish the proposed R&D objectives. The outcome and deliverables of the program, if successful, should be clearly defined. The applicant must address the program-specific requirements identified for the Full Application phase as described in Section **1.B** of this FOA.

3. Qualifications, Experience, and Capabilities

The proposed Principal Investigator or technical team should have the expertise and experience needed to accomplish the proposed project. In addition, the applicant should have access to all facilities required to accomplish the R&D effort or has proposed the necessary missing equipment as part of the effort. The applicant's prior experience must demonstrate an ability to perform R&D of similar risk and complexity.

4. Sound Management Plan

The proposed effort must have a workable plan to manage people and resources. Appropriate levels of people and resources should be allocated to tasks. The application should identify major technical R&D risks and have adequately planned mitigation efforts that are clearly defined and feasible. The proposed schedule should be reasonable. The applicant's prior experience in similar efforts must clearly demonstrate an ability to manage an R&D project of the same proposed complexity that meets the proposed technical performance within the proposed budget schedule.

All Full Applications will be given a score for each criterion between 1.0 (low) and 5.0 (high).

Score	Criteria
5.0	Applicant fully addresses all aspects of the criterion; no demonstrated weaknesses
3.0	Applicant only partially addresses all aspects of the criterion; some weaknesses
1.0	Applicant fails to address one or more aspects of the criterion; significant weaknesses

Awards(s) will be made to applicants whose applications are determined to be the most advantageous to the objectives of ARPA-E to support transformational energy R&D efforts, all factors considered, including the evaluation of the applications against the criteria listed above and programmatic considerations. ARPA-E will consider the programmatic balance of the awards; the distribution across ARPA-E mission impact areas; the application areas and scientific disciplines; the match to national energy priorities; the economic benefits under the Recovery Act; and other programmatic specifics.

C. OTHER SELECTION FACTORS – PROGRAM POLICY FACTORS

ARPA-E may consider specific program policy factors during the selection process. In reviewing Concept Papers and Full Applications, the Selection Official may consider the following program policy factors in the evaluation:

- a. Preference for Full Applications that promote and enhance the objectives of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009, P.L. 111-5, especially job creation and retention, especially those with small and local businesses, and/or preservation and economic recovery in an expeditious manner.
- b. Programmatic balance of risk and application areas
- c. Programmatic review criteria, including consideration of additionality from ARPA-E investment
- d. Degree to which proposed projects optimize use of available ARPA-E funding to achieve ARPA-E goals and objectives
- e. Cost/budget considerations, including cost share beyond the required minimum
- f. Whether the award would serve the economic interest of the United States, including but not limited to:
 - Proposed transition path is likely to lead to significant increased employment in the United States; and
 - Likelihood the technology arising from or further developed under the award under this FOA will promote manufacturing within the U.S.
- g. Regarding consortia, collaborations, or other teaming arrangements, consideration will be given to:
 - Technical and management qualifications of members of the consortium, and of the members as a team; and
 - Demonstrated ability to commercialize the technology; and
 - Balance of financial/technical/management contributions provided by each member of the consortium.

D. REVIEW AND SELECTION PROCESS

Selection - The Selection Official will consider the merit review recommendation, and may consider program policy factors and the amount of funds available.

Discussions and Award - ARPA-E may enter into discussions with a selected applicant for any reason deemed necessary, including but not limited to: (1) the budget is not appropriate or reasonable for the

requirement; (2) only a portion of the application is selected for award; (3) ARPA-E needs additional information to determine that the recipient is capable of complying with the requirements in 10 CFR part 600; and/or (4) special terms and conditions are required. Failure to resolve satisfactorily the issues identified by ARPA-E will preclude award to the applicant.

E. ANTICIPATED NOTICE OF SELECTION AND AWARD DATES

Applicants will be notified whether they are Encouraged or Discouraged to submit a Full Application on April 23, 2010. Selections for this FOA expect to be announced in July 2010.

Section VI - AWARD ADMINISTRATION INFORMATION

A. AWARD NOTICES

Selected Applicants Notification

ARPA-E will notify applicants selected for negotiations; this notice is not an authorization to begin performance. All notifications are sent to the technical and administrative points of contact. Notification is also provided through an automated e-mail by the eXCHANGE server notifying applicants of a change in the status of their Full Application. Applicants who are selected for award and selected for possible future award are informed of the next steps in the award process.

Negotiations of cooperative agreements/TIA's: Rapid negotiations and execution of the legal instrument for the proposed effort are essential to meeting the goals of the Recovery Act. Reasonable requests to modify standard government instruments – cooperative agreements, and TIA's – will be considered, within the guidelines of applicable statutes and regulations. However, impasses caused by unreasonable requests can delay implementation of a project. Upon careful consideration, ARPA-E may decide there is a need to set-aside a proposal due to such a delay. Proposers may familiarize themselves with standard provisions in legal instruments at the following web pages. In addition, after selection of finalists, ARPA-E intends to conduct a public seminar (webinar or similar) on ARPA-E legal instruments.

For standard provisions in Cooperative agreements, including standard intellectual property provisions for assistance transactions, see under "awards terms" at:

http://www.management.energy.gov/business_doe/business_forms.htm.

The ARPA-E Special Terms and Conditions for Use In Cooperative Agreements are located in the ARPA-E Model Agreements at:

<http://arpa-e.energy.gov/About/KeyDocuments.aspx>

TIA's: http://management.energy.gov/policy_guidance/715.htm

Non-Selected Notification

Respondents whose applications have not been selected will be advised as promptly as practicable.

Notice of Award

A Notice of Financial Assistance Award or Assistance Agreement issued by the contracting officer is the authorizing award document. It normally includes either as an attachment or by reference: (1) Special Terms and Conditions; (2) Applicable program regulations, if any; (3) Application as approved by ARPA-E; (4) DOE assistance regulations at 10 CFR part 600; (5) National Policy Assurances to be Incorporated as Award Terms; (6) Budget Summary; and (7) Federal Assistance Reporting Checklist, which identifies the reporting requirements.

B. ADMINISTRATIVE AND NATIONAL POLICY REQUIREMENTS

Administrative Requirements

The administrative requirements for DOE grants and cooperative agreements are contained in 10 CFR Part 600 and for TIAs, in 10 CFR Part 603 (See: <http://ecfr.gpoaccess.gov>). Grants and cooperative agreements made to universities, non-profits and other entities subject to OMB Circular A-110 are subject to the Research Terms and Conditions located on the National Science Foundation website at <http://www.nsf.gov/bfa/dias/policy/rtc/index.jsp>.

Recovery Act Award Administration Information

Special Provisions relating to work funded under the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009, P. L. 111-5 shall apply. These special provisions are in Section IX.

Special Terms and Conditions and National Policy Requirements

The DOE Special Terms and Conditions for Use in Most Grants and Cooperative Agreements are located at http://management.energy.gov/business_doe/business_forms.htm and the ARPA-E Model Agreements are located at <http://arpa-e.energy.gov/About/KeyDocuments.aspx>.

The National Policy Assurances to be incorporated as award terms are located at DOE http://management.energy.gov/business_doe/business_forms.htm.

Intellectual Property Provisions

The standard DOE financial assistance intellectual property provisions applicable to the various types of recipients are located at http://www.gc.doe.gov/financial_assistance_awards.htm and the ARPA-E Model Agreements located at: <http://arpa-e.energy.gov/About/KeyDocuments.aspx>.

Statement of Substantial Involvement

If ARPA-E selects your application as a cooperative agreement or TIA, a Statement of Substantial Involvement will be negotiated to implement the project and included as an Attachment to the award.

C. REPORTING

Reporting requirements are identified on the Federal Assistance Reporting Checklist, DOE F 4600.2, attached to the award agreement. For a sample Checklist, see http://management.energy.gov/business_doe/business_forms.htm

ARPA-E will do its best to make all reporting as non-burdensome as possible.

Reports may include:

(1) Monthly and Quarterly Reports.

- A monthly and quarterly technical and financial report must be sent to the ARPA-E Program Director. The report is meant to be a short summary of technical progress and financial status. Any significant deviations from the plan should be identified and discussed.

(2) Annual Milestone Report.

- A report must be submitted to ARPA-E once a year in the month following the end of each full year of the performance period. The annual report should include a description of the achievements of “Go-No Go” milestones as described in the applicant’s proposal.

(3) Final Report

- At the conclusion of the performance period, a report must be submitted which includes a description of the progress of the project since the last annual report. The annual report should also include a comparison of the final performance results with the milestones described in the proposal.

(4) Recovery Act Reporting

- ARPA-E will assist all awardees on fulfilling ARRA requirements, including quarterly reports.

FOR RECOVERY ACT REPORTING REQUIREMENTS, SEE SECTION IX - SPECIAL PROVISIONS RELATING TO WORK FUNDED UNDER THE AMERICAN RECOVERY AND REINVESTMENT ACT OF 2009 (March 2009)

Section VII - QUESTIONS/AGENCY CONTACTS

A. QUESTIONS AND AGENCY CONTACT

ARPA-E will endeavor to respond to all questions submitted by May 21, 2010, in an expeditious and timely manner. Please submit your questions early; even though ARPA-E will make an effort to answer questions quickly, it is the applicants responsibility to meet all submission deadlines stated in this announcement. In all correspondence with ARPA-E please include the control number assigned to your submission.

Questions regarding program requirements must be directed to:

Program: Advanced Research Projects Agency - Energy
E-mail address: ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov

Section VIII - OTHER INFORMATION

Modifications

Notices of any modifications to this announcement will be posted on the following websites, www.fedbizopps.gov, www.grants.gov, www.fedconnect.net, as well as the ARPA-E website www.arpa-e.energy.gov and ARPA-E eXCHANGE site.

Government Right to Reject or Negotiate

ARPA-E reserves the right, without qualification, to reject any or all applications received in response to this announcement, and to select any application, in whole or in part, as a basis for negotiation and/or award.

Commitment of Public Funds

The Contracting Officer is the only individual who can make awards or commit the Government to the expenditure of public funds. A commitment by anyone other than the Contracting Officer, either explicit or implied, is invalid.

Intellectual Property Developed Under This Program

Patent Rights (IP)

In a grant or cooperative agreement, the government will have certain statutory rights in an invention that is conceived or first actually reduced to practice under a DOE award. For a grant or cooperative agreement, the Bayh-Dole Act (35 U.S.C. 202) assures that a domestic small business, university or a non-profit awardees will have the option to retain title to their own inventions, subject to the Government retaining a government purpose license, march-in rights and a U.S. preference in licensing. The patent clause that will apply these provisions can be found at 10 C.F.R. Part 600 Appendix A to Subpart D, PATENT RIGHTS-SMALL BUS.INESS FIRMS AND NONPROFIT ORGANIZATIONS. For awardees that are not subject to the Bayh-Dole Act (e.g., large businesses, foreign universities, and foreign companies), 42 U.S.C. 5908 provides that title to such inventions vests in the United States, unless a “waiver” is granted. For this FOA, ARPA-E intends to issue a “class waiver” which will assure that those awardees who are not subject to the Bayh-Dole Act will also have the option to retain title to their own inventions, subject to the same government retained rights identified above and provided they are cost sharing at least 20% and they agree to manufacture new technology created under an award resulting from this FOA in the U.S. or provide other net economic benefits to the U.S. The patent clause that will apply these provisions can be found at <http://www.gc.doe.gov/documents/patwaivclau.pdf>. The class waiver will allow for the negotiation of the requirement to manufacture new technology in the U.S. For those who are not subject to Bayh-Dole or do not meet the criteria of the class waiver, they may still request a waiver of all or any part of the rights of the United States in inventions conceived or first actually reduced to practice in performance of an agreement as a result of this announcement, in advance of or within 30 days after the effective date of the award. Even if such advance waiver is not requested or the request is denied, the recipient will have a continuing right under the award to request a waiver of the rights of the United States in the title to identified inventions, i.e., individual inventions conceived or first actually reduced to practice in performance of the award. Any individual patent waiver that may be granted is subject to certain terms and conditions in 10 CFR 784; <http://www.gc.doe.gov/documents/patwaivclau.pdf>.

This FOA allows applicants to request a TIA. In a TIA, the intellectual property rights are not subject to the requirements of the Bayh-Dole Act or 42 U.S.C. 5908 and are negotiable. If ARPA-E determines it is appropriate to award a TIA, patent rights will be negotiated pursuant to

the guidance set out in 10 C.F.R. 603.840 through 10 C.F.R. 603.875.

Rights in Technical Data

DOE normally retains unlimited rights in technical data first produced under the Agreement. Proprietary software or data developed solely at private expense will not normally be required to be delivered to the Government except as specifically negotiated in a particular agreement to satisfy ARPA-E's own needs to monitor work progress. For this FOA, ARPA-E has determined that special protected data rights may apply. The provisions provide for the protection from public disclosure, for a period of up to five (5) years from the development of the information, of data that would be trade secret, or commercial or financial information that is privileged or confidential, if the information had been obtained from a non-Federal party. Generally, the provision entitled, Rights in Data – Programs Covered Under Special Protected Data Statutes, (10 C.F.R. 600 Appendix A to Subpart D), would apply, but will be modified to list and identify data or categories of data first produced in the performance of the award that will be made available to the public, notwithstanding the statutory authority to withhold data from public dissemination, and will identify data that will be recognized by the parties as protected data.

Notice Regarding Eligible/Ineligible Activities

Eligible activities under this program include those which describe and promote the understanding of scientific and technical aspects of specific energy technologies, but not those which encourage or support political activities such as the collection and dissemination of information related to potential, planned or pending legislation.

Section IX - SPECIAL PROVISIONS RELATING TO WORK FUNDED UNDER THE AMERICAN RECOVERY AND REINVESTMENT ACT OF 2009 (March 2009)

Preamble

The American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009, Pub. L. 111-5, (Recovery Act) was enacted to preserve and create jobs and promote economic recovery, assist those most impacted by the recession, provide investments needed to increase economic efficiency by spurring technological advances in science and health, invest in transportation, environmental protection, and other infrastructure that will provide long-term economic benefits, stabilize State and local government budgets, in order to minimize and avoid reductions in essential services and counterproductive State and local tax increases. Recipients shall use grant funds in a manner that maximizes job creation and economic benefit.

The Recipient shall comply with all terms and conditions in the Recovery Act relating generally to governance, accountability, transparency, data collection and resources as specified in Act itself and as discussed below.

Recipients should begin planning activities for their first tier subrecipients, including obtaining a DUNS number (or updating the existing DUNS record), and registering with the Central Contractor Registration (CCR).

Be advised that Recovery Act funds can be used in conjunction with other funding as necessary to complete projects, but tracking and reporting must be separate to meet the reporting requirements of the Recovery Act and related guidance. For projects funded by sources other than the Recovery Act, Contractors must keep separate records for Recovery Act funds and to ensure those records comply with the requirements of the Act.

The Government has not fully developed the implementing instructions of the Recovery Act, particularly concerning specific procedural requirements for the new reporting requirements. The Recipient will be provided these details as they become available. The Recipient must comply with all requirements of the Act. If the recipient believes there is any inconsistency between ARRA requirements and current award terms and conditions, the issues will be referred to the Contracting Officer for reconciliation.

Definitions

For purposes of this clause, Covered Funds means funds expended or obligated from appropriations under the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009, Pub. L. 111-5. Covered Funds will have special accounting codes and will be identified as Recovery Act funds in the grant, cooperative agreement or TIA and/or modification using Recovery Act funds. Covered Funds must be reimbursed by September 30, 2015.

Non-Federal employer means any employer with respect to covered funds -- the contractor, subcontractor, grantee, or recipient, as the case may be, if the contractor, subcontractor, grantee, or recipient is an employer; and any professional membership organization, certification of other professional body, any agent or licensee of the Federal government, or any person acting directly or indirectly in the interest of an employer receiving covered funds; or with respect to covered funds received by a State or local government, the State or local government receiving the funds and any contractor or subcontractor receiving the funds and any contractor or subcontractor of the State or local government; and does not mean any department, agency, or other entity of the

federal government.

Recipient means any entity that receives Recovery Act funds directly from the Federal government (including Recovery Act funds received through grant, loan, or contract) other than an individual and includes a State that receives Recovery Act Funds.

Special Provisions

A. Flow Down Requirement

Recipients must include these special terms and conditions in any subaward.

B. Segregation of Costs

Recipients must segregate the obligations and expenditures related to funding under the Recovery Act. Financial and accounting systems should be revised as necessary to segregate, track and maintain these funds apart and separate from other revenue streams. No part of the funds from the Recovery Act shall be commingled with any other funds or used for a purpose other than that of making payments for costs allowable for Recovery Act projects.

C. Prohibition on Use of Funds

None of the funds provided under this agreement derived from the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009, Pub. L. 111-5, may be used by any State or local government, or any private entity, for any casino or other gambling establishment, aquarium, zoo, golf course, or swimming pool.

D. Access to Records

With respect to each financial assistance agreement awarded utilizing at least some of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available by the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009, Pub. L. 111-5, any representative of an appropriate inspector general appointed under section 3 or 8G of the Inspector General Act of 1988 (5 U.S.C. App.) or of the Comptroller General is authorized --

(1) to examine any records of the contractor or grantee, any of its subcontractors or subgrantees, or any State or local agency administering such contract that pertain to, and involve transactions that relate to, the subcontract, subcontract, grant, or subgrant; and

(2) to interview any officer or employee of the contractor, grantee, subgrantee, or agency regarding such transactions.

E. Publication

An application may contain technical data and other data, including trade secrets and/or privileged or confidential information, which the applicant does not want disclosed to the public or used by the Government for any purpose other than the application. To protect such data, the applicant should specifically identify each page including each line or paragraph thereof containing the data to be protected and mark the first page of the project narrative of the application with the following Notice as well as referring to the Notice on each page to which the Notice applies:

Notice of Restriction on Disclosure and Use of Data

The data contained in pages [APPLICANT MUST IDENTIFY PAGES] of this application have been submitted in confidence and contain trade secrets or proprietary information, and such data shall be used or disclosed only for review and evaluation purposes, provided that if this applicant receives an award as a result of or in connection with the submission of this application, DOE shall have the right to use or disclose the data here to the extent provided in the award. Any disclosure outside the Government shall be made only to a party subject to an appropriate obligation to the Government to protect the confidentiality of the application. This restriction does not limit the Government's right to use or disclose data obtained without restriction from any source, including the applicant.

Further, each line or paragraph on the pages containing patentable ideas, trade secrets, proprietary or confidential commercial or financial information must be specifically identified and marked with a legend similar to the following:

“The following contains proprietary information that (NAME OF APPLICANT) requests not be released to persons outside the Government, except for purposes of review and evaluation.”

Failure to comply with this requirement will result in the waiver of any right to restricted treatment.

Information about this agreement will be published on the Internet and linked to the website www.recovery.gov, maintained by the Accountability and Transparency Board. The Board may exclude posting contractual or other information on the website on a case-by-case basis when necessary to protect national security or to protect information that is not subject to disclosure under sections 552 and 552a of title 5, United States Code.

F. Protecting State and Local Government and Contractor Whistleblowers.

The requirements of Section 1553 of the Act are summarized below. They include, but are not limited to:

Prohibition on Reprisals: An employee of any non-Federal employer receiving covered funds under the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009, Pub. L. 111-5, may not be discharged, demoted, or otherwise discriminated against as a reprisal for disclosing, including a disclosure made in the ordinary course of an employee's duties, to the Accountability and Transparency Board, an inspector general, the Comptroller General, a member of Congress, a State or Federal regulatory or law enforcement agency, a person with supervisory authority over the employee (or other person working for the employer who has the authority to investigate, discover or terminate misconduct), a court or grant jury, the head of a Federal agency, or their representatives information that the employee believes is evidence of:

- gross management of an agency contract or grant relating to covered funds;
- a gross waste of covered funds;
- a substantial and specific danger to public health or safety related to the implementation or use of covered funds;
- an abuse of authority related to the implementation or use of covered funds; or
- as violation of law, rule, or regulation related to an agency contract (including the competition for or negotiation of a contract) or grant, awarded or issued relating to covered funds.

Agency Action: Not later than 30 days after receiving an inspector general report of an alleged reprisal, the head of the agency shall determine whether there is sufficient basis to conclude that

the non-Federal employer has subjected the employee to a prohibited reprisal. The agency shall either issue an order denying relief in whole or in part or shall take one or more of the following actions:

- Order the employer to take affirmative action to abate the reprisal.
- Order the employer to reinstate the person to the position that the person held before the reprisal, together with compensation including back pay, compensatory damages, employment benefits, and other terms and conditions of employment that would apply to the person in that position if the reprisal had not been taken.
- Order the employer to pay the employee an amount equal to the aggregate amount of all costs and expenses (including attorneys' fees and expert witnesses' fees) that were reasonably incurred by the employee for or in connection with, bringing the complaint regarding the reprisal, as determined by the head of a court of competent jurisdiction.

Nonenforceability of Certain Provisions Waiving Rights and remedies or Requiring Arbitration: Except as provided in a collective bargaining agreement, the rights and remedies provided to aggrieved employees by this section may not be waived by any agreement, policy, form, or condition of employment, including any predispute arbitration agreement. No predispute arbitration agreement shall be valid or enforceable if it requires arbitration of a dispute arising out of this section.

Requirement to Post Notice of Rights and Remedies: Any employer receiving covered funds under the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009, Pub. L. 111-5, shall post notice of the rights and remedies as required therein. (Refer to section 1553 of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009, Pub. L. 111-5, www.Recovery.gov, for specific requirements of this section and prescribed language for the notices.).

G. Reserved

H. False Claims Act

Recipient and sub-recipients shall promptly refer to the DOE or other appropriate Inspector General any credible evidence that a principal, employee, agent, contractor, sub-grantee, subcontractor or other person has submitted a false claim under the False Claims Act or has committed a criminal or civil violation of laws pertaining to fraud, conflict of interest, bribery, gratuity or similar misconduct involving those funds.

I. Information in Support of Recovery Act Reporting

Recipient may be required to submit backup documentation for expenditures of funds under the Recovery Act including such items as timecards and invoices. Recipient shall provide copies of backup documentation at the request of the Contracting Officer or designee.

J. Availability of Funds

Funds appropriated under the Recovery Act and obligated to this award are available for reimbursement of costs until September 30, 2015.

REPORTING AND REGISTRATION REQUIREMENTS UNDER SECTION 1512 OF THE RECOVERY ACT

(a) This award requires the recipient to complete projects or activities which are funded under the

American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (Recovery Act) and to report on use of Recovery Act funds provided through this award. Information from these reports will be made available to the public.

(b) The reports are due no later than ten calendar days after each calendar quarter in which the recipient receives the assistance award funded in whole or in part by the Recovery Act.

(c) Recipients and their first-tier recipients must maintain current registrations in the Central Contractor Registration (<http://www.ccr.gov>) at all times during which they have active federal awards funded with Recovery Act funds. A Dun and Bradstreet Data Universal Numbering System (DUNS) Number (<http://www.dnb.com>) is one of the requirements for registration in the Central Contractor Registration.

(d) The recipient shall report the information described in section 1512(c) of the Recovery Act using the reporting instructions and data elements that will be provided online at <http://www.FederalReporting.gov> and ensure that any information that is pre-filled is corrected or updated as needed.

**REQUIRED USE OF AMERICAN IRON, STEEL, AND MANUFACTURED GOODS --
SECTION 1605 OF THE AMERICAN RECOVERY AND REINVESTMENT ACT OF
2009**

(a) Definitions. As used in this award term and condition--

(1) Manufactured good means a good brought to the construction site for incorporation into the building or work that has been--

(i) Processed into a specific form and shape; or

(ii) Combined with other raw material to create a material that has different properties than the properties of the individual raw materials.

(2) Public building and public work means a public building of, and a public work of, a governmental entity (the United States; the District of Columbia; commonwealths, territories, and minor outlying islands of the United States; State and local governments; and multi-State, regional, or interstate entities which have governmental functions). These buildings and works may include, without limitation, bridges, dams, plants, highways, parkways, streets, subways, tunnels, sewers, mains, power lines, pumping stations, heavy generators, railways, airports, terminals, docks, piers, wharves, ways, lighthouses, buoys, jetties, breakwaters, levees, and canals, and the construction, alteration, maintenance, or repair of such buildings and works.

(3) Steel means an alloy that includes at least 50 percent iron, between .02 and 2 percent carbon, and may include other elements.

(b) Domestic preference. (1) This award term and condition implements Section 1605 of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (Recovery Act) (Pub. L. 111--5), by requiring that all iron, steel, and manufactured goods used in the project are produced in the United States except as provided in paragraph (b)(3) and (b)(4) of this section and condition.

(2) This requirement does not apply to the material listed by the Federal Government as follows:

[Award official to list applicable excepted materials or indicate "none"]

(3) The award official may add other iron, steel, and/or manufactured goods to the list in paragraph (b)(2) of this section and condition if the Federal Government determines that--

(i) The cost of the domestic iron, steel, and/or manufactured goods would be unreasonable. The cost of domestic iron, steel, or manufactured goods used in the project is unreasonable when the cumulative cost of such material will increase the cost of the overall project by more than 25 percent;

(ii) The iron, steel, and/or manufactured good is not produced, or manufactured in the United States in sufficient and reasonably available quantities and of a satisfactory quality; or

(iii) The application of the restriction of section 1605 of the Recovery Act would be inconsistent with the public interest.

(c) Request for determination of inapplicability of Section 1605 of the Recovery Act . (1)(i) Any recipient request to use foreign iron, steel, and/or manufactured goods in accordance with paragraph (b)(3) of this section shall include adequate information for Federal Government evaluation of the request, including--

(A) A description of the foreign and domestic iron, steel, and/or manufactured goods;

(B) Unit of measure;

(C) Quantity;

(D) Cost;

(E) Time of delivery or availability;

(F) Location of the project;

(G) Name and address of the proposed supplier; and

(H) A detailed justification of the reason for use of foreign iron, steel, and/or manufactured goods cited in accordance with paragraph (b)(3) of this section.

(ii) A request based on unreasonable cost shall include a reasonable survey of the market and a completed cost comparison table in the format in paragraph (d) of this section.

(iii) The cost of iron, steel, and/or manufactured goods material shall include all delivery costs to the construction site and any applicable duty.

(iv) Any recipient request for a determination submitted after Recovery Act funds have been obligated for a project for construction, alteration, maintenance, or repair shall explain why the recipient could not reasonably foresee the need for such determination and could not have requested the determination before the funds were obligated. If the recipient does not submit a satisfactory explanation, the award official need not make a determination.

(2) If the Federal Government determines after funds have been obligated for a project for construction, alteration, maintenance, or repair that an exception to section 1605 of the Recovery Act applies, the award official will amend the award to allow use of the foreign iron, steel, and/or relevant manufactured goods. When the basis for the exception is nonavailability or public interest, the amended award shall reflect adjustment of the award amount, redistribution of budgeted funds, and/or other actions taken to cover costs associated with acquiring or using the foreign iron, steel, and/or relevant manufactured goods. When the basis for the exception is the unreasonable cost of the domestic iron, steel, or manufactured goods, the award official shall adjust the award amount or redistribute budgeted funds by at least the differential established in 2 CFR 176.110(a).

(3) Unless the Federal Government determines that an exception to section 1605 of the Recovery Act applies, use of foreign iron, steel, and/or manufactured goods is noncompliant with section 1605 of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act.

(d) Data. To permit evaluation of requests under paragraph (b) of this section based on unreasonable cost, the Recipient shall include the following information and any applicable supporting data based on the survey of suppliers:

Foreign and Domestic Items Cost Comparison

Description	Unit of measure	Quantity	Cost
(dollars)*			
Item 1:			
Foreign steel, iron, or manufactured good			
Domestic steel, iron, or manufactured good			
Item 2:			
Foreign steel, iron, or manufactured good			
Domestic steel, iron, or manufactured good			

[List name, address, telephone number, email address, and contact for suppliers surveyed. Attach copy of response; if oral, attach summary.]

[Include other applicable supporting information.]

[*Include all delivery costs to the construction site.]

REQUIRED U.S.E OF AMERICAN IRON, STEEL, AND MANUFACTURED GOODS (COVERED UNDER INTERNATIONAL AGREEMENTS)--SECTION 1605 OF THE AMERICAN RECOVERY AND REINVESTMENT ACT OF 2009

(a) Definitions. As used in this award term and condition--

Designated country --(1) A World Trade Organization Government Procurement Agreement country (Aruba, Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Canada, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hong Kong, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Israel, Italy, Japan, Korea (Republic of), Latvia, Liechtenstein, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Singapore, Slovak Republic, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, and United Kingdom;

(2) A Free Trade Agreement (FTA) country (Australia, Bahrain, Canada, Chile, Costa Rica, Dominican Republic, El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras, Israel, Mexico, Morocco, Nicaragua,

Oman, Peru, or Singapore); or

(3) A United States-European Communities Exchange of Letters (May 15, 1995) country: Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovak Republic, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, and United Kingdom. Designated country iron, steel, and/or manufactured goods --(1) Is wholly the growth, product, or manufacture of a designated country; or

(2) In the case of a manufactured good that consist in whole or in part of materials from another country, has been substantially transformed in a designated country into a new and different manufactured good distinct from the materials from which it was transformed.

Domestic iron, steel, and/or manufactured good --(1) Is wholly the growth, product, or manufacture of the United States; or

(2) In the case of a manufactured good that consists in whole or in part of materials from another country, has been substantially transformed in the United States into a new and different manufactured good distinct from the materials from which it was transformed. There is no requirement with regard to the origin of components or subcomponents in manufactured goods or products, as long as the manufacture of the goods occurs in the United States.

Foreign iron, steel, and/or manufactured good means iron, steel and/or manufactured good that is not domestic or designated country iron, steel, and/or manufactured good.

Manufactured good means a good brought to the construction site for incorporation into the building or work that has been--

(1) Processed into a specific form and shape; or

(2) Combined with other raw material to create a material that has different properties than the properties of the individual raw materials.

Public building and public work means a public building of, and a public work of, a governmental entity (the United States; the District of Columbia; commonwealths, territories, and minor outlying islands of the United States; State and local governments; and multi-State, regional, or interstate entities which have governmental functions). These buildings and works may include, without limitation, bridges, dams, plants, highways, parkways, streets, subways, tunnels, sewers, mains, power lines, pumping stations, heavy generators, railways, airports, terminals, docks, piers, wharves, ways, lighthouses, buoys, jetties, breakwaters, levees, and canals, and the construction, alteration, maintenance, or repair of such buildings and works.

Steel means an alloy that includes at least 50 percent iron, between .02 and 2 percent carbon, and may include other elements.

(b) Iron, steel, and manufactured goods. (1) The award term and condition described in this section implements--

(i) Section 1605(a) of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (Pub. L. 111--5) (Recovery Act), by requiring that all iron, steel, and manufactured goods used in the project are produced in the United States; and

(ii) Section 1605(d), which requires application of the Buy American requirement in a manner consistent with U.S. obligations under international agreements. The restrictions of section 1605 of the Recovery Act do not apply to designated country iron, steel, and/or manufactured goods. The Buy American requirement in section 1605 shall not be applied where the iron, steel or manufactured goods used in the project are from a Party to an international agreement that obligates the recipient to treat the goods and services of that Party the same as domestic goods and services. This obligation shall only apply to projects with an estimated value of \$7,443,000 or more.

(2) The recipient shall use only domestic or designated country iron, steel, and manufactured goods in performing the work funded in whole or part with this award, except as provided in paragraphs (b)(3) and (b)(4) of this section.

(3) The requirement in paragraph (b)(2) of this section does not apply to the iron, steel, and manufactured goods listed by the Federal Government as follows:

[Award official to list applicable excepted materials or indicate "none"]

(4) The award official may add other iron, steel, and manufactured goods to the list in paragraph (b)(3) of this section if the Federal Government determines that--

(i) The cost of domestic iron, steel, and/or manufactured goods would be unreasonable. The cost of domestic iron, steel, and/or manufactured goods used in the project is unreasonable when the cumulative cost of such material will increase the overall cost of the project by more than 25 percent;

(ii) The iron, steel, and/or manufactured good is not produced, or manufactured in the United States in sufficient and reasonably available commercial quantities of a satisfactory quality; or

(iii) The application of the restriction of section 1605 of the Recovery Act would be inconsistent with the public interest.

(c) Request for determination of inapplicability of section 1605 of the Recovery Act or the Buy American Act. (1)(i) Any recipient request to use foreign iron, steel, and/or manufactured goods in accordance with paragraph (b)(4) of this section shall include adequate information for Federal Government evaluation of the request, including--

(A) A description of the foreign and domestic iron, steel, and/or manufactured goods;

(B) Unit of measure;

(C) Quantity;

(D) Cost;

(E) Time of delivery or availability;

(F) Location of the project;

(G) Name and address of the proposed supplier; and

(H) A detailed justification of the reason for use of foreign iron, steel, and/or manufactured goods cited in accordance with paragraph (b)(4) of this section.

(ii) A request based on unreasonable cost shall include a reasonable survey of the market and a completed cost comparison table in the format in paragraph (d) of this section.

(iii) The cost of iron, steel, or manufactured goods shall include all delivery costs to the construction site and any applicable duty.

(iv) Any recipient request for a determination submitted after Recovery Act funds have been obligated for a project for construction, alteration, maintenance, or repair shall explain why the recipient could not reasonably foresee the need for such determination and could not have requested the determination before the funds were obligated. If the recipient does not submit a satisfactory explanation, the award official need not make a determination.

(2) If the Federal Government determines after funds have been obligated for a project for construction, alteration, maintenance, or repair that an exception to section 1605 of the Recovery Act applies, the award official will amend the award to allow use of the foreign iron, steel, and/or relevant manufactured goods. When the basis for the exception is nonavailability or public interest, the amended award shall reflect adjustment of the award amount, redistribution of budgeted funds, and/or other appropriate actions taken to cover costs associated with acquiring or using the foreign iron, steel, and/or relevant manufactured goods.. When the basis for the exception is the unreasonable cost of the domestic iron, steel, or manufactured goods, the award official shall adjust the award amount or redistribute budgeted funds, as appropriate, by at least the differential established in 2 CFR 176.110(a).

(3) Unless the Federal Government determines that an exception to section 1605 of the Recovery Act applies, use of foreign iron, steel, and/or manufactured goods other than designated country iron, steel, and/or manufactured goods is noncompliant with the applicable Act.

(d) Data. To permit evaluation of requests under paragraph (b) of this section based on unreasonable cost, the applicant shall include the following information and any applicable supporting data based on the survey of suppliers:

Foreign and Domestic Items Cost Comparison

Description	Unit of measure	Quantity	Cost
(dollars)*			
Item 1:			
Foreign steel, iron, or manufactured good			
Domestic steel, iron, or manufactured good			
Item 2:			
Foreign steel, iron, or manufactured good			
Domestic steel, iron, or manufactured good			

[List name, address, telephone number, email address, and contact for suppliers surveyed. Attach copy of response; if oral, attach summary.]

[Include other applicable supporting information.]

[*Include all delivery costs to the construction site.]

WAGE RATE REQUIREMENTS UNDER SECTION 1606 OF THE RECOVERY ACT

(a) Section 1606 of the Recovery Act requires that all laborers and mechanics employed by contractors and subcontractors on projects funded directly by or assisted in whole or in part by and through the Federal Government pursuant to the Recovery Act shall be paid wages at rates not less than those prevailing on projects of a character similar in the locality as determined by the Secretary of Labor in accordance with subchapter IV of chapter 31 of title 40, United States Code.

Pursuant to Reorganization Plan No. 14 and the Copeland Act, 40 U.S.C. 3145, the Department of Labor has issued regulations at 29 CFR parts 1, 3, and 5 to implement the Davis-Bacon and related Acts. Regulations in 29 CFR 5.5 instruct agencies concerning application of the standard Davis-Bacon contract clauses set forth in that section. Federal agencies providing grants, cooperative agreements, and loans under the Recovery Act shall ensure that the standard Davis-Bacon contract clauses found in 29 CFR 5.5(a) are incorporated in any resultant covered contracts that are in excess of \$2,000 for construction, alteration or repair (including painting and decorating).

(b) For additional guidance on the wage rate requirements of section 1606, contact your awarding agency. Recipients of grants, cooperative agreements and loans should direct their initial inquiries concerning the application of Davis-Bacon requirements to a particular federally assisted project to the Federal agency funding the project. The Secretary of Labor retains final coverage authority under Reorganization Plan Number 14.

RECOVERY ACT TRANSACTIONS LISTED IN SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS AND RECIPIENT RESPONSIBILITIES FOR INFORMING SUBRECIPIENTS

(a) To maximize the transparency and accountability of funds authorized under the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (Pub. L. 111--5) (Recovery Act) as required by Congress and in accordance with 2 CFR 215.21 "Uniform Administrative Requirements for Grants and Agreements" and OMB Circular A--102 Common Rules provisions, recipients agree to maintain records that identify adequately the source and application of Recovery Act funds. OMB Circular A--102 is available at <http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/circulars/a102/a102.html>.

(b) For recipients covered by the Single Audit Act Amendments of 1996 and OMB Circular A--133, "Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations," recipients agree to separately identify the expenditures for Federal awards under the Recovery Act on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (SEFA) and the Data Collection Form (SF--SAC) required by OMB Circular A--133. OMB Circular A--133 is available at <http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/circulars/a133/a133.html>. This shall be accomplished by identifying expenditures for Federal awards made under the Recovery Act separately on the SEFA, and as separate rows under Item 9 of Part III on the SF--SAC by CFDA number, and inclusion of the prefix "ARRA-" in identifying the name of the Federal program on the SEFA and as the first characters in Item 9d of Part III on the SF--SAC.

(c) Recipients agree to separately identify to each subrecipient, and document at the time of subaward and at the time of disbursement of funds, the Federal award number, CFDA number,

and amount of Recovery Act funds. When a recipient awards Recovery Act funds for an existing program, the information furnished to subrecipients shall distinguish the subawards of incremental Recovery Act funds from regular subawards under the existing program.

(d) Recipients agree to require their subrecipients to include on their SEFA information to specifically identify Recovery Act funding similar to the requirements for the recipient SEFA described above. This information is needed to allow the recipient to properly monitor subrecipient expenditure of ARRA funds as well as oversight by the Federal awarding agencies, Offices of Inspector General and the Government Accountability Office.

Applicable for ARRA awards when WAGE RATE REQUIREMENTS UNDER SECTION 1606 OF THE RECOVERY ACT article is used.

DAVIS BACON ACT REQUIREMENTS

Note: Where necessary to make the context of these articles applicable to this award, the term "Contractor" shall mean "Recipient" and the term "Subcontractor" shall mean "Subrecipient or Subcontractor" per the following definitions.

Recipient means the organization, individual, or other entity that receives an award from DOE and is financially accountable for the use of any DOE funds or property provided for the performance of the project, and is legally responsible for carrying out the terms and conditions of the award.

Subrecipient means the legal entity to which a subaward is made and which is accountable to the recipient for the use of the funds provided. The term may include foreign or international organizations (such as agencies of the United Nations).

Davis-Bacon Act

(a) Definition.--"Site of the work"--

(1) Means--

(i) The primary site of the work. The physical place or places where the construction called for in the award will remain when work on it is completed; and

(ii) The secondary site of the work, if any. Any other site where a significant portion of the building or work is constructed, provided that such site is--

(A) Located in the United States; and

(B) Established specifically for the performance of the award or project;

(2) Except as provided in paragraph (3) of this definition, includes any fabrication plants, mobile factories, batch plants, borrow pits, job headquarters, tool yards, etc., provided--

(i) They are dedicated exclusively, or nearly so, to performance of the award or project; and

(ii) They are adjacent or virtually adjacent to the "primary site of the work" as defined in

paragraph (a)(1)(i), or the "secondary site of the work" as defined in paragraph (a)(1)(ii) of this definition;

(3) Does not include permanent home offices, branch plant establishments, fabrication plants, or tool yards of a Contractor or subcontractor whose locations and continuance in operation are determined wholly without regard to a particular Federal award or project. In addition, fabrication plants, batch plants, borrow pits, job headquarters, yards, etc., of a commercial or material supplier which are established by a supplier of materials for the project before opening of bids and not on the Project site, are not included in the "site of the work." Such permanent, previously established facilities are not a part of the "site of the work" even if the operations for a period of time may be dedicated exclusively or nearly so, to the performance of a award.

(b) (1) All laborers and mechanics employed or working upon the site of the work will be paid unconditionally and not less often than once a week, and without subsequent deduction or rebate on any account (except such payroll deductions as are permitted by regulations issued by the Secretary of Labor under the Copeland Act (29 CFR Part 3)), the full amount of wages and bona fide fringe benefits (or cash equivalents thereof) due at time of payment computed at rates not less than those contained in the wage determination of the Secretary of Labor which is attached hereto and made a part hereof, or as may be incorporated for a secondary site of the work, regardless of any contractual relationship which may be alleged to exist between the Contractor and such laborers and mechanics. Any wage determination incorporated for a secondary site of the work shall be effective from the first day on which work under the award was performed at that site and shall be incorporated without any adjustment in award price or estimated cost. Laborers employed by the construction Contractor or construction subcontractor that are transporting portions of the building or work between the secondary site of the work and the primary site of the work shall be paid in accordance with the wage determination applicable to the primary site of the work.

(2) Contributions made or costs reasonably anticipated for bona fide fringe benefits under section 1(b)(2) of the Davis-Bacon Act on behalf of laborers or mechanics are considered wages paid to such laborers or mechanics, subject to the provisions of paragraph (e) of this article; also, regular contributions made or costs incurred for more than a weekly period (but not less often than quarterly) under plans, funds, or programs which cover the particular weekly period, are deemed to be constructively made or incurred during such period.

(3) Such laborers and mechanics shall be paid not less than the appropriate wage rate and fringe benefits in the wage determination for the classification of work actually performed, without regard to skill, except as provided in the article entitled Apprentices and Trainees. Laborers or mechanics performing work in more than one classification may be compensated at the rate specified for each classification for the time actually worked therein; provided, that the employer's payroll records accurately set forth the time spent in each classification in which work is performed.

(4) The wage determination (including any additional classifications and wage rates conformed under paragraph (c) of this article) and the Davis-Bacon poster (WH-1321) shall be posted at all times by the Contractor and its subcontractors at the site of the work in a prominent and accessible place where it can be easily seen by the workers.

(c) (1) The Contracting Officer shall require that any class of laborers or mechanics which is not listed in the wage determination and which is to be employed under the award shall be classified in conformance with the wage determination. The Contracting Officer shall approve an additional

classification and wage rate and fringe benefits therefore only when all the following criteria have been met:

(i) The work to be performed by the classification requested is not performed by a classification in the wage determination.

(ii) The classification is utilized in the area by the construction industry.

(iii) The proposed wage rate, including any bona fide fringe benefits, bears a reasonable relationship to the wage rates contained in the wage determination.

(2) If the Contractor and the laborers and mechanics to be employed in the classification (if known), or their representatives and the Contracting Officer agree on the classification and wage rate (including the amount designated for fringe benefits, where appropriate), a report of the action taken shall be sent by the Contracting Officer to the Administrator of the:

Wage and Hour Division
Employment Standards Administration
U.S. Department of Labor
Washington, DC 20210

The Administrator or an authorized representative will approve, modify, or disapprove every additional classification action within 30 days of receipt and so advise the Contracting Officer or will notify the Contracting Officer within the 30-day period that additional time is necessary.

(3) In the event the Contractor, the laborers or mechanics to be employed in the classification, or their representatives, and the Contracting Officer do not agree on the proposed classification and wage rate (including the amount designated for fringe benefits, where appropriate), the Contracting Officer shall refer the questions, including the views of all interested parties and the recommendation of the Contracting Officer, to the Administrator of the Wage and Hour Division for determination. The Administrator, or an authorized representative, will issue a determination within 30 days of receipt and so advise the Contracting Officer or will notify the Contracting Officer within the 30-day period that additional time is necessary.

(4) The wage rate (including fringe benefits, where appropriate) determined pursuant to subparagraphs (c)(2) and (c)(3) of this article shall be paid to all workers performing work in the classification under this award from the first day on which work is performed in the classification.

(d) Whenever the minimum wage rate prescribed in the award for a class of laborers or mechanics includes a fringe benefit which is not expressed as an hourly rate, the Contractor shall either pay the benefit as stated in the wage determination or shall pay another bona fide fringe benefit or an hourly cash equivalent thereof.

(e) If the Contractor does not make payments to a trustee or other third person, the Contractor may consider as part of the wages of any laborer or mechanic the amount of any costs reasonably anticipated in providing bona fide fringe benefits under a plan or program; provided, that the Secretary of Labor has found, upon the written request of the Contractor, that the applicable standards of the Davis-Bacon Act have been met. The Secretary of Labor may require the Contractor to set aside in a separate account assets for the meeting of obligations under the plan or program.

Rates of Wages [Note to Specialist -- Include the DOL Wage Determination as an attachment to the award.]

The minimum wages to be paid laborers and mechanics under this award involved in performance of work at the project site, as determined by the Secretary of Labor to be prevailing for the corresponding classes of laborers and mechanics employed on projects of a character similar to the contract work in the pertinent locality, are included as an attachment to this award. These wage rates are minimum rates and are not intended to represent the actual wage rates that the Contractor may have to pay.

Payrolls and Basic Records

(a) Payrolls and basic records relating thereto shall be maintained by the Contractor during the course of the work and preserved for a period of 3 years thereafter for all laborers and mechanics working at the site of the work. Such records shall contain the name, address, and social security number of each such worker, his or her correct classification, hourly rates of wages paid (including rates of contributions or costs anticipated for bona fide fringe benefits or cash equivalents thereof of the types described in section 1(b)(2)(B) of the Davis-Bacon Act), daily and weekly number of hours worked, deductions made, and actual wages paid. Whenever the Secretary of Labor has found, under paragraph (d) of the article entitled Davis-Bacon Act, that the wages of any laborer or mechanic include the amount of any costs reasonably anticipated in providing benefits under a plan or program described in section 1(b)(2)(B) of the Davis-Bacon Act, the Contractor shall maintain records which show that the commitment to provide such benefits is enforceable, that the plan or program is financially responsible, and that the plan or program has been communicated in writing to the laborers or mechanics affected, and records which show the costs anticipated or the actual cost incurred in providing such benefits. Contractors employing apprentices or trainees under approved programs shall maintain written evidence of the registration of apprenticeship programs and certification of trainee programs, the registration of the apprentices and trainees, and the ratios and wage rates prescribed in the applicable programs.

(b)(1) The Contractor shall submit weekly for each week in which any award work is performed a copy of all payrolls to the Contracting Officer. The payrolls submitted shall set out accurately and completely all of the information required to be maintained under paragraph (a) of this article. This information may be submitted in any form desired. Optional Form WH-347 (Federal Stock Number 029-005-00014-1) is available for this purpose and may be purchased from the --

Superintendent of Documents
U.S. Government Printing Office
Washington, DC 20402

The Prime Contractor is responsible for the submission of copies of payrolls by all subcontractors.

(2) Each payroll submitted shall be accompanied by a "Statement of Compliance," signed by the Contractor or subcontractor or his or her agent who pays or supervises the payment of the persons employed under the award and shall certify --

(i) That the payroll for the payroll period contains the information required to be maintained under paragraph (a) of this article and that such information is correct and complete;

(ii) That each laborer or mechanic (including each helper, apprentice, and trainee) employed on

the award during the payroll period has been paid the full weekly wages earned, without rebate, either directly or indirectly, and that no deductions have been made either directly or indirectly from the full wages earned, other than permissible deductions as set forth in the Regulations, 29 CFR Part 3; and

(iii) That each laborer or mechanic has been paid not less than the applicable wage rates and fringe benefits or cash equivalents for the classification of work performed, as specified in the applicable wage determination incorporated into the award.

(3) The weekly submission of a properly executed certification set forth on the reverse side of Optional Form WH-347 shall satisfy the requirement for submission of the "Statement of Compliance" required by subparagraph (b)(2) of this article.

(4) The falsification of any of the certifications in this article may subject the Contractor or subcontractor to civil or criminal prosecution under Section 1001 of Title 18 and Section 3729 of Title 31 of the United States Code.

(c) The Contractor or subcontractor shall make the records required under paragraph (a) of this article available for inspection, copying, or transcription by the Contracting Officer or authorized representatives of the Contracting Officer or the Department of Labor. The Contractor or subcontractor shall permit the Contracting Officer or representatives of the Contracting Officer or the Department of Labor to interview employees during working hours on the job. If the Contractor or subcontractor fails to submit required records or to make them available, the Contracting Officer may, after written notice to the Contractor, take such action as may be necessary to cause the suspension of any further payment. Furthermore, failure to submit the required records upon request or to make such records available may be grounds for debarment action pursuant to 29 CFR 5.12.

Withholding of Funds

The Contracting Officer shall, upon his or her own action or upon written request of an authorized representative of the Department of Labor, withhold or cause to be withheld from the Contractor under this award or any other Federal award with the same Prime Contractor, or any other federally assisted award subject to Davis-Bacon prevailing wage requirements, which is held by the same Prime Contractor, so much of the accrued payments or advances as may be considered necessary to pay laborers and mechanics, including apprentices, trainees, and helpers, employed by the Contractor or any subcontractor the full amount of wages required by the award. In the event of failure to pay any laborer or mechanic, including any apprentice, trainee, or helper, employed or working on the site of the work, all or part of the wages required by the award, the Contracting Officer may, after written notice to the Contractor, take such action as may be necessary to cause the suspension of any further payment, advance, or guarantee of funds until such violations have ceased.

Apprentices and Trainees

(a) Apprentices.

(1) An apprentice will be permitted to work at less than the predetermined rate for the work they performed when they are employed--

(i) Pursuant to and individually registered in a bona fide apprenticeship program registered with

the U.S. Department of Labor, Employment and Training Administration, Office of Apprenticeship and Training, Employer, and Labor Services (OATELS) or with a State Apprenticeship Agency recognized by the OATELS; or

(ii) In the first 90 days of probationary employment as an apprentice in such an apprenticeship program, even though not individually registered in the program, if certified by the OATELS or a State Apprenticeship Agency (where appropriate) to be eligible for probationary employment as an apprentice.

(2) The allowable ratio of apprentices to journeymen on the job site in any craft classification shall not be greater than the ratio permitted to the Contractor as to the entire work force under the registered program.

(3) Any worker listed on a payroll at an apprentice wage rate, who is not registered or otherwise employed as stated in paragraph (a)(1) of this article, shall be paid not less than the applicable wage determination for the classification of work actually performed. In addition, any apprentice performing work on the job site in excess of the ratio permitted under the registered program shall be paid not less than the applicable wage rate on the wage determination for the work actually performed.

(4) Where a contractor is performing construction on a project in a locality other than that in which its program is registered, the ratios and wage rates (expressed in percentages of the journeyman's hourly rate) specified in the Contractor's or subcontractor's registered program shall be observed. Every apprentice must be paid at not less than the rate specified in the registered program for the apprentice's level of progress, expressed as a percentage of the journeyman hourly rate specified in the applicable wage determination.

(5) Apprentices shall be paid fringe benefits in accordance with the provisions of the apprenticeship program. If the apprenticeship program does not specify fringe benefits, apprentices must be paid the full amount of fringe benefits listed on the wage determination for the applicable classification. If the Administrator determines that a different practice prevails for the applicable apprentice classification, fringes shall be paid in accordance with that determination.

(6) In the event OATELS, or a State Apprenticeship Agency recognized by OATELS, withdraws approval of an apprenticeship program, the Contractor will no longer be permitted to utilize apprentices at less than the applicable predetermined rate for the work performed until an acceptable program is approved.

(b) Trainees.

(1) Except as provided in 29 CFR 5.16, trainees will not be permitted to work at less than the predetermined rate for the work performed unless they are employed pursuant to and individually registered in a program which has received prior approval, evidenced by formal certification by the U.S. Department of Labor, Employment and Training Administration, Office of Apprenticeship Training, Employer, and Labor Services (OATELS). The ratio of trainees to journeymen on the job site shall not be greater than permitted under the plan approved by OATELS.

(2) Every trainee must be paid at not less than the rate specified in the approved program for the trainee's level of progress, expressed as a percentage of the journeyman hourly rate specified in

the applicable wage determination. Trainees shall be paid fringe benefits in accordance with the provisions of the trainee program. If the trainee program does not mention fringe benefits, trainees shall be paid the full amount of fringe benefits listed in the wage determination unless the Administrator of the Wage and Hour Division determines that there is an apprenticeship program associated with the corresponding journeyman wage rate in the wage determination which provides for less than full fringe benefits for apprentices. Any employee listed on the payroll at a trainee rate who is not registered and participating in a training plan approved by the OATELS shall be paid not less than the applicable wage rate in the wage determination for the classification of work actually performed. In addition, any trainee performing work on the job site in excess of the ratio permitted under the registered program shall be paid not less than the applicable wage rate in the wage determination for the work actually performed.

(3) In the event OATELS withdraws approval of a training program, the Contractor will no longer be permitted to utilize trainees at less than the applicable predetermined rate for the work performed until an acceptable program is approved.

(c) Equal employment opportunity. The utilization of apprentices, trainees, and journeymen under this article shall be in conformity with the equal employment opportunity requirements of Executive Order 11246, as amended, and 29 CFR Part 30.

Compliance with Copeland Act Requirements

The Contractor shall comply with the requirements of 29 CFR Part 3, which are hereby incorporated by reference in this award.

Subcontracts (Labor Standards)

(a) Definition. "Construction, alteration or repair," as used in this article means all types of work done by laborers and mechanics employed by the construction Contractor or construction subcontractor on a particular building or work at the site thereof, including without limitation--

(1) Altering, remodeling, installation (if appropriate) on the site of the work of items fabricated off-site;

(2) Painting and decorating;

(3) Manufacturing or furnishing of materials, articles, supplies, or equipment on the site of the building or work;

(4) Transportation of materials and supplies between the site of the work within the meaning of paragraphs (a)(1)(i) and (ii) of the "site of the work" as defined in the article entitled Davis Bacon Act of this award, and a facility which is dedicated to the construction of the building or work and is deemed part of the site of the work within the meaning of paragraph (2) of the "site of work" definition; and

(5) Transportation of portions of the building or work between a secondary site where a significant portion of the building or work is constructed, which is part of the "site of the work" definition in paragraph (a)(1)(ii) of the Davis-Bacon Act article, and the physical place or places where the building or work will remain (paragraph (a)(1)(i) of the Davis Bacon Act article, in the "site of the work" definition).

(b) The Contractor or subcontractor shall insert in any subcontracts for construction, alterations

and repairs within the United States the articles entitled--

- (1) Davis-Bacon Act;
- (2) Contract Work Hours and Safety Standards Act -- Overtime Compensation (if the article is included in this award);
- (3) Apprentices and Trainees;
- (4) Payrolls and Basic Records;
- (5) Compliance with Copeland Act Requirements;
- (6) Withholding of Funds;
- (7) Subcontracts (Labor Standards);
- (8) Contract Termination -- Debarment;
- (9) Disputes Concerning Labor Standards;
- (10) Compliance with Davis-Bacon and Related Act Regulations; and
- (11) Certification of Eligibility.

(c) The Prime Contractor shall be responsible for compliance by any subcontractor or lower tier subcontractor performing construction within the United States with all the award articles cited in paragraph (b).

(d)(1) Within 14 days after issuance of the award, the Contractor shall deliver to the Contracting Officer a completed Standard Form (SF) 1413, Statement and Acknowledgment, for each subcontract for construction within the United States, including the subcontractor's signed and dated acknowledgment that the articles set forth in paragraph (b) of this article have been included in the subcontract.

(2) Within 14 days after the award of any subsequently awarded subcontract the Contractor shall deliver to the Contracting Officer an updated completed SF 1413 for such additional subcontract.

(e) The Contractor shall insert the substance of this article, including this paragraph (e) in all subcontracts for construction within the United States.

Contract Termination -- Debarment

A breach of the award articles entitled Davis-Bacon Act, Contract Work Hours and Safety Standards Act -- Overtime Compensation, Apprentices and Trainees, Payrolls and Basic Records, Compliance with Copeland Act Requirements, Subcontracts (Labor Standards), Compliance with Davis-Bacon and Related Act Regulations, or Certification of Eligibility may be grounds for termination of the whole award or in part for the Recovery Act covered work only, and for debarment as a Contractor and subcontractor as provided in 29 CFR 5.12.

Compliance with Davis-Bacon and Related Act Regulations

All rulings and interpretations of the Davis-Bacon and Related Acts contained in 29 CFR Parts 1, 3, and 5 are hereby incorporated by reference in this award.

Disputes Concerning Labor Standards

The United States Department of Labor has set forth in 29 CFR Parts 5, 6, and 7 procedures for resolving disputes concerning labor standards requirements. Such disputes shall be resolved in accordance with those procedures and not the Disputes and Appeals as defined in 10 CFR 600.22. Disputes within the meaning of this article include disputes between the Contractor (or any of its subcontractors) and the contracting agency, the U.S. Department of Labor, or the employees or their representatives.

Certification of Eligibility

(a) By entering into this award, the Contractor certifies that neither it (nor he or she) nor any person or firm who has an interest in the Contractor's firm is a person or firm ineligible to be awarded Government awards by virtue of section 3(a) of the Davis-Bacon Act or 29 CFR 5.12(a)(1).

(b) No part of this award shall be subcontracted to any person or firm ineligible for award of a Government award by virtue of section 3(a) of the Davis-Bacon Act or 29 CFR 5.12(a)(1).

(c) The penalty for making false statements is prescribed in the U.S. Criminal Code, 18 U.S.C. 1001.

Approval of Wage Rates

All straight time wage rates, and overtime rates based thereon, for laborers and mechanics engaged in work under this award must be submitted for approval in writing by the head of the contracting activity or a representative expressly designated for this purpose, if the straight time wages exceed the rates for corresponding classifications contained in the applicable Davis-Bacon Act minimum wage determination included in the award. Any amount paid by the Contractor to any laborer or mechanic in excess of the agency approved wage rate shall be at the expense of the Contractor and shall not be reimbursed by the Government. If the Government refuses to authorize the use of the overtime, the Contractor is not released from the obligation to pay employees at the required overtime rates for any overtime actually worked.

Section X - APPENDICES/REFERENCE MATERIAL

APPENDIX 1: END OF PROJECT TARGETS TEMPLATE

Primary technology target specifications for compact cooling systems, which are not based on refrigerants that have GHG potential.

Global warming potential
COP
Technology demonstration
Air entering the cold side
Air leaving the cold side
Hot side air temperature

Secondary technology target specifications for compact cooling systems, which are not based on refrigerants that have GHG potential.

Size
Life time
Retail cost

Technology target specification for efficient control of temperature and humidity in warm and humid climate

COP based on primary energy source =
cooling load/primary thermal energy use
Technology demonstration
Air entering the evaporator
Air leaving the evaporator
Condenser side air temperature
Use of evaporative cooling
Life time
Retail cost

Technology target specification for increasing the cooling efficiency of recirculation air in hot environment

$COP_{\text{vapor-compression}}$
Technology demonstration
Air entering the evaporator
Air leaving the evaporator
Condenser side air temperature
Use of evaporative cooling
Life time
Retail cost

APPENDIX 2: FULL APPLICATION DATA

The following information will be required by the ARPA-E eXCHANGE (for details please see Section IV.A) for each Full Application submitted.

- Project Title
- Abstract
- Lead Organization
- Organization Type
- Team Members
- Total Cost to ARPA-E
- Proposed Cost Share
- Period of Performance
- Technical Point of Contact
- Administrative Point of Contact
- Technology Readiness Level (Current)
- Technology Readiness Level (Project End)
- Full Application consisting of:
 1. Technical Volume
 2. SF-424
 3. SF-424A
 4. SF-424A Budget Justification
 5. NEPA Compliance Form
 6. Public Summary
 7. Summary Slide

APPENDIX 3: TECHNOLOGY READINESS LEVEL

TRL-1. Basic principles observed and reported

This is lowest level of technology readiness. Scientific research begins with a systematic study directed toward greater knowledge or understanding of the fundamental aspects of phenomena and of observable facts without specific applications or products in mind. The knowledge or understanding will later be translated into applied research and development. Example might include studies of a technology's basic properties.

TRL-2. Technology concept and/or application formulated

Invention begins. Once basic principles are observed, practical applications can be invented. Applications are speculative and there may be no proof or detailed analysis to support the assumptions.

TRL-3. Analytical and experimental critical function and/or characteristic proof of concept

Active research and development is initiated. This includes analytical studies and laboratory studies to physically validate analytical predictions of separate elements of the technology. Examples include components that are not yet integrated or representative.

TRL-4. Component and/or breadboard validation in laboratory environment

Basic technological components are integrated to establish that they will work together. This is relatively "low fidelity" compared to the eventual system. Examples include integration of "ad hoc" hardware in the laboratory.

TRL-5. Component and/or breadboard validation in relevant environment

Fidelity of breadboard technology increases significantly. The basic technological components are integrated with reasonably realistic supporting elements so it can be tested in a simulated environment. Examples include "high fidelity" laboratory integration of components.

TRL-6. System/subsystem model or prototype demonstration in a relevant environment

Representative model or prototype system, which is well beyond that of RL-5, is tested in a relevant environment. This represents a major step up in a technology's demonstrated readiness. Examples include testing a prototype in a high-fidelity laboratory environment or in simulated operational environment.

TRL-7. System prototype demonstration in a operational environment

This represents a major step up from RL-6. It requires the demonstration of an actual system prototype in an operational environment, such as in a light duty vehicle on the road. Examples include testing a prototype battery in an operational hybrid gas-electric vehicle.

TRL-8. Actual system completed and qualified through test and demonstration

Technology has been proven to work in its final form and under expected conditions. In almost all cases, this RL-8 represents the end of true system development. Examples include developmental test and evaluation of the system in its intended parent system to determine if it meets design specifications.

TRL-9. Actual system proven through successful mission operations

The technology is applied and operated in its final form and under real life conditions, such as those encountered in operational test and evaluation. In almost all cases, this is the end of the last "bug fixing" aspects of true system development. Examples include using the system under various real life conditions.

DEFINITIONS (for Appendix 3)

“BREADBOARD”: Integrated components that provide a representation of a system/subsystem and that can be used to determine concept feasibility and to develop technical data. These tools are typically configured for laboratory use to demonstrate technical principles of immediate interest. These may resemble final system/subsystem in function only.

“HIGH FIDELITY”: Addresses form, fit and function. High-fidelity laboratory environment would involve testing with equipment that can simulate and validate all system specifications within a laboratory setting.

“LOW FIDELITY”: A representative of the component or system that has limited ability to provide anything but first order information about the end product. Low-fidelity assessments are used to provide trend analysis.

“MODEL”: A functional form of a system generally reduced in scale, near or at operational specification. Models will be sufficiently developed to allow demonstration of the technical and operational capabilities required of the final system.

“OPERATIONAL ENVIRONMENT”: Environment that addresses all of the operational requirements and specifications required of the final system to include platform/packaging.

“PROTOTYPE”: The first early representation of the system that offers the expected functionality and performance expected of the final implementation. Prototypes will be sufficiently developed to allow demonstration of the technical and operational capabilities required of the final system.

“RELEVANT ENVIRONMENT”: Testing environment that simulates the key aspects of the operational environment.

“SIMULATED OPERATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL”: Either 1) a real environment that can simulate all of the operational requirements and specifications required of the final system, or 2) a simulated environment that allows for testing of a virtual prototype; used in either case to determine whether a developmental system meets the operational requirements and specifications of the final system.

APPENDIX 4: COST SHARE INFORMATION

The regulations that govern Federal Financial Assistance for DOE are found at 10 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 600 and 603. Specifically, Sections 600.123, 600.224, 600.313, “Cost sharing and matching”, and 603.525 through 603.555, “Cost Sharing” provide guidance on acceptable contributions toward cost share requirements, as well as guidance on the valuation and documentation of contributions, for “for profit” organizations. These requirements, as contained in the regulations, are summarized below.

Acceptable contributions, including cash contributions and third party contributions, must be accepted as part of the recipient's cost sharing or matching if such contributions meet all of the following criteria:

- They are verifiable from the recipient's records.
- They are not included as contributions for any other federally-assisted project or program.
- They are necessary and reasonable for proper and efficient accomplishment of the project.
- They are allowable under the applicable cost principles for the applicant.
- They are not paid by the Federal Government under another award unless authorized by Federal statute to be used for cost sharing or matching.
- They are provided for in the approved budget.
- They conform to other provisions of this part, as applicable.

Cost sharing may include in-kind contributions. General examples of potentially allowable cost share are shown below. However, applicants must confirm with DOE upon selection that the cost share proposed in response to this FOA is allowable.

- Cash provided directly by the recipient, or a sub-recipient;
- State or local government funds provided to support the proposed project, which were not provided to the State by the federal Government;
- Employees’ salaries included in the budget, if paid by the employer (recipient or sub-recipient), and not reimbursed by the federal funding of the project;
- Rental value of buildings or equipment necessary to the success of the proposed project and the value of which is included in the budget for the project;
- Monetary value of SOPO activities to be performed by a third party which are included in the project budget and will not be reimbursed by federal funds.