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  o Concept Summary  
  o Innovation and Impact  
  o Proposed Work  
  o Team Organization and Capabilities  
  • The Concept Paper must be accompanied by:  
    o Summary Slide (1 page limit, Microsoft PowerPoint format) – A Summary Slide template is available on ARPA-E eXCHANGE ([https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov](https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov)). | Mandatory          | IV.C        | 5 PM ET, October 14, 2014 |
| Full Application| • Each Applicant must submit a Technical Volume in Adobe PDF format by the stated deadline. Applicants may use the Technical Volume template available on ARPA-E eXCHANGE ([https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov](https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov)). The Technical Volume must include the following:  
  o Executive Summary (1 page max.)  
  o Sections 1-5 (30 pages max.)  
    • 1. Innovation and Impact  
    • 2. Proposed Work  
    • 3. Team Organization and Capabilities  
    • 4. Technology to Market  
    • 5. Budget  
  o Bibliographic References (no page limit)  
  o Personal Qualification Summaries (each PQS limited to 3 pages in length, no cumulative page limit)  
  • The Technical Volume must be accompanied by:  
    o SF-424 (no page limit, Adobe PDF format);  
    o Budget Justification Workbook/SF424A (no page limit, Microsoft Excel format)  
    o Summary for Public Release (250 words max., Adobe PDF format);  
    o Summary Slide (1 page limit, Microsoft PowerPoint format) – Applicants may use the Summary Slide template available on ARPA-E eXCHANGE ([https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov](https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov)); and | Mandatory          | IV.D        | 5 PM ET, January 26, 2015 (February 2, 2015) |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reply to Reviewer Comments</th>
<th>Completed and signed Business Assurances &amp; Disclosures Form (no page limit, Adobe PDF format).</th>
<th>Optional</th>
<th>IV.E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Each Applicant may submit a Reply to Reviewer Comments in Adobe PDF format. This submission is optional. Applicants may use the Reply to Reviewer Comments template available on ARPA-E eXCHANGE (<a href="https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov">https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov</a>). The Reply may include:</td>
<td>Up to 2 pages of text; and up to 1 page of images.</td>
<td>Optional</td>
<td>5 PM ET, March 27, 2015 March 31, 2015</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Questions about this FOA? Email ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov (with FOA name and number in subject line); see FOA Sec. VII.A. Problems with ARPA-E eXCHANGE? Email ExchangeHelp@hq.doe.gov (with FOA name and number in subject line).
I. **FUNDING OPPORTUNITY DESCRIPTION**

A. **AGENCY OVERVIEW**

The Advanced Research Projects Agency – Energy (ARPA-E), an organization within the Department of Energy, is chartered by Congress in the America COMPETES Act of 2007 (P.L. 110-69), as amended by the America COMPETES Reauthorization Act of 2010 (P.L. 111-358), to support the creation of transformational energy technologies and systems through funding and managing Research and Development (R&D) efforts. Originally chartered in 2007, the Agency was first funded through the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009.

The mission of ARPA-E is to identify and fund research to translate science into breakthrough energy technologies that are too risky for the private sector and that, if successfully developed, will create the foundation for entirely new industries.

Successful projects will address at least one of ARPA-E’s two Mission Areas:

1. Enhance the economic and energy security of the United States through the development of energy technologies that result in:
   a. reductions of imports of energy from foreign sources;
   b. reductions of energy-related emissions, including greenhouse gases; and
   c. improvement in the energy efficiency of all economic sectors.
2. Ensure that the United States maintains a technological lead in developing and deploying advanced energy technologies.

**ARPA-E funds applied research and development.** ARPA-E exists to fund applied research and development, defined by the Office of Management and Budget as a “study (designed) to gain knowledge or understanding necessary to determine the means by which a recognized and specific need may be met” and as the “systematic application of knowledge or understanding, directed toward the production of useful materials, devices, and systems or methods, including design, development, and improvement of prototypes and new processes to meet specific requirements.” ARPA-E funds technology-focused applied research to create real-world solutions to important problems in energy creation, distribution and use and, as such, will not support basic research, defined as a “systematic study directed toward fuller knowledge or understanding of the fundamental aspects of phenomena and of observable facts without specific applications towards processes or products in mind.” While it is anticipated that in some instances some minor aspects of fundamental science will be clarified or uncovered during the conduct of the supported applied research, the major portion of activities supported by ARPA-E are directed towards applied research and development of new technologies.

While all technology-focused applied research will be considered, two instances are especially fruitful for the creation of transformational technologies:
- the first establishment of a technology based upon recently elucidated scientific principles; and
- the synthesis of scientific principles drawn from disparate fields that do not typically intersect.

Figure 1: Description of transformational and disruptive technologies in terms of cost per unit performance versus time or scale. ARPA-E seeks to support research that establishes new learning curves that lead to disruptive technologies.

**ARPA-E exists to support transformational, rather than incremental research.** Technologies exist on learning curves (Figure 1). Following the creation of a technology, refinements to that technology and the economies of scale that accrue as manufacturing and widespread distribution develop drive technology down that learning curve until an equilibrium cost/performance is reached. While this incremental improvement of technology is important to the ultimate success of a technology in the marketplace, ARPA-E exists to fund transformational research – i.e., research that creates fundamentally new learning curves rather than moving existing technologies down their learning curves.

**ARPA-E funded technology has the potential to be disruptive in the marketplace.** The mere creation of a new learning curve does not ensure market penetration. Rather, the ultimate value of a technology is determined by the marketplace, and impactful technologies ultimately become disruptive – that is, they are widely adopted and displace existing technologies from the marketplace or create entirely new markets. Energy technologies typically become disruptive at maturity rather than close to inception and the maturation of nascent technologies often require significant incremental development to drives the technology down its natural learning curve to its ultimate equilibrium (see Figure 1 above). Such development
might include modification of the technology itself, the means to produce and distribute that technology, or both. Thus, while early incarnations of the automobile were transformational in the sense that they created a fundamentally new learning curve for transportation, they were not disruptive, because of the unreliability and high cost of early automobiles. Continuous, incremental refinement of the technology ultimately led to the Ford Model T: as the first affordable, reliable, mass-produced vehicle, the Model T had a disruptive effect on the transportation market.

ARPA-E will not support technology development for extended periods of time; rather, ARPA-E supports the initial creation of technology. Following initial testing of the first prototype of a device, a system, or a process, other Federal agencies and the private sector will support the incremental development necessary to bring the technology to market.

While ARPA-E does not require technologies to be disruptive at the conclusion of ARPA-E funding, ARPA-E will not support technologies that cannot be disruptive even if successful. Examples of such technologies are approaches that require elements with insufficient abundances of materials to be deployed at scale, or technologies that could not scale to levels required to be impactful because of, for example, physical limits to productivity.

**ARPA-E will not support basic research aimed at discovery and fundamental knowledge generation, nor will it undertake large-scale demonstration projects of existing technologies.** ARPA-E is not a substitute for existing R&D organizations within the Department of Energy, but rather complements existing organizations by supporting R&D objectives that are transformational and translational. Applicants interested in receiving basic research financial assistance should work with the Department of Energy’s Office of Science (http://science.energy.gov/). Similarly, projects focused on the improvement of existing technology platforms may be appropriate for support by the applied programs -- for example, the Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy (http://www.eere.energy.gov/), the Office of Fossil Energy (http://fossil.energy.gov/), the Office of Nuclear Energy (http://nuclear.energy.gov/), and the Office of Electricity Delivery and Energy Reliability (http://energy.gov/oe/office-electricity-delivery-and-energy-reliability).
B. PROGRAM OVERVIEW

1. INTRODUCTION

This program seeks to develop and demonstrate low-cost tools to aid in the development of fusion power, with a focus on approaches to produce thermonuclear plasmas in the final density range of $10^{18}$-$10^{23}$ ions/cm$^3$. The program goal is to create a toolset that will allow a significant reduction in facilities costs for fusion development and to enable rapid learning through a high shot rate at a low cost-per-shot.

2. BACKGROUND

Fusion has been pursued for decades because it is perhaps the ideal power source, with abundant fuel, effectively zero emissions, manageable waste, and minimal proliferation risk.\(^1\) Significant resources have been devoted to fusion research in the US and internationally. To date, the largest fusion research efforts have focused on magnetic confinement of plasmas at densities of approximately $10^{14}$ ions/cm$^3$ and on inertial confinement of plasmas at densities exceeding $10^{25}$ ions/cm$^3$. Advances in scientific understanding and engineering of high energy density plasmas resulting from these research campaigns have been remarkable, but the ultimate goal of self-sustaining, controlled, thermonuclear fusion remains elusive. This reflects the extraordinary technical challenges of high energy plasma physics, which are compounded by the high cost of fusion research. In this FOA, ARPA-E pursues focused investments to develop tools for fusion approaches in the intermediate density regime, between $10^{18}$-$10^{23}$ ions/cm$^3$. This intermediate density regime has been highlighted in recent analyses as a potential low-cost route to fusion power, and because it sits between the operating densities of pure magnetic confinement and inertial confinement, developments in this regime will complement mainline fusion programs.

3. MOTIVATION

A key motivation for this program is to address some of the practical challenges that have slowed progress in fusion research. In addition to the unique scientific challenges of producing a thermonuclear plasma, there are two major, interrelated, practical challenges that make progress in fusion research especially difficult: (1) fusion research facilities have significant capital costs and (2) the shot rate, or number of experiments per day, at existing fusion research facilities is limited by high operating costs and low equipment repetition rate. As a result, there is a transformational opportunity for low cost development and rapid learning.

---


Questions about this FOA? Email ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov (with FOA name and number in subject line); see FOA Sec. VII.A. Problems with ARPA-E eXCHANGE? Email ExchangeHelp@hq.doe.gov (with FOA name and number in subject line).
enabled by investment in new tools for fusion research. First, recent analyses suggest low-cost pathways to fusion in the intermediate density regime\(^2\) and recent experimental work, though preliminary, lends support to this analysis.\(^4\) Second, improving the shot rate and reducing the cost of shots should directly improve the learning rate and speed progress along new fusion learning curves. To create low-cost tools with improved shot rate, ARPA-E seeks to leverage recent innovations in several areas: pulsed power;\(^5\) MEMS particle acceleration;\(^6\) plasma formation, plasma acceleration, and liner technologies;\(^7\) and other areas. By funding the development of low-cost tools capable of high shot rates, ARPA-E seeks to open the field of fusion research to a broader range of approaches by a variety of institutions, both public and private, thereby facilitating more rapid progress along new learning curves towards economical fusion power.

The Department of Energy and others have made previous investments into intermediate density fusion experiments, including work over the past decade on magneto-inertial fusion (MIF, or alternatively magnetized target fusion, MTF). While many MIF/MTF approaches fall in the density range of interest for this program, this program’s technical goals and anticipated research tool development differ from previous efforts. Principally, this program focuses on technologies that can achieve a high shot rate at low cost, with the goal of enabling rapid experimentation and learning in intermediate density regimes both within the program and in future research. This focus distinguishes the current program from previous work exploring intermediate density plasmas, much of which has focused on solid liner implosions. The use of high energy pulsed power or chemical explosives to drive implosions of solid liners has yielded


valuable insights and identified significant technical challenges.\(^8\) While such systems are perhaps the only currently available tools that can provide the performance required in target-implosion approaches in these regimes, the destructive nature of these drivers makes it difficult to conduct a large number of experiments in a short amount of time. Plasma liner approaches have been explored as a possible solution, but plasma liner compression has not yet been demonstrated and significant technical challenges remain.\(^9\) The ALPHA program posits that a large number of experiments can greatly accelerate learning in the intermediate density regime, and the focus on low-cost, high shot rate technologies is intended to lay the groundwork for that rapid experimentation and development. The goal of this program is to address the significant technical challenges in developing low-cost, high shot rate tools capable of accessing the intermediate density regime and demonstrating that these tools provide a path to Lawson conditions and beyond after the program.

ARPA-E acknowledges that the path to commercial fusion power will be exceptionally difficult, and that the risk of failure is substantial. However, the potential for reliable, low-cost power generation with abundant fuel resources and zero emissions is unparalleled. The ARPA-E mission is to seek opportunities for transformational energy technologies, and developing tools for fusion power is an appropriate, if high risk, piece of the agency’s portfolio. It is also important to note that the technologies pursued in this program will draw on expertise from a broad range of communities, and the tools developed will likely find a number of high value applications outside of fusion, e.g. medical treatment, materials processing and characterization, and space propulsion. While these spinoff technologies are not the main motivation for the program, the potential value to areas outside of fusion energy research will be helpful in finding first markets, reducing costs, and ultimately pushing the newly developed tools towards economical fusion power.


C. **PROGRAM OBJECTIVES**

The purpose of this funding opportunity is to create new tools for the low-cost development of fusion energy. By program completion, performers will be expected to demonstrate prototype tools that help enable a path to economical fusion power through low-cost, high shot rate development. This program focuses on the intermediate ion density regime (10^{18}-10^{23} ions/cm^3), which may open up new pathways to economical fusion power. Working in the intermediate ion density regime also avoids duplication of effort with mainline fusion research programs. Participants will not be expected to build a complete fusion reactor. Rather, performers are expected to demonstrate prototype tools to form, heat, and/or confine plasmas at performance levels that establish the viability for low-cost fusion approaches in the intermediate density regime. These tools will also achieve the high shot rates required to enable continued rapid development towards economical fusion power. Tools that can leverage existing equipment to enhance technological progress within the project timeframe are of interest.

D. **TECHNICAL CATEGORIES OF INTEREST**

This program will develop tools in two broadly defined categories, “targets” and “drivers,” that may in certain cases overlap. While these terms are commonly used in the context of inertial confinement fusion, their use in this FOA is not intended to limit applications to target-implosion approaches. Instead, the two categories are intended to ground discussion to a common set of challenges across diverse fusion approaches.

Within the context of this FOA, “target” is defined as the plasma that is heated to reach Lawson conditions, and “driver” is defined as the device that provides the necessary energy to the target to achieve Lawson conditions. Applicants may submit ideas that address one or both Categories:

**Category 1: Drivers**

Systems to deliver energy to plasma targets with sufficient power density, symmetry, and mitigation of instabilities to achieve Lawson conditions at a final density of 10^{18}-10^{23} ions/cm^3.

**Category 2: Targets**

Plasma formation technologies to produce plasmas with sufficient lifetime, transport properties, and geometry to pair with a driver and achieve Lawson conditions at a final density of 10^{18}-10^{23} ions/cm^3.

As noted above, there may be areas of overlap where a single system can both form a plasma target and drive it to fusion conditions. These systems are within the scope of this FOA. Applicants submitting “combined” approaches where target formation and driver technologies overlap are required to clearly define their system requirements according to the terms outlined in Section E.
Applicants for a single Category must state assumptions on performance levels and constraints on the rest of the system. That is, a Category 1 (Drivers) application must define the parameters for the plasma target (at a minimum: density, temperature, lifetime, size, magnetic field, and transport properties) for which the driver will be designed. A Category 2 (Targets) application must define the performance of the driver (at a minimum: the power, intensity, precision, timing jitter, and symmetry) that will take the proposed plasma target to Lawson conditions. All applications (i.e. for Category 1, Category 2, or both) must describe conceptually how the intended target and driver technologies will work together in future development. Applicants are strongly encouraged to support the performance estimates for complementary drivers or targets with references or preliminary modeling.

ARPA-E will consider innovative partial solutions/proof of concept for plasma formation technologies or low-cost drivers that are not yet fully integrated into a conceptual fusion approach. For partial solutions, Applicants will not be required to fully quantify the performance levels and constraints on all components of the conceptual fusion system. However, applications for partial solutions will be limited to proof of concept funding.

**E. TECHNICAL PERFORMANCE TARGETS**

This section describes goals, metrics and performance requirements for the ALPHA program. Applicants will be required to address these items in detail in the full application, and the information is provided here for Applicants’ consideration as they prepare Concept Papers. However, space is limited for Concept Papers and Applicants, therefore, should prioritize the content requirements outlined in Section IV.C.

This program will develop tools to enable low-cost development and rapid learning toward fusion reactors with final densities between \(10^{18}-10^{23}\) ions/cm\(^3\). It is beyond the scope of this program to build a complete fusion reactor, but Applicants should include a conceptual plan of the envisioned fusion reactor that their tool(s) will enable.

Partial solution/proof-of-concept applications are required only to provide quantitative analysis on the specific Category (Targets or Drivers) for which they are applying. However, partial solution/proof-of-concept Applicants are strongly encouraged to provide complete system analysis as outlined below in order to provide a more complete accounting of the impact for their component technology.

**Overall Goals:**

Each Applicant must present a final set of metrics for drivers and/or targets that can meet the set of parameters described below for a fusion reactor. Please note that these metrics do not need to be met within the Period of Performance of the ARPA-E award, but Applicants should present an aggressive and logical development path to achieve these performance goals in a fusion reactor based on the team’s technology.
Table 1 outlines the long-term objectives for the envisioned fusion reactors. Each proposal must include quantitative analysis, with supporting calculations and references, to demonstrate that the envisioned reactor with the proposed new tool(s) developed in this program will meet the metrics described below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Requirement</th>
<th>Motivation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ion density at Lawson conditions (ions/cm(^3))</td>
<td>(10^{18}-10^{23})</td>
<td>ARPA-E seeks to catalyze research in the intermediate density regime to open up a new potential pathway for economical fusion power.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\eta_d G_d) (product of driver efficiency and gain)</td>
<td>(&gt; 5)</td>
<td>Modest recirculating power is needed for a practical power plant. The product (\eta_d G_d) represents the ratio of fusion energy out to wall-plug electricity input to the drivers.*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driver cost (amortized over full lifetime of driver)</td>
<td>(&lt; $0.05/MJ)</td>
<td>A low-cost driver is required for economical fusion power. Cost per MJ delivered by the driver must include capital expenses (amortized over full lifetime of driver) and operating expenses (cost of electricity and driver maintenance).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target cost</td>
<td>(&lt; 0.05 \text{ ¢/MJ})</td>
<td>Low cost targets are required for economical fusion power. Target cost must include capital expenses for hardware (amortized over its full life) and operating expenses.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repetition rate</td>
<td>(\geq 1) Hz</td>
<td>Moderate to high rep rate allows lower energy per pulse and enables more compact power reactors.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The \(\eta_G\) requirement is intended to enable a practical recirculating electrical power ratio after conversion of thermal energy to electricity. If a proposed concept can achieve higher efficiency conversion (for example, through direct conversion of charged products), or if energy recovery can reduce the required recirculating power, lower \(\eta_G\) systems may still be considered provided that no more than half of the generated electricity from a reactor must be recirculated. Teams proposing a relaxed \(\eta_G\) requirement must demonstrate quantitatively, with references where appropriate, that the proposed energy conversion or recovery systems are based on proven technologies.
All applications, except those for partial solution/proof of concept efforts, should present a conceptual development plan to move from the prototype tools in the ARPA-E program to demonstration of a power reactor (beyond the ARPA-E program). As outlined in Section IV.D.1 of the FOA, the information that applicants should provide in Section 4.1 (Technology to Market) of the Technical Volume of the Full Application should include a brief discussion of the costs, timeframe, and approximate number of shots required for each of the following steps:

- Scale-up from prototype tools developed under the ARPA-E award to reactor-scale components;
- Integrated experimental reactor with plasma formation, driver, and confinement vessel;
- Demonstration of scientific breakeven;
- Demonstration of engineering gain required for competitive power reactor; and
- Prototype power reactor.

Maintenance and materials compatibility issues (e.g., first wall materials, replacement schedule for other components exposed to neutron flux, etc.) should also be addressed in this discussion. All assumptions about the required components must be supported with references, including tools/components that will be developed under the ALPHA program and those that are expected to be available to integrate with the new tools. Applications should quantitatively describe low-cost, fast development pathways to breakeven and beyond. Please note that this program is not intended to fund a power reactor or to address maintenance and materials issues, but Applicants are expected to give thoughtful consideration to these issues at the time of their application.

A successful project under the ALPHA program will establish the viability of the proposed approach to meet the long-term goals in Table 1, and provide a quantitative basis for scalability for the development path towards fusion power beyond the ALPHA program, as discussed above. Applicants should include detailed justifications for how each task in the proposed ALPHA effort is critical to establishing the viability of the concept, including a breakdown of the schedule and budget on a by-task and by-institution basis. Tasks that are not central to proving the physics of the proposed concept (such as scale-up of an existing machine design beyond what is needed to validate scaling laws and benchmark simulations; design of new diagnostics not required to meet the measurement objectives in Table 3; or engineering of rep-ratable components) should not be included in the proposed tasks.
Category 1: Drivers

Table 2 outlines the minimum performance requirements for driver technologies in this program:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Requirement</th>
<th>Motivation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\eta_d$ (efficiency from wall-plug to useful energy delivered)</td>
<td>$&gt;20%$</td>
<td>High efficiency drivers allow greater flexibility in fusion gain and an easier path to economical power.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of successful* shots in program</td>
<td>$&gt;100$ shots</td>
<td>Practical shot rate and low cost per shot are required for rapid learning and development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of shots in program (including development, testing, and demonstration)</td>
<td>$&gt;500$ shots</td>
<td>Practical shot rate and low cost per shot are required for rapid learning and development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power or intensity</td>
<td>Defined by Applicant</td>
<td>See discussion below</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precision, timing jitter, and symmetry</td>
<td>Defined by Applicant</td>
<td>See discussion below</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*A ‘successful’ shot is one that meets or exceeds the performance requirements to demonstrate and validate physics of tools for scale up and integration beyond the ARPA-E program. See Section I.G for further details on driver performance requirements.

Driver requirements in power, intensity, precision, timing jitter, symmetry, and other relevant parameters will be dictated by the conceptual design of the reactor, and Applicants must define the performance requirements of the driver to achieve fusion conditions in the envisioned reactor. Proposals must address:

1. State-of-the-art performance for the proposed driver technology.
2. Performance level required to achieve Lawson conditions.
3. Performance level that will be demonstrated in prototype tool (i.e. a hardware demonstration, not just a simulation) under the ARPA-E program, and a quantitative discussion of how this performance level is sufficient to establish the pathway to (2) upon scale-up.
4. Envisioned plasma target for proposed driver technology, including quantification of the size, density, magnetic field, temperature, and lifetime of the target plasma, and note whether the stated properties of the target are measured, modeled, or calculated (e.g., assuming Bohm transport), using references where possible.
5. What other components (separate from the proposed ARPA-E driver development) are required for an integrated reactor and continued development towards a power reactor. Specifically:
   a. Identify other components that are known, demonstrated technologies.
   b. Identify other components that are likely to require significant development.
**Category 2: Targets**

Table 3 outlines the minimum performance requirements for plasma target formation technologies developed in this program:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Requirement</th>
<th>Motivation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of successful* target preparation shots in program</td>
<td>&gt;50 shots</td>
<td>Practical shot rate and low cost per shot are required for rapid learning and development. Note: ARPA-E will consider lower shot numbers for approaches requiring access to external or user facilities, provided the proposed effort provides exceptionally high impact in validating the physics and defining the path forward for a low-cost pathway approach.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of shots (including development, testing, and demonstration)</td>
<td>&gt;500 shots</td>
<td>Practical shot rate and low cost per shot required for rapid learning and development Note: ARPA-E will consider lower shot numbers for approaches requiring access to external or user facilities, provided the proposed effort provides exceptionally high impact in validating the physics and defining the path forward for a low-cost pathway approach.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma lifetime</td>
<td>Max((\tau_{\text{Lawson}}), (\tau_{\text{driver}})) (\text{&lt; Min(}\tau_{\text{thermal losses, lifetime}}))</td>
<td>All loss mechanisms must be considered and quantified to establish a viable path to Lawson conditions within the timescale of the driver action.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma parameters</td>
<td>(n, T, \tau, r, B) defined by Applicant and measured within ±20% for each shot</td>
<td>A diagnostic suite capable of greater precision is preferred where existing diagnostics can provide better measurements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modeling</td>
<td>Plasma codes defined by Applicant</td>
<td>See discussion below</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*A successful shot is one that meets all the required plasma parameters (within diagnostic error) to demonstrate and validate physics of tools for scale up and integration beyond the ARPA-E program.*
Required plasma parameters will be dictated by the conceptual design of the reactor, and Applicants seeking funding for development of target formation technologies must define the required plasma attributes to achieve fusion conditions in the envisioned reactor. Proposals must address:

1. State of the art performance for the proposed target formation technology, including a discussion of the modeling approach to guide and validate experimental measurements of the target plasma.
2. Performance level required to achieve Lawson conditions.
3. Performance level that will be demonstrated in prototype tool under this program and a quantitative discussion of how this performance level is sufficient to establish the pathway to (2) upon scale-up.
4. Envisioned driver for proposed plasma target technology, including quantification of the power, intensity, precision, timing jitter, and symmetry of the driver (using references where possible)
5. What other components (separate from the proposed target formation technology development) are required for an integrated reactor and continued development towards a power reactor. Specifically:
   a. Identify other components that are known, demonstrated technologies.
   b. Identify other components that are likely to require significant development.

Applicants are strongly encouraged to include preliminary plasma simulations to demonstrate the viability of the proposed approach in their proposals. Consideration will be given to the impact of the proposed research to validate the theoretical and computational models to guide future development, including scaling laws for scale-up and integrated demonstration beyond the ARPA-E program. In the course of this program, teams will be required to verify their simulation results. Any limitations of code should be clearly noted in the proposal, and an experimental or modeling strategy to overcome these challenges, perhaps through collaboration, should be presented.
F. APPLICATIONS SPECIFICALLY NOT OF INTEREST

The following types of applications will be deemed nonresponsive and will not be reviewed or considered (see Section III.C.2 of the FOA):

- Applications that fall outside the technical parameters specified in Section I.E of the FOA
- Applications that were already submitted to pending ARPA-E FOAs.
- Applications that are not scientifically distinct from applications submitted to pending ARPA-E FOAs.
- Applications for basic research aimed at discovery and fundamental knowledge generation.
- Applications for large-scale demonstration projects of existing technologies.
- Applications for proposed technologies that represent incremental improvements to existing technologies.
- Applications for proposed technologies that are not based on sound scientific principles (e.g., violates a law of thermodynamics).
- Applications that do not address at least one of ARPA-E’s Mission Areas (see Section I.A of the FOA).
- Applications for proposed technologies that are not transformational, as described in Section I.A of the FOA and as illustrated in Figure 1 in Section I.A of the FOA.
- Applications for proposed technologies that do not have the potential to become disruptive in nature, as described in Section I.A of the FOA. Technologies must be scalable such that they could be disruptive with sufficient technical progress (see Figure 1 in Section I.A of the FOA).
- Applications that are not scientifically distinct from existing funded activities supported elsewhere, including within the Department of Energy.
- Applications that propose the following:
  - Approaches based on low-energy nuclear reactions (e.g., cold fusion)
  - Technologies that cannot be used or scaled to access the intermediate density regime of $10^{18}$-$10^{23}$ ions/cm$^3$ at Lawson conditions.
  - Incremental improvements to existing fusion devices.
  - Fusion-fission hybrids.
  - Devices without a plausible pathway to electricity production.
  - Approaches requiring chemical explosives for drivers.
  - Efforts that do not include target and/or driver development and a hardware demonstration, such as: purely conceptual power-plant designs; efforts devoted to materials solutions or balance of plant issues for an existing reactor design; or purely theoretical work.
G. **Technical Symbols and Glossary**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>Density, principally ion density $n_i$ [#/cm$^3$]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>Temperature, principally ion temperature $T_i$ [K]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tau_{\text{Lawson}}$</td>
<td>Lawson time [s]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tau_{\text{lifetime}}$</td>
<td>Lifetime of plasma target to maintain its structure [s]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tau_{\text{thermal losses}}$</td>
<td>Timescale for thermal (or particle) losses in plasma [s]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tau_{\text{driver}}$</td>
<td>Timescale for driver to input energy into target to achieve Lawson conditions [s]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\chi$</td>
<td>Thermal diffusivity of plasma target [m$^2$/s]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r</td>
<td>Radius of plasma target [m]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Magnetic field [Tesla]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta$</td>
<td>Ratio of plasma pressure to magnetic pressure [unitless]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\eta_d$</td>
<td>Driver efficiency (wall-plug to useful energy delivered by driver) [unitless]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>Fusion gain, typically driver gain $G_d$ (ratio of fusion energy to energy from driver) [unitless]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Driver:** The device that delivers energy to the target in order to achieve fusion conditions. The power and intensity provided by a driver must be sufficiently high to overcome thermal losses in the plasma target. Fig. 2.a and 2.b are derived from data presented at the ARPA-E workshop and Lindemuth and Siemon$^2,10$ to show minimum driver intensity to reach Lawson conditions (at 10 keV) for plasma targets with 20% thermal losses.
Driver efficiency ($\eta_d$): The efficiency of the driver should be measured as the ratio of the driver’s useful energy output (e.g. kinetic energy of liner used to compress plasma) to the initial wall-plug electricity input.

Driver gain ($G_d$): The gain should be measured as the ratio of fusion power output to the initial energy supplied by the driver. Driver gain therefore must account for losses in coupling energy from the driver into the plasma. The product of driver efficiency and driver gain therefore represents the ratio of fusion power output to the initial wall-plug electricity input.

Liner: An imploding solid, liquid, or plasma shell used to compress and heat a plasma target.

Target: The fuel to be heated to fusion conditions. Plasma targets must maintain stability with sufficient lifetime to meet the Lawson condition for a given ion density and temperature. The target must also maintain sufficiently low thermal losses to reach fusion temperatures in the $\tau_{\text{driver}}$ timeframe.

II. AWARD INFORMATION

A. AWARD OVERVIEW

ARPA-E expects to make approximately $30 million available for new awards under this FOA, subject to the availability of appropriated funds. ARPA-E anticipates making approximately 12-15 awards under this FOA. ARPA-E may issue one, multiple, or no awards.

Individual awards may vary between $250,000 and $10 million.

The period of performance for funding agreements may not exceed 36 months. ARPA-E expects the start date for funding agreements to be July 2015, or as negotiated.

ARPA-E encourages applications stemming from ideas that still require proof-of-concept R&D efforts as well as those for which some proof-of-concept demonstration already exists.

Applications requiring proof-of-concept R&D can propose a project with the goal of delivering on the program metric at the conclusion of the project period. These applications should contain an appropriate cost and project duration plan that is described in sufficient technical detail to allow reviewers to meaningfully evaluate the proposed project. If awarded, such projects should expect a rigorous go/no-go milestone early in the project associated with the proof-of-concept demonstration. Alternatively, applications requiring proof-of-concept R&D can propose a project with the project end deliverable being an extremely creative, but partial solution. However, the Applicants are required to provide a convincing vision how these partial solutions can enable the realization of the program metrics with further development.

Applicants proposing projects for which some initial proof-of-concept demonstration already exists should submit concrete data that supports the probability of success of the proposed project.

ARPA-E will provide support at the highest funding level only for applications with significant technology risk, aggressive timetables, and careful management and mitigation of the associated risks.

ARPA-E will accept only new applications under this FOA. Applicants may not seek renewal or supplementation of their existing awards through this FOA.

ARPA-E plans to fully fund your negotiated budget at the time of award.
B. ARPA-E FUNDING AGREEMENTS

Through Cooperative Agreements, Technology Investment Agreements, and similar agreements, ARPA-E provides financial and other support to projects that have the potential to realize ARPA-E’s statutory mission. ARPA-E does not use such agreements to acquire property or services for the direct benefit or use of the U.S. Government.

Congress directed ARPA-E to “establish and monitor project milestones, initiate research projects quickly, and just as quickly terminate or restructure projects if such milestones are not achieved.” Accordingly, ARPA-E has substantial involvement in the direction of every project, as described in Section II.C below.

1. COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS

ARPA-E generally uses Cooperative Agreements to provide financial and other support to Prime Recipients. Cooperative Agreements involve the provision of financial or other support to accomplish a public purpose of support or stimulation authorized by Federal statute. Under Cooperative Agreements, the Government and Prime Recipients share responsibility for the direction of projects.

ARPA-E encourages Prime Recipients to review the Model Cooperative Agreement, which is available at http://arpa-e.energy.gov/arpa-e-site-page/award-guidance.

2. FUNDING AGREEMENTS WITH FFRDCS, GOGOS, AND FEDERAL INSTRUMENTALITIES

Any Federally Funded Research and Development Centers (FFRDC) involved as a member of a Project Team must complete the “FFRDC Authorization” and “Field Work Proposal” section of the Business Assurances & Disclosures Form, which is submitted with the Applicant’s Full Application.

When a FFRDC is the lead organization for a Project Team, ARPA-E executes a funding agreement directly with the FFRDC and a single, separate Cooperative Agreement with the rest of the Project Team. Notwithstanding the use of multiple agreements, the FFRDC is the lead organization for the entire project, including all work performed by the FFRDC and the rest of the Project Team.

12 The Prime Recipient is the signatory to the funding agreement with ARPA-E.
13 DOE/NNSA GOGOs are not eligible to apply for funding, as described in Section III.A of the FOA.
When a FFRDC or non-DOE/NNSA GOGO is a member of a Project Team, ARPA-E executes a funding agreement directly with the FFRDC or non-DOE/NNSA GOGO and a single, separate Cooperative Agreement with the rest of the Project Team. Notwithstanding the use of multiple agreements, the Prime Recipient under the Cooperative Agreement is the lead organization for the entire project, including all work performed by the FFRDC or non-DOE/NNSA GOGO and the rest of the Project Team.

Funding agreements with DOE/NNSA FFRDCs take the form of Work Authorizations issued to DOE/NNSA FFRDCs through the DOE/NNSA Field Work Proposal system for work performed under Department of Energy Management & Operation Contracts. Funding agreements with non-DOE/NNSA FFRDCs, GOGOs, and Federal instrumentalities (e.g., Tennessee Valley Authority) generally take the form of Interagency Agreements. Any funding agreement with a FFRDC or non-DOE/NNSA GOGO will have substantially similar terms and conditions as ARPA-E’s Model Cooperative Agreement (http://arpa-e.energy.gov/arpa-e-site-page/award-guidance).

3. **Technology Investment Agreements**

ARPA-E may use its “other transactions” authority under the America COMPETES Reauthorization Act of 2010 or DOE’s “other transactions” authority under the Energy Policy Act of 2005 to enter into Technology Investment Agreements (TIAs) with Prime Recipients. ARPA-E may negotiate a TIA when it determines that the use of a standard cooperative agreement, grant, or contract is not feasible or appropriate for a project.

A TIA is more flexible than a traditional financial assistance agreement. In using a TIA, ARPA-E may modify standard Government terms and conditions. See 10 C.F.R. § 603.105 for a description of a TIA.

In general, TIAs require a cost share of 50%. See Section III.B.2 of the FOA.

4. **Grants**

Although ARPA-E has the authority to provide financial support to Prime Recipients through Grants, ARPA-E generally does not fund projects through Grants. ARPA-E may fund a limited number of projects through Grants, as appropriate.
C. **STATEMENT OF SUBSTANTIAL INVOLVEMENT**

Generally, ARPA-E is substantially involved in the direction of projects from inception to completion. For the purposes of an ARPA-E project, substantial involvement means:

- ARPA-E does not limit its involvement to the administrative requirements of the ARPA-E funding agreement. Instead, ARPA-E has substantial involvement in the direction and redirection of the technical aspects of the project as a whole. Project teams must adhere to ARPA-E technical direction and comply with agency-specific and programmatic requirements.

- ARPA-E may intervene at any time to address the conduct or performance of project activities.

- During award negotiations, ARPA-E Program Directors and Prime Recipients mutually establish an aggressive schedule of quantitative milestones and deliverables that must be met every quarter. Prime Recipients document the achievement of these milestones and deliverables in quarterly technical and financial progress reports, which are reviewed and evaluated by ARPA-E Program Directors (see Attachment 4 to ARPA-E’s Model Cooperative Agreement, available at [http://arpa-e.energy.gov/arpa-e-site-page/award-guidance](http://arpa-e.energy.gov/arpa-e-site-page/award-guidance)). ARPA-E Program Directors visit each Prime Recipient at least twice per year, and hold periodic meetings, conference calls, and webinars with Project Teams. ARPA-E Program Directors may modify or terminate projects that fail to achieve predetermined technical milestones and deliverables.

- ARPA-E works closely with Prime Recipients to facilitate and expedite the deployment of ARPA-E-funded technologies to market. ARPA-E works with other Government agencies and nonprofits to provide mentoring and networking opportunities for Prime Recipients. ARPA-E also organizes and sponsors events to educate Prime Recipients about key barriers to the deployment of their ARPA-E-funded technologies. In addition, ARPA-E establishes collaborations with private and public entities to provide continued support for the development and deployment of ARPA-E-funded technologies.
III. **Eligibility Information**

A. **Eligible Applicants**

1. **Individuals**

U.S. citizens or permanent residents may apply for funding in their individual capacity as a Standalone Applicant,\(^{14}\) as the lead for a Project Team,\(^{15}\) or as a member of a Project Team.

2. **Domestic Entities**

For-profit entities, educational institutions, and nonprofits\(^ {16}\) that are incorporated in the United States, including U.S. territories, are eligible to apply for funding as a Standalone Applicant, as the lead organization for a Project Team, or as a member of a Project Team.

FFRDCs are eligible to apply for funding as the lead organization for a Project Team or as a member of a Project Team, but not as a Standalone Applicant.

DOE/NNSA GOGOs are not eligible to apply for funding.

Non-DOE/NNSA GOGOs are eligible to apply for funding as a member of a Project Team, but not as a Standalone Applicant or as the lead organization for a Project Team.

State and local government entities are eligible to apply for funding as a member of a Project Team, but not as a Standalone Applicant or as the lead organization for a Project Team.

Federal agencies and instrumentalities (other than DOE) are eligible to apply for funding as a member of a Project Team, but not as a Standalone Applicant or as the lead organization for a Project Team.

---

\(^{14}\) A Standalone Applicant is an Applicant that applies for funding on its own, not as part of a Project Team.

\(^{15}\) The term “Project Team” is used to mean any entity with multiple players working collaboratively and could encompass anything from an existing organization to an ad hoc teaming arrangement. A Project Team consists of the Prime Recipient, Subrecipients, and others performing or otherwise supporting work under an ARPA-E funding agreement.

\(^{16}\) Nonprofit organizations described in section 501(c)(4) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 that engaged in lobbying activities after December 31, 1995 are not eligible to apply for funding as a Prime Recipient or Subrecipient.
3. **FOREIGN ENTITIES**

Foreign entities, whether for-profit or otherwise, are eligible to apply for funding as Standalone Applicants, as the lead organization for a Project Team, or as a member of a Project Team. All work by foreign entities must be performed by subsidiaries or affiliates incorporated in the United States (including U.S. territories). The Applicant may request a waiver of this requirement in the Business Assurances & Disclosures Form, which is submitted with the Full Application. Please refer to the Business Assurances & Disclosures Form for guidance on the content and form of the request.

4. **CONSORTIUM ENTITIES**

Consortia, which may include domestic and foreign entities, must designate one member of the consortium as the consortium representative to the Project Team. The consortium representative must be incorporated in the United States. The eligibility of the consortium will be determined by reference to the eligibility of the consortium representative under Section III.A of the FOA. Each consortium must have an internal governance structure and a written set of internal rules. Upon request, the consortium entity must provide a written description of its internal governance structure and its internal rules to the Contracting Officer (ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov).

Unincorporated consortia must provide the Contracting Officer with a collaboration agreement, commonly referred to as the articles of collaboration, which sets out the rights and responsibilities of each consortium member. This agreement binds the individual consortium members together and should discuss, among other things, the consortium's:

- Management structure;
- Method of making payments to consortium members;
- Means of ensuring and overseeing members' efforts on the project;
- Provisions for members' cost sharing contributions; and
- Provisions for ownership and rights in intellectual property developed previously or under the agreement.
B. **Cost Sharing**

Applicants are bound by the cost share proposed in their Full Applications.

1. **Base Cost Share Requirement**

ARPA-E generally uses Cooperative Agreements to provide financial and other support to Prime Recipients (see Section II.B.1 of the FOA). Under a Cooperative Agreement, the Prime Recipient must provide at least 20% of the Total Project Cost as cost share, except as provided in Sections III.B.2 or III.B.3 below.

2. **Increased Cost Share Requirement**

Large businesses are strongly encouraged to provide more than 20% of the Total Project Cost as cost share. ARPA-E may consider the amount of cost share proposed by large businesses when selecting applications for award negotiations (see Section V.B.1 of the FOA).

Under a Technology Investment Agreement, the Prime Recipient must provide at least 50% of the Total Project Cost as cost share. ARPA-E may reduce this minimum cost share requirement, as appropriate.

3. **Reduced Cost Share Requirement**

ARPA-E has reduced the minimum cost share requirement for the following types of projects:

- A domestic educational institution or domestic nonprofit applying as a Standalone Applicant is required to provide at least 5% of the Total Project Cost as cost share.

- Small businesses – or consortia of small businesses - will provide 0% cost share from the outset of the project through the first 12 months of the project (hereinafter the “Cost Share Grace Period”). If the project is continued beyond the Cost Share Grace Period, then at least 10% of the Total Project Cost (including the costs incurred during the Cost Share Grace Period) will be required as cost share over the remaining period of performance.

---

17 Please refer to Section VI.B.3-4 of the FOA for guidance on cost share payments and reporting.
18 The Total Project Cost is the sum of the Prime Recipient share and the Federal Government share of total allowable costs. The Federal Government share generally includes costs incurred by GOGOs, FFRDCs, and GO COs.
• Project Teams where a small business is the lead organization and small businesses perform greater than or equal to 80%, but less than 100%, of the total work under the funding agreement (as measured by the Total Project Cost) the Project Team are entitled to the same cost share reduction and Cost Share Grace Period as provided above to Standalone small businesses or consortia of small businesses.

• Project Teams composed exclusively of domestic educational institutions, domestic nonprofits, and/or FFRDCs are required to provide at least 5% of the Total Project Cost as cost share.

• Project Teams where domestic educational institutions, domestic nonprofits, and/or FFRDCs perform greater than or equal to 80%, but less than 100%, of the total work under the funding agreement (as measured by the Total Project Cost) are required to provide at least 10% of the Total Project Cost as cost share. However, any entity (such as a large business) receiving patent rights under a class waiver, or other patent waiver, that is part of a Project Team receiving this reduction must continue to meet the statutory minimum cost share requirement (20%) for its portion of the Total Project Cost.

• Projects that do not meet any of the above criteria are subject to the minimum cost share requirements described in Sections III.B.1 and III.B.2 of the FOA.

4. LEGAL RESPONSIBILITY

Although the cost share requirement applies to the Project Team as a whole, the funding agreement makes the Prime Recipient legally responsible for paying the entire cost share. The Prime Recipient’s cost share obligation is expressed in the funding agreement as a static amount in U.S. dollars (cost share amount) and as a percentage of the Total Project Cost (cost share percentage). If the funding agreement is terminated prior to the end of the project period, the Prime Recipient is required to contribute at least the cost share percentage of total expenditures incurred through the date of termination.

The Prime Recipient is solely responsible for managing cost share contributions by the Project Team and enforcing cost share obligations assumed by Project Team members in subawards or related agreements.

5. COST SHARE ALLOCATION

Each Project Team is free to determine how much each Project Team member will contribute towards the cost share requirement. The amount contributed by individual Project Team members may vary, as long as the cost share requirement for the project as a whole is met.

Questions about this FOA? Email ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov (with FOA name and number in subject line); see FOA Sec. VII.A.

Problems with ARPA-E eXCHANGE? Email ExchangeHelp@hq.doe.gov (with FOA name and number in subject line).
6. **Cost Share Types and Allowability**

Every cost share contribution must be allowable under the applicable Federal cost principles, as described in Section IV.G.1 of the FOA.

Project Teams may provide cost share in the form of cash or in-kind contributions. Cash contributions may be provided by the Prime Recipient or Subrecipients. Allowable in-kind contributions include but are not limited to personnel costs, indirect costs, facilities and administrative costs, rental value of buildings or equipment, and the value of a service, other resource, or third party in-kind contribution. Project Teams may use funding or property received from state or local governments to meet the cost share requirement, so long as the funding or property was not provided to the state or local government by the Federal Government.

The Prime Recipient may not use the following sources to meet its cost share obligations:

- Revenues or royalties from the prospective operation of an activity beyond the project period;
- Proceeds from the prospective sale of an asset of an activity;
- Federal funding or property (e.g., Federal grants, equipment owned by the Federal Government); or
- Expenditures that were reimbursed under a separate Federal program.

In addition, Project Teams may not use independent research and development (IR&D) funds to meet their cost share obligations under cooperative agreements. However, Project Teams may use IR&D funds to meet their cost share obligations under Technology investment Agreements.

Project Teams may not use the same cash or in-kind contributions to meet cost share requirements for more than one project or program.

Cost share contributions must be specified in the project budget, verifiable from the Prime Recipient’s records, and necessary and reasonable for proper and efficient accomplishment of the project. Every cost share contribution must be reviewed and approved in advance by the Contracting Officer and incorporated into the project budget before the expenditures are incurred.

---

20 As defined in Federal Acquisition Regulation Section 31.205-18.

7. **COST SHARE CONTRIBUTIONS BY FFRDCS AND GOGOS**

Because FFRDCs and GOGOs are funded by the Federal Government, costs incurred by FFRDCs and GOGOs generally may not be used to meet the cost share requirement. FFRDCs may contribute cost share only if the contributions are paid directly from the contractor’s Management Fee or a non-Federal source.

8. **COST SHARE VERIFICATION**

Upon selection for award negotiations, Applicants are required to provide information and documentation regarding their cost share contributions. Please refer to Section VI.B.3 of the FOA for guidance on the requisite cost share information and documentation.

C. **OTHER**

1. **COMPLIANT CRITERIA**

Concept Papers are deemed compliant if:

- The Applicant meets the eligibility requirements in Section III.A of the FOA;
- The Concept Paper complies with the content and form requirements in Section IV.C of the FOA; and
- The Applicant entered all required information, successfully uploaded all required documents, and clicked the “Submit” button in ARPA-E eXCHANGE by the deadline stated in the FOA.

ARPA-E will not review or consider noncompliant Concept Papers, including Concept Papers submitted through other means, Concept Papers submitted after the applicable deadline, and incomplete Concept Papers. A Concept Paper is incomplete if it does not include required information. ARPA-E will not extend the submission deadline for Applicants that fail to submit required information and documents due to server/connection congestion.

Full Applications are deemed compliant if:

- The Applicant submitted a compliant and responsive Concept Paper;

*Questions about this FOA? Email [ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov](mailto:ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov) (with FOA name and number in subject line); see FOA Sec. VII.A. Problems with ARPA-E eXCHANGE? Email [ExchangeHelp@hq.doe.gov](mailto:ExchangeHelp@hq.doe.gov) (with FOA name and number in subject line).*
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- The Applicant meets the eligibility requirements in Section III.A of the FOA;

- The Full Application complies with the content and form requirements in Section IV.D of the FOA; and

- The Applicant entered all required information, successfully uploaded all required documents, and clicked the “Submit” button in ARPA-E eXCHANGE by the deadline stated in the FOA.

ARPA-E will not review or consider noncompliant Full Applications, including Full Applications submitted through other means, Full Applications submitted after the applicable deadline, and incomplete Full Applications. A Full Application is incomplete if it does not include required information and documents, such as Forms SF-424 and 424A. ARPA-E will not extend the submission deadline for Applicants that fail to submit required information and documents due to server/connection congestion.

Replies to Reviewer Comments are deemed compliant if:

- The Applicant successfully uploaded all required documents to ARPA-E eXCHANGE by the deadline stated in the FOA.

ARPA-E will not review or consider noncompliant Replies to Reviewer Comments, including Replies submitted through other means and Replies submitted after the applicable deadline. ARPA-E will not extend the submission deadline for Applicants that fail to submit required information due to server/connection congestion. ARPA-E will review and consider each compliant and responsive Full Application, even if no Reply is submitted or if the Reply is found to be noncompliant.

2. RESPONSIVENESS CRITERIA

ARPA-E performs a preliminary technical review of Concept Papers and Full Applications. Any “Applications Specifically Not of Interest,” as described in Section I.F of the FOA, are deemed nonresponsive and are not reviewed or considered.

3. LIMITATION ON NUMBER OF APPLICATIONS

ARPA-E is not limiting the number of applications that may be submitted by Applicants. Applicants may submit more than one application to this FOA, provided that each application is scientifically distinct.
IV. APPLICATION AND SUBMISSION INFORMATION

A. APPLICATION PROCESS OVERVIEW

1. REGISTRATION IN ARPA-E eXCHANGE

The first step in applying to this FOA is registration in ARPA-E eXCHANGE, ARPA-E’s online application portal. For detailed guidance on using ARPA-E eXCHANGE, please refer to Section IV.H.1 of the FOA and the “ARPA-E eXCHANGE User Guide” (https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov/Manuals.aspx).

2. CONCEPT PAPERS

Applicants must submit a Concept Paper by the deadline stated in the FOA. Section IV.C of the FOA provides instructions on submitting a Concept Paper.

ARPA-E performs a preliminary review of Concept Papers to determine whether they are compliant and responsive, as described in Section III.C of the FOA. ARPA-E makes an independent assessment of each compliant and responsive Concept Paper based on the criteria in Section V.A.1 of the FOA.

ARPA-E will encourage a subset of Applicants to submit Full Applications. Other Applicants will be discouraged from submitting a Full Application in order to save them the time and expense of preparing an application that is unlikely to be selected for award negotiations. By discouraging the submission of a Full Application, ARPA-E intends to convey its lack of programmatic interest in the proposed project. Such assessments do not necessarily reflect judgments on the merits of the proposed project. Unsuccessful Applicants should continue to submit innovative ideas and concepts to future FOAs.

3. FULL APPLICATIONS

Applicants must submit a Full Application by the deadline stated in the FOA. Applicants will have approximately 30 days from receipt of the Encourage/Discourage notification to prepare and submit a Full Application. Section IV.D of the FOA provides instructions on submitting a Full Application.

ARPA-E performs a preliminary review of Full Applications to determine whether they are compliant and responsive, as described in Section III.C of the FOA. ARPA-E reviews only compliant and responsive Full Applications.
4. **Reply to Reviewer Comments**

Once ARPA-E has completed its review of Full Applications, reviewer comments on compliant and responsive Full Applications are made available to Applicants via ARPA-E eXCHANGE. Applicants may submit an optional Reply to Reviewer Comments, which must be submitted by the deadline stated in the FOA. Section IV.E of the FOA provides instructions on submitting a Reply to Reviewer Comments.

ARPA-E performs a preliminary review of Replies to determine whether they are compliant, as described in Section III.C.1 of the FOA. ARPA-E will review and consider compliant Replies only. ARPA-E will review and consider each compliant and responsive Full Application, even if no Reply is submitted or if the Reply is found to be non-compliant.

5. **Pre-Selection Clarifications and “Down-Select” Process**

Once ARPA-E completes its review of Full Applications and Replies to Reviewer Comments, it may, at the Contracting Officer’s discretion, conduct a pre-selection clarification process and/or perform a “down-select” of Full Applications. Through the pre-selection clarification process or down-select process, ARPA-E may obtain additional information from select Applicants through pre-selection meetings, webinars, videoconferences, conference calls, or site visits that can be used to make a final selection determination. ARPA-E will not reimburse Applicants for travel and other expenses relating to pre-selection meetings and site visits, nor will these costs be eligible for reimbursement as pre-award costs.

ARPA-E may select applications for funding and make awards without pre-selection meetings and site visits. Participation in a pre-selection meeting or site visit with ARPA-E does not signify that Applicants have been selected for award negotiations.

6. **Selection for Award Negotiations**

ARPA-E carefully considers all of the information obtained through the application process and makes an independent assessment of each compliant and responsive Full Application based on the criteria and program policy factors in Sections V.A.2 and V.B.1 of the FOA. The Selection Official may select or not select a Full Application for award negotiations. The Selection Official may also postpone a final selection determination on one or more Full Applications until a later date, subject to availability of funds and other factors. ARPA-E will enter into award negotiations only with selected Applicants.

Applicants are promptly notified of ARPA-E’s selection determination. ARPA-E may stagger its selection determinations. As a result, some Applicants may receive their notification letter in advance of other Applicants. Please refer to Section VI.A of the FOA for guidance on award notifications.
7. **Mandatory Webinar**

All selected Applicants, including the Principal Investigator and the financial manager for the project, are required to participate in a webinar that is held within approximately one week of the selection notification. During the webinar, ARPA-E officials present important information on the award negotiation process, including deadlines for the completion of certain actions.

**B. Application Forms**

Required forms for Full Applications are available on ARPA-E eXCHANGE ([https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov](https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov)), including the SF-424, Budget Justification Workbook/SF-424A, and Business Assurances & Disclosures Form. A sample response to the Business Assurances & Disclosures Form and a sample Summary Slide are also available on ARPA-E eXCHANGE. Applicants must use the templates available on ARPA-E eXCHANGE, including the template for the Concept Paper, the template for the Technical Volume of the Full Application, the Technical Milestones and Deliverables - Instructions and Examples, the template for the Summary Slide, the template for the Summary for Public Release, and the template for the Reply to Reviewer Comments.

**C. Content and Form of Concept Papers**

The Concept Paper and Summary Slide are mandatory (i.e. in order to submit a Full Application, a compliant and responsive Concept Paper and Summary Slide must have been submitted) and must conform to the following formatting requirements:

- The Concept Paper must not exceed 4 pages in length including graphics, figures, and/or tables.
- The Summary Slide may not exceed 1 page in length.
- The Concept Paper must be submitted in Adobe PDF format.
- The Summary Slide must be submitted in Microsoft PowerPoint format.
- The Concept Paper and Summary Slide must be written in English.
- All pages must be formatted to fit on 8-1/2 by 11 inch paper with margins not less than one inch on every side. Single space all text and use Times New Roman typeface, a black font color, and a font size of 12 point or larger (except in figures and tables).
The ARPA-E assigned Control Number, the Lead Organization Name, and the Principal Investigator’s Last Name must be prominently displayed on the upper right corner of the header of every page. Page numbers must be included in the footer of every page.

ARPA-E will not review or consider noncompliant and/or nonresponsive Concept Papers (see Section III.C of the FOA).

Each Concept Paper should be limited to a single concept or technology. Unrelated concepts and technologies should not be consolidated into a single Concept Paper.


Concept Papers must conform to the content requirements described below. In Concept Papers, Applicants are encouraged to focus on the technology to be developed in the ARPA-E program effort and place less emphasis on the post-program development path. If Applicants exceed the maximum page length indicated above, ARPA-E will review only the authorized number of pages and disregard any additional pages:

1. **FIRST COMPONENT: CONCEPT PAPER**
   a. **CONCEPT SUMMARY**
   • Describe the proposed concept with minimal jargon, and explain how it addresses the Program Objectives of the FOA.
   b. **INNOVATION AND IMPACT**
   • Clearly identify the problem to be solved with the proposed technology concept.
   • Describe how the proposed effort represents an innovative and potentially transformational solution to the technical challenges posed by the FOA.
   • Explain the concept’s potential to be disruptive compared to existing or emerging technologies.
   • Describe how the concept will have a positive impact on at least one of the ARPA-E mission areas in Section I.A of the FOA.
c. **PROPOSED WORK**

- Describe the final deliverable(s) for the project and the overall technical approach used to achieve project objectives.
- Discuss alternative approaches considered, if any, and why the proposed approach is most appropriate for the project objectives.
- Describe the background, theory, simulation, modeling, experimental data, or other sound engineering and scientific practices or principles that support the proposed approach. Provide specific examples of supporting data and/or appropriate citations to the scientific and technical literature.
- Describe why the proposed effort is a significant technical challenge and the key technical risks to the project. Does the approach require one or more entirely new technical developments to succeed? How will technical risk be mitigated?
- Identify techno-economic challenges to be overcome for the proposed technology to be commercially relevant.

**d. TEAM ORGANIZATION AND CAPABILITIES**

- Indicate the roles and responsibilities of the organizations and key personnel that comprise the Project Team.
- Provide the name, position, and institution of each key team member and describe in 1-2 sentences the skills and experience that he/she brings to the team.
- Identify key capabilities provided by the organizations comprising the Project Team and how those key capabilities will be used in the proposed effort.
- Identify (if applicable) previous collaborative efforts among team members relevant to the proposed effort.
2. **SECOND COMPONENT: SUMMARY SLIDE**

Applicants are required to provide a single PowerPoint slide summarizing the proposed project. This slide will be used during ARPA-E’s evaluation of Concept Papers. A summary slide template and a sample summary slide are available on ARPA-E eXCHANGE (https://arpae-foa.energy.gov). Summary Slides must conform to the content requirements described below:

- Project Title;
- Lead Organization, Principal Investigator, and key personnel names;
- ARPA-E Assigned Control Number;
- Technical Category;
- Estimated Total Project Cost;
- Technology Summary and Impact;
  - Bullet points that describe novel aspects of the technology, approach, and impact on the ALPHA Program goals;
- Proposed Goals;
  - Including any important technical performance metrics;
  - Including quantitative description of the state of the art; and
  - Including quantitative descriptions of the proposed targets;
- Any key graphics (e.g., illustrations, charts and/or tables that summarize the technology, key innovations, and planned approach);

**D. CONTENT AND FORM OF FULL APPLICATIONS**

Full Applications must conform to the following formatting requirements:

- Each document must be submitted in the file format prescribed below.
- The Full Application must be written in English.
- All pages must be formatted to fit on 8-1/2 by 11 inch paper with margins not less than one inch on every side. Single space all text and use Times New Roman typeface, a black font color, and a font size of 12 point or larger (except in figures and tables).
- The ARPA-E assigned Control Number, the Lead Organization Name, and the Principal Investigator’s Last Name must be prominently displayed on the upper right corner of the header of every page. Page numbers must be included in the footer of every page.

ARPA-E will not review or consider noncompliant and/or nonresponsive Full Applications (see Section III.C of the FOA).
Each Full Application should be limited to a single concept or technology. Unrelated concepts and technologies should not be consolidated in a single Full Application.

Fillable Full Application template documents are available on ARPA-E eXCHANGE at https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov.

Full Applications must conform to the content requirements described below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Required Format</th>
<th>Description and Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SF-424</td>
<td>PDF</td>
<td>Application for Federal Assistance (<a href="https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov">https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov</a>). Applicants are responsible for ensuring that the proposed costs listed in eXCHANGE match those listed on forms SF-424 and SF-424A. Inconsistent submissions may impact ARPA-E’s final award determination.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summary Slide</td>
<td>PPT</td>
<td>A four-panel project slide summarizing different aspects of the proposed R&amp;D project. A Summary Slide template is available on ARPA-E eXCHANGE (<a href="https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov">https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov</a>).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Assurances &amp; Disclosures Form</td>
<td>PDF</td>
<td>Requires the Applicant to make responsibility disclosures and disclose potential conflicts of interest within the Project Team. Requires the Applicant to describe the additionality and risks associated with the proposed project, disclose applications for funding currently pending with Federal and non-Federal entities, and disclose funding from Federal and non-Federal entities for work in the same technology area as the proposed R&amp;D project. If the Applicant is a FFRDC, requires the Applicant to provide written authorization from the cognizant Federal agency and, if a DOE/NNSA FFRDC, a Field Work Proposal. Allows the Applicant to request a waiver or modification of the Performance of Work in the United States requirement and/or the Technology Transfer &amp; Outreach (TT&amp;O) spending requirement. This form is available on ARPA-E eXCHANGE at <a href="https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov">https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov</a>. A sample response to the Business Assurances &amp; Disclosures Form is also available on ARPA-E eXCHANGE.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ARPA-E provides detailed guidance on the content and form of each component below.
1. **First Component: Technical Volume**

The Technical Volume must be submitted in Adobe PDF format. A Technical Volume template is available at [https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov](https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov). The Technical Volume must conform to the following content and form requirements, including maximum page lengths specified below. If Applicants exceed the maximum page lengths specified for each section indicated below, ARPA-E will review only the authorized number of pages and disregard any additional pages.

Applicants must provide sufficient citations and references to the primary research literature to justify the claims and approaches made in the Technical Volume. ARPA-E and reviewers may review primary research literature in order to evaluate applications. However, ARPA-E and reviewers are under no obligation to review cited sources (e.g., Internet websites).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PAGE LIMIT</th>
<th>SECTION</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 page max.</td>
<td>EXECUTIVE SUMMARY</td>
<td>Summarize the objective(s) and technical approach of the proposed effort at a technical level appropriate for scientific and engineering peers.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**INSTRUCTIONS:**

(1) The Project Title should be brief and descriptive of the proposed technology.

(2) Identify the most relevant Technical Category for the proposed technology from the “Technical Categories of Interest” in Section I.D of the FOA.

(3) Enter the estimated Total Project Cost in U.S. dollars and percentage cost share in parentheses.

(4) Enter the Project Duration in months.

(5) The Executive Summary shall not exceed 1 page in length.

(6) The Executive Summary may contain graphics, figures, or tables as needed to summarize the technical concept.

| Sections 1-5 | Section 1 INNOVATION AND IMPACT | Describe how the proposed work offers an innovative approach to achieve the program objectives of the FOA and how it will impact the mission areas of ARPA-E. |

**1.1 Overall Description.**

- Describe the conceptual basis for the project and how the proposed technology works with minimal jargon.
- Explain the objective(s) and performance characteristics of the proposed effort.
1.2 Potential Impact.
- Clearly identify the problem that is being solved with the proposed technology.
- Describe how the proposed effort addresses one (or more) of the “Technical Categories of Interest” from Section I.D of the FOA.
- Explain the project’s potential to be disruptive relative to the existing technology or how the project establishes a basis for new innovations.

1.3 Innovativeness.
- Describe how the proposed effort represents a new and innovative solution to the overall program challenge described in the FOA.
- Indicate the technical goals and anticipated results, using appropriate metrics, for the project. Provide a description of how the metrics were derived, citing key previous results and/or assumptions.
- Include and discuss, as appropriate, a table in which the targeted performance of the proposed technology is compared with the “Technical Performance Targets” in Section I.E of the FOA and with other competing or emerging technologies that might achieve the FOA Technical Performance Targets.

INSTRUCTIONS:
(1) The Innovation and Impact Section may include figures, tables, and graphics.
(2) The suggested length of the Innovation and Impact Section is 4 pages.

Section 2 PROPOSED WORK
Describe and discuss for the proposed effort the technical background and approach, the R&D tasks, and the key technical risks. This Section should justify the proposed approach as being appropriate to achieve the project’s objective(s).

2.1 Approach.
- Describe the technical approach and how this approach will achieve the proposed project objective(s).
- Discuss alternative approaches considered, if any, and why the selected approach is most appropriate for the identified objective(s).
2.1 Describe the background, theory, simulation, modeling, experimental data, or other sound engineering and scientific practices or principles that support achieving the project objective(s). Provide specific examples of supporting data and/or appropriate citations to the scientific and technical literature.

2.2 Technical Risk.
- Identify potential technical issues and risks, e.g., the approach requires a never-before-demonstrated fabrication technique or greater-than-previously-demonstrated sub-component performance, etc.
- Describe appropriate mitigation techniques and plans, if any, for each identified issue and risk.

2.3 Schedule.
- Provide a schedule for the proposed effort by major tasks, including major milestones or Go/No-Go decision points as appropriate. (A Gantt chart is recommended.)

2.4 Task Descriptions.
- Identify and provide a full technical description for each main task in the proposed effort.
- Discuss the reason the identified tasks are appropriate and sufficient for the identified approach.
- Describe the key technical milestones and how these define the critical path for successful completion of the task.
- Indicate how completion of each task relates to reducing technological uncertainty and achieving the overall project objective(s).

INSTRUCTIONS:
(1) The Proposed Work Section may include figures, tables, and graphics.
(2) The suggested length of the Proposed Work Section is 12 pages.
subcontractor, or lead organization for each of the project tasks. Include relevant organization charts and teaming organization charts, as applicable.

- Identify Key Personnel, describe how their qualifications relate to the proposed effort, and indicate their roles and responsibilities for each of the project tasks.

- Identify previous collaborative efforts among team members if relevant to the proposed effort.

3.2 Capabilities, Facilities, Equipment, and Information.

- Identify capabilities of the Applicant or proposed Project Team, e.g., relevant experience, previous or current R&D efforts, or related government or commercial projects, that support the proposed effort.

- Identify all required facilities, equipment, and information for the proposed effort and discuss their adequacy and availability.

- Indicate any key equipment that must be fabricated or purchased.

INSTRUCTIONS:

(1) This Section may include figures, tables, and graphics.

(2) The suggested length of the Team Section is 4 pages.

The significant impact sought by ARPA-E depends upon successful projects finding a path to large-scale adoption. ARPA-E projects are not required to achieve commercial deployment by the end of the project period, but the agency asks the applicant to define a reasonable path for the proposed technology toward commercial adoption.

4.1 Technology to Market Strategy.

The Technology to Market Strategy section of the Technical Volume must include a discussion of the development path towards a fusion power reactor beyond the ALPHA program, as outlined in Section 1.E of the FOA. This should include a brief discussion of the costs, timeframe, and approximate number of shots required for each of the following steps:

- Scale-up from prototype tools developed under the ARPA-E award to reactor-scale components;

- Integrated experimental reactor with plasma formation, driver, and confinement vessel;

- Demonstration of scientific breakeven;
• Demonstration of engineering gain required for competitive power reactor; and
• Prototype power reactor.

This discussion should also identify the most suitable sources of funding for each stage of development. In addition, the Technology to Market Strategy section should address the following:
• Describe how the proposed technology is expected to transition from the lab to commercial deployment, and identify potential near- and long-term market entry opportunities (including opportunities that are not related to a fusion reactor).
• Discuss manufacturing, cost, and scalability risks associated with the technology.
• Discuss the anticipated roles for the proposed research team in the commercialization of the technology.

4.2 Intellectual Property.
• Describe existing intellectual property, if any, that will be used to develop the new intellectual property; and
• Discuss new intellectual property and data that is anticipated to be created as part of this effort, if any.

INSTRUCTIONS:

(1) The Technology to Market Section may include figures, tables, and graphics.
(2) The suggested length of the Technology to Market Section is 3-4 pages.
### Section 5: BUDGET

Indicate the budget, in US dollars, and provide a high-level budget summary, demonstrating that the budget is reasonable and appropriate for the proposed effort.

#### 5.1 Budget Breakdown.

Provide in tabular form following the template given below, a breakdown of the project budget by entity and major task in US dollars.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task Name</th>
<th>[Prime]</th>
<th>[Sub #1]</th>
<th>[Sub #2]</th>
<th>[Sub #3]</th>
<th>[Sub #4]</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[Task #1]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Task #2]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Task #3]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Task #4]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Replace “Prime” with the name of the primary (lead) entity and “Sub #n” with the name of the sub-recipient or sub-contractor entities, if applicable. Task names should clearly correspond to major tasks listed in Section 2.4. Expand or contract the table as needed to add/subtract entities (columns) or tasks (rows).

#### 5.2 Budget Summary.

Provide a high-level summary for the project by major budget category, including at least these three:

- Key Personnel and technical staff to be utilized (e.g., scientists, engineers, technicians, postdocs, graduate students, etc.)
- Equipment
- Materials and Supplies

#### 5.3 Cost Share.

Provide a description of the cost share by value of the contribution (in dollars) and percentage of the Total Project Cost (TPC):

- List each source of cost share, the type of contribution (cash or in-kind), the value of the contribution (in dollars), and the value as a percentage of TPC.
- For all in-kind contributions, provide a detailed description of the contribution and its relevance to the project objectives.

**INSTRUCTIONS:**

1. The Budget Section may include figures, tables, and graphics.
2. The suggested length of the Budget Section is 4 pages.
2. **SECOND COMPONENT: SF-424**

The SF-424 must be submitted in Adobe PDF format. This form is available on ARPA-E eXCHANGE at [https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov](https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov).

The SF-424 includes instructions for completing the form. Applicants are required to complete all required fields in accordance with the instructions.

Prime Recipients and Subrecipients are required to complete SF-LLL (Disclosure of Lobbying Activities), available at [http://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/omb/grants/sflllin.pdf](http://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/omb/grants/sflllin.pdf), if any non-Federal funds have been paid or will be paid to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any Federal agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with your application or funding agreement. The completed SF-LLL must be appended to the SF-424.
ARPA-E provides the following supplemental guidance on completing the SF-424:

- Each Project Team should submit only one SF-424 (i.e., a Subrecipient should not submit a separate SF-424).

- Assume a project start date of July 2015, or as negotiated.

- The list of certifications and assurances in Block 21 can be found at http://energy.gov/management/downloads/certifications-and-assurances-use-sf-424.

- The dates and dollar amounts on the SF-424 are for the entire project period (from the project start date to the project end date), not a portion thereof.

- Applicants are responsible for ensuring that the proposed costs listed in eXCHANGE match those listed on forms SF-424 and SF-424A. Inconsistent submissions may impact ARPA-E’s final award determination.

3. **Third Component: Budget Justification Workbook/SF-424A**

Applicants are required to complete the Budget Justification Workbook/SF-424A Excel spreadsheet. This form is available on ARPA-E eXCHANGE at https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov. Prime Recipients must complete each tab of the Budget Justification Workbook for the project as a whole, including all work to be performed by the Prime Recipient and its Subrecipients and Contractors, and provide all requested documentation (e.g., a Federally-approved forward pricing rate agreement, Defense Contract Audit Agency or Government Audits and Reports, if available). The SF-424A form included with the Budget Justification Workbook will “auto-populate” as the Applicant enters information into the Workbook. Applicants should carefully read the “Instructions and Summary” tab provided within the Budget Justification Workbook.

Subrecipient information must be submitted as follows:

- Each Subrecipient incurring greater than or equal to 10% of the Total Project Cost must complete a separate Budget Justification workbook to justify its proposed budget. These worksheets must be inserted as additional sheets within in the Prime Recipient’s Budget Justification.

- Subrecipients incurring less than 10% of the Total Project Cost are not required to complete a separate Budget Justification workbook. However, such Subrecipients are required to provide supporting documentation to justify their proposed budgets. At a minimum, the supporting documentation must show which tasks/subtasks are being...
performed, the purpose/need for the effort, and a sufficient basis for the estimated costs.

ARPA-E provides the following supplemental guidance on completing the Budget Justification Workbook/SF-424A:

- Applicants may request funds under the appropriate object class category tabs as long as the item and amount requested are necessary to perform the proposed work, meet all the criteria for allowability under the applicable Federal cost principles, and are not prohibited by the funding restrictions described herein.

- If Patent costs are requested, they must be included in the Applicant’s proposed budget (see Section IV.G.3 of the FOA for more information on Patent Costs).

- Unless a waiver is granted by the Contracting Officer, each Project Team must spend at least 5% of the Federal funding (i.e., the portion of the award that does not include the recipient’s cost share) on Technology Transfer & Outreach (TT&O) activities to promote and further the development and deployment of ARPA-E-funded technologies. In addition, Project Teams may not expend more than 5% of the Total Project Cost on TT&O activities without the prior approval of the Contracting Officer (see Section IV.G.8 of the FOA).

- All TT&O costs requested must be included in the Applicant’s proposed budget and identified as TT&O costs in the Budget Justification Workbook/SF-424A with the costs being requested under the “Other” budget category. All budgeted activities must relate to achieving specific objectives, technical milestones and deliverables outlined in Section 2.4 Task Descriptions of the Technical Volume.

- For pricing purposes, assume a project start date of [date], or as negotiated.

- For more information, please refer to the ARPA-E Budget Justification Guidance document at https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov.
4. **FOURTH COMPONENT: SUMMARY FOR PUBLIC RELEASE**

Applicants are required to provide a 250 word max. Summary for Public Release. A Summary for Public Release template is available on ARPA-E eXCHANGE ([https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov](https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov)). The Summary for Public Release must be submitted in Adobe PDF format. This summary should not include any confidential, proprietary, or privileged information. The summary should be written for a lay audience (e.g., general public, media, Congress) using plain English.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>250 Words</th>
<th>SUMMARY FOR PUBLIC RELEASE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Briefly describe the proposed effort, summarize its objective(s) and technical approach, describe its ability to achieve the “Program Objectives” (see Section I.C of the FOA), and indicate its potential impact on “ARPA-E Mission Areas” (see Section I.A of the FOA). The summary should be written at technical level suitable for a high-school science student and is designed for public release.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**INSTRUCTIONS:**
(1) The Summary for Public Release shall not exceed 250 words and one paragraph.
(2) The Summary for Public Release shall consist only of text—no graphics, figures, or tables.
(3) For applications selected for award negotiations, the Summary may be used as the basis for a public announcement by ARPA-E; therefore, **this Cover Page and Summary should not contain confidential or proprietary information.** See Section VIII.E of the FOA for additional information on marking confidential information.

5. **FIFTH COMPONENT: SUMMARY SLIDE**

Applicants are required to provide a single PowerPoint slide summarizing the proposed project. The slide must be submitted in Microsoft PowerPoint format. This slide will be used during ARPA-E’s evaluation of Full Applications. A summary slide template and a sample summary slide are available on ARPA-E eXCHANGE ([https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov](https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov)).

Summary Slides must conform to the content requirements described below:

- A Technology Summary;
  - Bullet points that describe novel aspects of the proposed technology and technology approach;
- A description of the technology’s impact;
  - Quantitative description (through text or graphic) of the impact the proposed project will provide to the market and ARPA-E mission areas;
- Proposed Targets;
including any important technical performance metrics and/or impact categories;
- including quantitative description of the state of the art;
- including quantitative descriptions of the proposed targets;
- any key graphics (illustrations, charts and/or tables) summarizing technology development and/or impact;
- the project’s key idea/takeaway;
- project title and principal investigator information; and
- requested ARPA-E funds and proposed applicant cost share.

6. Sixth Component: Business Assurances & Disclosures Form

Applicants are required to complete a Business Assurances & Disclosures Form. The form must be submitted in Adobe PDF format. This form is available on ARPA-E eXCHANGE at https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov. A sample response to the Business Assurances & Disclosures Form is also available on ARPA-E eXCHANGE.

In the Business Assurances & Disclosures Form, the Applicant is required to:

- disclose conditions bearing on responsibility, such as criminal convictions and Federal tax liability;
- disclose potential conflicts of interest within the project team;
- if the applicant is a FFRDC, submit written authorization from the cognizant Federal agency; and
- if the applicant is a DOE/NNSA FFRDC, submit a Field Work Proposal.

In addition, ARPA-E is required by statute to “accelerate transformational technological advances in areas that industry is by itself not likely to undertake because of technical and financial uncertainty.”\(^{21}\) In accordance with ARPA-E’s statutory mandate, the Applicant is required to:

- describe the additionality and risks associated with the proposed R&D project;
- disclose any applications for the same project or related work currently pending with any Federal or non-Federal entities; and
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- Disclose all funding for work in the same technology area as the proposed project received from any Federal or non-Federal entity within the last 5 years.

Finally, the Applicant may use the Business Assurances & Disclosures Form to:

- Request authorization to perform some work overseas; and
- Request a waiver of the TT&O spending requirement.

E. CONTENT AND FORM OF REPLIES TO REVIEWER COMMENTS

Written feedback on Full Applications is made available to Applicants before the submission deadline for Replies to Reviewer Comments. Applicants have a brief opportunity to prepare a short Reply to Reviewer Comments responding to one or more comments or supplementing their Full Application. A fillable Reply to Reviewer Comments template is available on ARPA-E eXCHANGE (https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov).

Replies to Reviewer Comments must conform to the following requirements:

- The Reply to Reviewer Comments must be submitted in Adobe PDF format.
- The Reply to Reviewer Comments must be written in English.
- All pages must be formatted to fit on 8-1/2 by 11 inch paper with margins not less than one inch on every side. Use Times New Roman typeface, a black font color, and a font size of 12 points or larger (except in figures and tables).
- The Control Number must be prominently displayed on the upper right corner of the header of every page. Page numbers must be included in the footer of every page.

ARPA-E will not review or consider noncompliant Replies to Reviewer Comments (see Section III.C.1 of the FOA). ARPA-E will review and consider each compliant and responsive Full Application, even if no Reply is submitted or if the Reply is found to be noncompliant.

Replies to Reviewer Comments must conform to the following content and form requirements, including maximum page lengths, described below. If a Reply to Reviewer Comments is more than three pages in length, ARPA-E will review only the first three pages and disregard any additional pages.
SECTION | PAGE LIMIT | DESCRIPTION
--- | --- | ---
Text | 2 pages maximum | • Applicants may respond to one or more reviewer comments or supplement their Full Application.
Images | 1 page maximum | • Applicants may provide graphs, charts, or other data to respond to reviewer comments or supplement their Full Application.

F. **INTERGOVERNMENTAL REVIEW**

This program is not subject to Executive Order 12372 (Intergovernmental Review of Federal Programs).

G. **FUNDING RESTRICTIONS**

1. **ALLOWABLE COSTS**

All expenditures must be allowable, allocable, and reasonable in accordance with the applicable Federal cost principles. ARPA-E has listed the Federal cost principles for different categories of Applicants at [http://arpa-e.energy.gov/arpa-e-site-page/post-award-guidance](http://arpa-e.energy.gov/arpa-e-site-page/post-award-guidance).

2. **PRE-AWARD COSTS**

ARPA-E will not reimburse any pre-award costs incurred by Applicants before they are selected for award negotiations. Please refer to Section VI.A of the FOA for guidance on award notices.

Upon selection for award negotiations, Applicants may incur pre-award costs at their own risk, consistent with the requirements in [10 2 C.F.R. parts 600 200 and 910](http://www.govinfo.gov/content/librarian.do?resource=260221) and other Federal laws and regulations. ARPA-E generally does not accept budgets as submitted with the Full Application. Budgets are typically reworked during award negotiations. ARPA-E is under no obligation to reimburse pre-award costs if, for any reason, the Applicant does not receive an award or the award is made for a lesser amount than the Applicant expected, or if the costs incurred are not allowable, allocable, or reasonable.

Given the uncertainty of award negotiations, it is strongly recommended that Prime Recipients and Subrecipients consult with the Contracting Officer ([ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov](mailto:ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov)) before incurring any pre-award costs.

3. **Patent Costs**

ARPA-E will reimburse the Prime Recipient up to $30,000 for the following expenditures:

- Preparing and submitting invention disclosures to ARPA-E and DOE, as required by Attachment 2 to this Award;
- Searching the art, to the extent reasonable and necessary, to make invention disclosures to ARPA-E and DOE;
- Preparing any reports and other documents required by Attachment 2 to this Award;
- General counseling services relating to patent matters, such as advice on patent laws, regulations, clauses, and employee agreements;
- Filing and prosecuting of United States patent applications, including international applications (“PCT application”) submitted to the USPTO.

The Prime Recipient may request a waiver of the $30,000 cap. Because all patent costs are considered to be Technology Transfer & Outreach (TT&O) costs (see Section IV.G.8 of the FOA below), the waiver request is subject to review by the ARPA-E Program Director and approval by the Contracting Officer.

4. **Construction**

ARPA-E generally does not fund projects that involve major construction. Recipients are required to obtain written authorization from the Contracting Officer before incurring any major construction costs.

5. **Foreign Travel**

ARPA-E generally does not fund projects that involve foreign travel. Recipients are required to obtain written authorization from the Contracting Officer before incurring any foreign travel costs and provide trip reports with their reimbursement requests.

6. **Performance of Work in the United States**
ARPA-E strongly encourages interdisciplinary and cross-sectoral collaboration spanning organizational boundaries. Such collaboration enables the achievement of scientific and technological outcomes that were previously viewed as extremely difficult, if not impossible.

ARPA-E requires all work under ARPA-E funding agreements to be performed in the United States – i.e., Prime Recipients must expend 100% of the Total Project Cost in the United States. However, Applicants may request a waiver of this requirement where their project would materially benefit from, or otherwise requires, certain work to be performed overseas.

Applicants seeking a waiver of this requirement are required to include an explicit request in the Business Assurances & Disclosures Form, which is part of the Full Application submitted to ARPA-E. Such waivers are granted where there is a demonstrated need, as determined by ARPA-E.

7. **PURCHASE OF NEW EQUIPMENT**

All new equipment purchased under ARPA-E funding agreements must be made or manufactured in the United States, to the maximum extent practicable. This requirement does not apply to used or leased equipment. Project Teams may purchase foreign-made equipment where comparable domestic equipment is not reasonably available.

8. **TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER AND OUTREACH**

By law, ARPA-E is required to contribute a percentage of appropriated funds to Technology Transfer and Outreach (TT&O) activities. In order to meet this mandate every Project Team must spend at least 5% of the Federal funding (i.e., the portion of the award that does not include the recipient’s cost share) provided by ARPA-E on TT&O activities to promote and further the development and deployment of ARPA-E-funded technologies. Project Teams may not expend more than 5% of the Total Project Cost on TT&O activities without the prior approval of the Contracting Officer. Project Teams must also seek a waiver from the Contracting Officer to spend less than the minimum 5% TT&O expenditure requirement.

All TT&O expenditures are subject to the applicable Federal cost principles, as described in Section IV.G.1 of the FOA. Examples of TT&O expenditures are as follows:

- Documented travel and registration for the ARPA-E Energy Innovation Summit and other energy-related conferences and events;
- Documented travel to meet with potential suppliers, partners, or customers;
- Documented work by salaried or contract personnel to develop technology-to-market models or plans;
• Documented costs of acquiring industry-accepted market research reports; and
• Approved patent costs.

ARPA-E will not reimburse the following types of TT&O expenditures, which do not comply with Federal cost principles.

• Meals or entertainment;
• Gifts to potential suppliers, partners, or customers;
• TT&O activities that do not relate to the ARPA-E-funded technologies;
• Undocumented TT&O activities; and
• TT&O activities unrelated and/or unallocable to the subject award.

Applicants may seek a waiver of the TT&O requirement by including an explicit request in the Business Assurances & Disclosures Form. Please refer to the Business Assurances & Disclosures Form for guidance on the content and form of the waiver request. ARPA-E may waive or modify the TT&O requirement, as appropriate.

For information regarding incorporation of TT&O costs into budget documentation, see Section IV.D.3 of the FOA.


9. LOBBYING

Prime Recipients and Subrecipients may not use any Federal funds, directly or indirectly, to influence or attempt to influence, directly or indirectly, congressional action on any legislative or appropriation matters pending before Congress, other than to communicate to Members of Congress as described in 18 U.S.C. § 1913. This restriction is in addition to those prescribed elsewhere in statute and regulation.

Prime Recipients and Subrecipients are required to complete and submit SF-LLL, “Disclosure of Lobbying Activities” (http://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/omb/grants/sfllin.pdf) if any non-Federal funds have been paid or will be paid to any person for influencing or attempting to influence any of the following in connection with your application:
• An officer or employee of any Federal agency,
• A Member of Congress,
• An officer or employee of Congress, or
• An employee of a Member of Congress.

10. **CONFERENCE SPENDING**

Prime Recipients and Subrecipients may not use any Federal funds to:

• Defray the cost to the United States Government of a conference held by any Executive branch department, agency, board, commission, or office which is not directly and programmatically related to the purpose for which their ARPA-E award is made and for which the cost to the United States Government is more than $20,000; or

• To circumvent the required notification by the head of any such Executive Branch department, agency, board, commission, or office to the Inspector General (or senior ethics official for any entity without an Inspector General), of the date, location, and number of employees attending such a conference.

H. **OTHER SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS**

1. **USE OF ARPA-E eXCHANGE**

To apply to this FOA, Applicants must register with ARPA-E eXCHANGE ([https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov/Registration.aspx](https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov/Registration.aspx)). Concept Papers, Full Applications, and Replies to Reviewer Comments must be submitted through ARPA-E eXCHANGE ([https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov/login.aspx](https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov/login.aspx)). ARPA-E will not review or consider applications submitted through other means (e.g., fax, hand delivery, email, postal mail). For detailed guidance on using ARPA-E eXCHANGE, please refer to the “ARPA-E eXCHANGE User Guide” ([https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov/Manuals.aspx](https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov/Manuals.aspx)).

Upon creating an application submission in ARPA-E eXCHANGE, Applicants will be assigned a Control Number. If the Applicant creates more than one application submission, a different Control Number will be assigned for each application.
Once logged in to ARPA-E eXCHANGE (https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov/login.aspx), Applicants may access their submissions by clicking the “My Submissions” link in the navigation on the left side of the page. Every application that the Applicant has submitted to ARPA-E and the corresponding Control Number is displayed on that page. If the Applicant submits more than one application to a particular FOA, a different Control Number is shown for each application.

Applicants are responsible for meeting each submission deadline in ARPA-E eXCHANGE. Applicants are strongly encouraged to submit their applications at least 48 hours in advance of the submission deadline. Under normal conditions (i.e., at least 48 hours in advance of the submission deadline), Applicants should allow at least 1 hour to submit a Concept Paper, or Full Application. In addition, Applicants should allow at least 15 minutes to submit a Reply to Reviewer Comments. Once the application is submitted in ARPA-E eXCHANGE, Applicants may revise or update their application until the expiration of the applicable deadline.

Applicants should not wait until the last minute to begin the submission process. During the final hours before the submission deadline, Applicants may experience server/connection congestion that prevents them from completing the necessary steps in ARPA-E eXCHANGE to submit their applications. ARPA-E will not extend the submission deadline for Applicants that fail to submit required information and documents due to server/connection congestion.

ARPA-E will not review or consider incomplete applications and applications received after the deadline stated in the FOA. Such applications will be deemed noncompliant (see Section III.C.1 of the FOA). The following errors could cause an application to be deemed “incomplete” and thus noncompliant:

- Failing to comply with the form and content requirements in Section IV of the FOA;
- Failing to enter required information in ARPA-E eXCHANGE;
- Failing to upload required document(s) to ARPA-E eXCHANGE;
- Uploading the wrong document(s) or application(s) to ARPA-E eXCHANGE; and
- Uploading the same document twice, but labeling it as different documents. (In the latter scenario, the Applicant failed to submit a required document.)

ARPA-E urges Applicants to carefully review their applications and to allow sufficient time for the submission of required information and documents.

Questions about this FOA? Email ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov (with FOA name and number in subject line); see FOA Sec. VII.A. Problems with ARPA-E eXCHANGE? Email ExchangeHelp@hq.doe.gov (with FOA name and number in subject line).
V. APPLICATION REVIEW INFORMATION

A. CRITERIA

ARPA-E performs a preliminary review of Concept Papers and Full Applications to determine whether they are compliant and responsive (see Section III.C of the FOA). ARPA-E also performs a preliminary review of Replies to Reviewer Comments to determine whether they are compliant.

ARPA-E considers a mix of quantitative and qualitative criteria in determining whether to encourage the submission of a Full Application and whether to select a Full Application for award negotiations.

1. CRITERIA FOR CONCEPT PAPERS

(1) Impact of the Proposed Technology Relative to FOA Targets (50%) - This criterion involves consideration of the following factors:

- The extent to which the proposed quantitative material and/or technology metrics demonstrate the potential for a transformational and disruptive (not incremental) advancement compared to existing or emerging technologies;

- The extent to which the proposed concept will have a positive impact on at least one of ARPA-E’s mission areas in Section I.A of the FOA;

- The extent to which the proposed concept is innovative and will achieve the technical performance targets defined in Section 1.E of the FOA for the appropriate technology Category in Section I.D of the FOA; and

- The extent to which the Applicant demonstrates awareness of competing commercial and emerging technologies and identifies how the proposed concept/technology provides significant improvement over existing solutions.

(2) Overall Scientific and Technical Merit (50%) - This criterion involves consideration of the following factors:

- The feasibility of the proposed work, as justified by appropriate background, theory, simulation, modeling, experimental data, or other sound scientific and engineering practices;

- The extent to which the Applicant proposes a sound technical approach to
accomplish the proposed R&D objectives, including why the proposed concept is more appropriate than alternative approaches and how technical risk will be mitigated;

- The extent to which project outcomes and final deliverables are clearly defined;
- The extent to which the Applicant identifies techno-economic challenges that must be overcome for the proposed technology to be commercially relevant; and
- The demonstrated capabilities of the individuals performing the project, the key capabilities of the organizations comprising the Project Team, the roles and responsibilities of each organization and (if applicable) previous collaborations among team members supporting the proposed project.

Submissions will not be evaluated against each other since they are not submitted in accordance with a common work statement. The above criteria will be weighted as follows:

| Impact of the Proposed Technology Relative to FOA Targets | 50% |
| Overall Scientific and Technical Merit | 50% |

2. **Criteria for Full Applications**

Full Applications are evaluated based on the following criteria:

(1) *Impact of the Proposed Technology* (30%) - This criterion involves consideration of the following factors:

- The extent to which the proposed quantitative material and/or technology metrics demonstrate the potential for a transformational and disruptive (not incremental) advancement in one or more energy-related fields;
- The extent to which the Applicant demonstrates a profound understanding of the current state-of-the-art and presents an innovative technical approach to significantly improve performance over the current state-of-the-art; and
- The extent to which the Applicant demonstrates awareness of competing commercial and emerging technologies and identifies how its proposed concept/technology provides significant improvement over these other solutions;
- The extent to which the Applicant proposes a reasonable and effective strategy for transitioning the proposed technology from the laboratory to commercial deployment.
(2) **Overall Scientific and Technical Merit (30%)** - This criterion involves consideration of the following factors:

- The extent to which the proposed work is unique and innovative;
- The extent to which project outcomes and deliverables are clearly defined;
- The extent to which the proposed project is likely to meet or exceed the technical performance targets identified in this FOA;
- The feasibility of the proposed work based upon preliminary data or other background information and sound scientific and engineering practices and principles;
- The extent to which the Applicant proposes a sound technical approach, including appropriately defined technical tasks, to accomplish the proposed R&D objectives;
- The extent to which the Applicant manages risk, by identifying major technical R&D risks and clearly proposes feasible, effective mitigation strategies.

(3) **Qualifications, Experience, and Capabilities of the Proposed Project Team (30%)** - This criterion involves consideration of the following factors:

- The extent to which the PI and Project Team have the skill and expertise needed to successfully execute the project plan, evidenced by prior experience that demonstrates an ability to perform R&D of similar risk and complexity; and
- The extent to which the Applicant has access to the equipment and facilities necessary to accomplish the proposed R&D effort and/or a clear plan to obtain access to necessary equipment and facilities.

(4) **Soundness of Management Plan (10%)** - This criterion involves consideration of the following factors:

- The extent to which the Applicant presents a plausible plan to manage people and resources;
- The extent to which the Applicant proposes allocation of appropriate levels of effort and resources to proposed tasks;
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- Whether the proposed project schedule, including major milestones is reasonable; and

- The appropriateness of the proposed budget to accomplish the proposed project.

Submissions will not be evaluated against each other since they are not submitted in accordance with a common work statement.

3. **CRITERIA FOR REPLIES TO REVIEWER COMMENTS**

ARPA-E has not established separate criteria to evaluate Replies to Reviewer Comments. Instead, Replies to Reviewer Comments are evaluated as an extension of the Full Application.

**B. REVIEW AND SELECTION PROCESS**

1. **PROGRAM POLICY FACTORS**

In addition to the above criteria, ARPA-E may consider the following program policy factors in determining which Full Applications to select for award negotiations:

I. **ARPA-E Portfolio Balance.** Project balances ARPA-E portfolio in one or more of the following areas:
   a. Technological diversity;
   b. Organizational diversity;
   c. Geographic diversity;
   d. Technical or commercialization risk; or
   e. Stage of technology development.

II. **Relevance to ARPA-E Mission Advancement.** Project contributes to one or more of ARPA-E’s key statutory goals:
   a. Reduction of US dependence on foreign energy sources;
   b. Stimulation of domestic manufacturing;
   c. Reduction of energy-related emissions;
   d. Increase in U.S. energy efficiency;
   e. Enhancement of U.S. economic and energy security; or
   f. Promotion of U.S. advanced energy technologies competitiveness.

III. **Synergy of Public and Private Efforts.**
   a. Avoids duplication and overlap with other publicly or privately funded projects;
b. Promotes increased coordination with nongovernmental entities for demonstration of technologies and research applications to facilitate technology transfer; or

c. Increases unique research collaborations.

IV. **Low likelihood of other sources of funding.** High technical and/or financial uncertainty that results in the non-availability of other public, private or internal funding or resources to support the project.

V. **High-Leveraging of Federal Funds.** Project leverages Federal funds to optimize advancement of programmatic goals by proposing cost share above the required minimum or otherwise accessing scarce or unique resources.

VI. **High Project Impact Relative to Project Cost.**

2. **ARPA-E REVIEWERS**

By submitting an application to ARPA-E, Applicants consent to ARPA-E’s use of Federal employees, contractors, and experts from educational institutions, nonprofits, industry, and governmental and intergovernmental entities as reviewers. ARPA-E selects reviewers based on their knowledge and understanding of the relevant field and application, their experience and skills, and their ability to provide constructive feedback on applications.

ARPA-E requires all reviewers to complete a Conflict-of-Interest Certification and Nondisclosure Agreement through which they disclose their knowledge of any actual or apparent conflicts and agree to safeguard confidential information contained in Concept Papers, Full Applications, and Replies to Reviewer Comments. In addition, ARPA-E trains its reviewers in proper evaluation techniques and procedures.

Applicants are not permitted to nominate reviewers for their applications. Applicants may contact the Contracting Officer by email (ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov) if they have knowledge of a potential conflict of interest or a reasonable belief that a potential conflict exists.

3. **ARPA-E SUPPORT CONTRACTOR**

ARPA-E utilizes contractors to assist with the evaluation of applications and project management. To avoid actual and apparent conflicts of interest, ARPA-E prohibits its support contractors from submitting or participating in the preparation of applications to ARPA-E.

By submitting an application to ARPA-E, Applicants represent that they are not performing support contractor services for ARPA-E in any capacity and did not obtain the assistance of...
ARPA-E’s support contractor to prepare the application. ARPA-E will not consider any applications that are submitted by or prepared with the assistance of its support contractors.

C. **ANTICIPATED ANNOUNCEMENT AND AWARD DATES**

ARPA-E expects to announce selections for negotiations in approximately April 2015 and to execute funding agreements in approximately July 2015.

VI. **AWARD ADMINISTRATION INFORMATION**

A. **AWARD NOTICES**

1. **REJECTED SUBMISSIONS**

Noncompliant and nonresponsive Concept Papers and Full Applications are rejected by the Contracting Officer and are not reviewed or considered. The Contracting Officer sends a notification letter by email to the technical and administrative points of contact designated by the Applicant in ARPA-E eXCHANGE. The notification letter states the basis upon which the Concept Paper or Full Application was rejected.

2. **CONCEPT PAPER NOTIFICATIONS**

ARPA-E promptly notifies Applicants of its determination to encourage or discourage the submission of a Full Application. ARPA-E sends a notification letter by email to the technical and administrative points of contact designated by the Applicant in ARPA-E eXCHANGE. ARPA-E provides feedback in the notification letter in order to guide further development of the proposed technology.

Applicants may submit a Full Application even if they receive a notification discouraging them from doing so. By discouraging the submission of a Full Application, ARPA-E intends to convey its lack of programmatic interest in the proposed project. Such assessments do not necessarily reflect judgments on the merits of the proposed project. The purpose of the Concept Paper phase is to save Applicants the considerable time and expense of preparing a Full Application that is unlikely to be selected for award negotiations.

A notification letter encouraging the submission of a Full Application does not authorize the Applicant to commence performance of the project. Please refer to Section IV.G.2 of the FOA for guidance on pre-award costs.

Questions about this FOA? Email ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov (with FOA name and number in subject line); see FOA Sec. VII.A. Problems with ARPA-E eXCHANGE? Email ExchangeHelp@hq.doe.gov (with FOA name and number in subject line).
3. **FULL APPLICATION NOTIFICATIONS**

ARPA-E promptly notifies Applicants of its determination. ARPA-E sends a notification letter by email to the technical and administrative points of contact designated by the Applicant in ARPA-E eXCHANGE. The notification letter may inform the Applicant that its Full Application was selected for award negotiations, or not selected. Alternatively, ARPA-E may notify one or more Applicants that a final selection determination on particular Full Applications will be made at a later date, subject to the availability of funds or other factors.

Written feedback on Full Applications is made available to Applicants before the submission deadline for Replies to Reviewer Comments. By providing feedback, ARPA-E intends to guide the further development of the proposed technology and to provide a brief opportunity to respond to reviewer comments.

**a. SUCCESSFUL APPLICANTS**

ARPA-E has discretion to select all or part of a proposed project for negotiation of an award. A notification letter selecting a Full Application for award negotiations does not authorize the Applicant to commence performance of the project. **ARPA-E selects Full Applications for award negotiations, not for award.** Applicants do not receive an award until award negotiations are complete and the Contracting Officer executes the funding agreement. ARPA-E may terminate award negotiations at any time for any reason.

Please refer to Section IV.G.2 of the FOA for guidance on pre-award costs. Please also refer to the “Applicants’ Guide to ARPA-E Award Negotiations” (http://www.arpa-e.energy.gov/sites/default/files/documents/files/Award_Negotiations_Guide081613.pdf) for guidance on the award negotiation process.

**b. POSTPONED SELECTION DETERMINATIONS**

A notification letter postponing a final selection determination until a later date does not authorize the Applicant to commence performance of the project. ARPA-E may ultimately determine to select or not select the Full Application for award negotiations.

Please refer to Section IV.G.2 of the FOA for guidance on pre-award costs.

**c. UNSUCCESSFUL APPLICANTS**

By not selecting a Full Application, ARPA-E intends to convey its lack of programmatic interest in the proposed project. Such assessments do not necessarily reflect judgments on the merits of the proposed project. ARPA-E hopes that unsuccessful Applicants will submit innovative ideas and concepts for future FOAs.
B. **ADMINISTRATIVE AND NATIONAL POLICY REQUIREMENTS**

The following administrative and national policy requirements apply to Prime Recipients. The Prime Recipient is the responsible authority regarding the settlement and satisfaction of all contractual and administrative issues, including but not limited to disputes and claims arising out of any agreement between the Prime Recipient and a FFRDC contractor. Prime Recipients are required to flow down these requirements to their Subrecipients through subawards or related agreements.

1. **DUNS NUMBER AND SAM, FSRS, AND FedConnect Registrations**

Upon selection for award negotiations, Prime Recipients and Subrecipients are required to obtain a Dun and Bradstreet Data Universal Numbering System (DUNS) number at [http://fedgov.dnb.com/webform](http://fedgov.dnb.com/webform). In addition, Prime Recipients and Subrecipients are required to register with the System for Award Management (SAM) at [https://www.sam.gov/portal/public/SAM/](https://www.sam.gov/portal/public/SAM/). Applicants who currently have an active record in the Central Contractor Registry (CCR) have an active record in SAM, but a new username must still be registered.

Prime Recipients and Subrecipients should commence this process as soon as possible in order to expedite the execution of a funding agreement. Obtaining a DUNS number and registering with SAM could take several weeks.

By law, Prime Recipients are also required to register with the Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act Subaward Reporting System (FSRS) at [https://www.fsrs.gov/](https://www.fsrs.gov/). Prime Recipients are required to report to FSRS the names and total compensation of each of the Prime Recipient’s five most highly compensated executives and the names and total compensation of each Subrecipient’s five most highly compensated executives. Please refer to [https://www.fsrs.gov/](https://www.fsrs.gov/) for guidance on reporting requirements.

ARPA-E may not execute a funding agreement with the Prime Recipient until it has obtained a DUNS number and completed its SAM and FSRS registrations. In addition, the Prime Recipient may not execute subawards with Subrecipients until they obtain a DUNS number and complete their SAM registration. Prime Recipients and Subrecipients are required to keep their SAM and FSRS data current throughout the duration of the project.

---

Finally, Prime Recipients are required to register with FedConnect in order to receive notification that their funding agreement has been executed by the Contracting Officer and to obtain a copy of the executed funding agreement. Please refer to https://www.fedconnect.net/FedConnect/ for registration instructions.

2. **National Policy Assurances**

Project Teams, including Prime Recipients and Subrecipients, are required to comply with the National Policy Assurances attached to their funding agreement. Please refer to ARPA-E’s Model Cooperative Agreement (http://arpa-e.energy.gov/FundingAgreements/CooperativeAgreements.aspx) for guidance on the National Policy Assurances.

3. **Proof of Cost Share Commitment and Allowability**

Upon selection for award negotiations, the Prime Recipient must confirm in writing that the proposed cost share contribution is allowable in accordance with applicable Federal cost principles.

The Prime Recipient is also required to provide cost share commitment letters from Subrecipients or third parties that are providing cost share, whether cash or in-kind. Each Subrecipient or third party that is contributing cost share must provide a letter on appropriate letterhead that is signed by an authorized corporate representative. Please refer to the “Applicants’ Guide to ARPA-E Award Negotiations” (http://www.arpa-e.energy.gov/sites/default/files/documents/files/Award_Negotiations_Guide081613.pdf) for guidance on the contents of cost share commitment letters.

4. **Cost Share Payments**

All proposed cost share contributions must be reviewed in advance by the Contracting Officer and incorporated into the project budget before the expenditures are incurred.

ARPA-E generally requires Prime Recipients to contribute the cost share amount incrementally over the life of the funding agreement. Small Businesses see Section III.B.3 of the FOA.

Please refer to the “Applicants’ Guide to ARPA-E Award Negotiations” (http://www.arpa-e.energy.gov/sites/default/files/documents/files/Award_Negotiations_Guide081613.pdf) for additional guidance on cost share payment requirements.

---

19 Please refer to Section III.B of the FOA for guidance on cost share requirements.
ARPA-E may deny reimbursement requests, in whole or in part, or modify or terminate funding agreements where Prime Recipients (or Project Teams) fail to comply with ARPA-E’s cost share payment requirements.

5. **Environmental Impact Questionnaire**

By law, ARPA-E is required to evaluate the potential environmental impact of projects that it is considering for funding. In particular, ARPA-E must determine before funding a project whether the project qualifies for a categorical exclusion under 10 C.F.R. § 1021.410 or whether it requires further environmental review (i.e., an environmental assessment or an environmental impact statement).

To facilitate and expedite ARPA-E’s environmental review, Prime Recipients are required to complete an Environmental Impact Questionnaire during award negotiations. This form is available on ARPA-E eXCHANGE at [https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov](https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov). The Environmental Impact Questionnaire is due within 21 calendar days of the selection announcement.

6. **Technology-to-Market Plan**

During award negotiations, Prime Recipients are required to negotiate and submit an initial Technology-to-Market Plan to the ARPA-E Program Director, and obtain the ARPA-E Program Director’s approval prior to the execution of the award. Prime Recipients must show how budgeted Technology Transfer and Outreach (TT&O) costs relate to furthering elements of the Technology-to-Market Plan. During the project period, Prime Recipients are required to provide regular updates on the initial Technology-to-Market plan and report on implementation of Technology-to-Market activities. Prime Recipients may be required to perform other actions to further the commercialization of their respective technologies.

ARPA-E may waive or modify this requirement, as appropriate.

7. **Intellectual Property Management Plan**

ARPA-E requires every Project Team to negotiate and establish an Intellectual Property Management Plan for the management and disposition of intellectual property arising from the project. The Prime Recipient must submit a completed and signed Intellectual Property Management plan to ARPA-E within six weeks of the effective date of the ARPA-E funding agreement. All Intellectual Property Management Plans are subject to the terms and conditions of the ARPA-E funding agreement and its intellectual property provisions, and applicable Federal laws, regulations, and policies, all of which take precedence over the terms of Intellectual Property Management Plans.
8. **U.S. Manufacturing Requirement**

ARPA-E requires products embodying or produced through the use of subject inventions (i.e., inventions conceived or first actually reduced to practice under ARPA-E funding agreements) to be substantially manufactured in the United States by Project Teams and their licensees, as described below. The Applicant may request a modification or waiver of the U.S. Manufacturing Requirement.

**a. Small Businesses**

Small businesses (including Small Business Concerns) that are Prime Recipients or Subrecipients under ARPA-E funding agreements are required to substantially manufacture the following products in the United States for any use or sale in the United States: (1) products embodying subject inventions, and (2) products produced through the use of subject invention(s). This requirement does not apply to products that are manufactured for use or sale outside the U.S.

Small businesses must apply the same U.S. Manufacturing requirements to their assignees, licensees, and entities acquiring a controlling interest in the small business. Small businesses must require their assignees and entities acquiring a controlling interest in the small business to apply the same U.S. Manufacturing requirements to their licensees.

**b. Large Businesses and Foreign Entities**

Large businesses and foreign entities that are Prime Recipients or Subrecipients under ARPA-E funding agreements are required to substantially manufacture the following products in the United States: (1) products embodying subject inventions, and (2) products produced through

---

24 Small businesses are generally defined as domestically incorporated entities that meet the criteria established by the U.S. Small Business Administration’s “Table of Small Business Size Standards Matched to North American Industry Classification System Codes” (http://www.sba.gov/content/small-business-size-standards).
the use of subject invention(s).25 This requirement applies to products that are manufactured for use or sale in the United States and outside the United States.

Large businesses and foreign entities must apply the same U.S. Manufacturing requirements to their assignees, licensees, and entities acquiring a controlling interest in the large business or foreign entity. Large businesses and foreign entities must require their assignees and entities acquiring a controlling interest in the large business or foreign entity to apply the same U.S. Manufacturing requirements to their licensees.

c. **Educational Institutions and Nonprofits**

Domestic educational institutions and nonprofits that are Prime Recipients or Subrecipients under ARPA-E funding agreements must require their exclusive licensees to substantially manufacture the following products in the United States for any use or sale in the United States: (1) articles embodying subject inventions, and (2) articles produced through the use of subject invention(s). This requirement does not apply to articles that are manufactured for use or sale overseas.

Educational institutions and nonprofits must require their assignees to apply the same U.S. Manufacturing requirements to their exclusive licensees.

These U.S. Manufacturing requirements do not apply to nonexclusive licensees.

d. **FFRDCs and State and Local Government Entities**

FFRDCs and state and local government entities are subject to the same U.S. Manufacturing requirements as domestic educational institutions and nonprofits.

---

25 Large businesses are generally defined as domestically incorporated entities that do not meet the criteria established by the U.S. Small Business Administration’s “Table of Small Business Size Standards Matched to North American Industry Classification System Codes” (http://www.sba.gov/content/small-business-size-standards).
9. CORPORATE FELONY CONVICTIONS AND FEDERAL TAX LIABILITY

In submitting an application in response to this FOA, the Applicant represents that:

- It is not a corporation that has been convicted of a felony criminal violation under any Federal law within the preceding 24 months; and

- It is not a corporation that has any unpaid Federal tax liability that has been assessed, for which all judicial and administrative remedies have been exhausted or have lapsed, and that is not being paid in a timely manner pursuant to an agreement with the authority responsible for collecting the tax liability.

C. REPORTING

Recipients are required to submit periodic, detailed reports on technical, financial, and other aspects of the project, as described in Attachment 4 to ARPA-E’s Model Cooperative Agreement (http://arpa-e.energy.gov/FundingAgreements/CooperativeAgreements.aspx).

VII. AGENCY CONTACTS

A. COMMUNICATIONS WITH ARPA-E

Upon the issuance of a FOA, only the Contracting Officer may communicate with Applicants. ARPA-E personnel and our support contractors are prohibited from communicating (in writing or otherwise) with Applicants regarding the FOA. This “quiet period” remains in effect until ARPA-E’s public announcement of its project selections.

During the “quiet period,” Applicants are required to submit all questions regarding this FOA to ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov.

- ARPA-E will post responses on a weekly basis to any questions that are received. ARPA-E may re-phrase questions or consolidate similar questions for administrative purposes.

- ARPA-E will cease to accept questions approximately 5 business days in advance of each submission deadline. Responses to questions received before the cutoff will be posted approximately one business day in advance of the submission deadline. ARPA-E may re-phrase questions or consolidate similar questions for administrative purposes.

Questions about this FOA? Email ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov (with FOA name and number in subject line); see FOA Sec. VII.A.

Problems with ARPA-E eXCHANGE? Email ExchangeHelp@hq.doe.gov (with FOA name and number in subject line).
• Responses are posted to “Frequently Asked Questions” on ARPA-E’s website (http://arpa-e.energy.gov/faq).

Applicants may submit questions regarding ARPA-E eXCHANGE, ARPA-E’s online application portal, to ExchangeHelp@hq.doe.gov. ARPA-E will promptly respond to emails that raise legitimate, technical issues with ARPA-E eXCHANGE. ARPA-E will refer any questions regarding the FOA to ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov.

ARPA-E will not accept or respond to communications received by other means (e.g., fax, telephone, mail, hand delivery). Emails sent to other email addresses will be disregarded.

During the “quiet period,” only the Contracting Officer may authorize communications between ARPA-E personnel and Applicants. The Contracting Officer may communicate with Applicants as necessary and appropriate. As described in Section IV.A of the FOA, the Contracting Officer may arrange pre-selection meetings and/or site visits during the “quiet period.”

B. DEBRIEFINGS

ARPA-E does not offer or provide debriefings. ARPA-E provides Applicants with a notification encouraging or discouraging the submission of a Full Application based on ARPA-E’s assessment of the Concept Paper. In addition, ARPA-E provides Applicants with reviewer comments on Full Applications before the submission deadline for Replies to Reviewer Comments.

VIII. OTHER INFORMATION

A. FOAs AND FOA MODIFICATIONS

FOAs are posted on ARPA-E eXCHANGE (https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov/), Grants.gov (http://www.grants.gov/), and FedConnect (https://www.fedconnect.net/FedConnect/). Any modifications to the FOA are also posted to these websites. You can receive an e-mail when a modification is posted by registering with FedConnect as an interested party for this FOA. It is recommended that you register as soon as possible after release of the FOA to ensure that you receive timely notice of any modifications or other announcements. More information is available at https://www.fedconnect.net.

B. OBLIGATION OF PUBLIC FUNDS

The Contracting Officer is the only individual who can make awards on behalf of ARPA-E or obligate ARPA-E to the expenditure of public funds. A commitment or obligation by any individual other than the Contracting Officer, either explicit or implied, is invalid.
ARPA-E awards may not be transferred, assigned, or assumed without the prior written consent of a Contracting Officer.

C. **Requirement for Full and Complete Disclosure**

Applicants are required to make a full and complete disclosure of the information requested in the Business Assurances & Disclosures Form. Disclosure of the requested information is mandatory. Any failure to make a full and complete disclosure of the requested information may result in:

- The rejection of a Concept Paper, Full Application, and/or Reply to Reviewer Comments;
- The termination of award negotiations;
- The modification, suspension, and/or termination of a funding agreement;
- The initiation of debarment proceedings, debarment, and/or a declaration of ineligibility for receipt of Federal contracts, subcontracts, and financial assistance and benefits; and
- Civil and/or criminal penalties.

D. **Retention of Submissions**

ARPA-E expects to retain copies of all Concept Papers, Full Applications, Replies to Reviewer Comments, and other submissions. No submissions will be returned. By applying to ARPA-E for funding, Applicants consent to ARPA-E’s retention of their submissions.

E. **Marking of Confidential Information**

ARPA-E will use data and other information contained in Concept Papers, Full Applications, and Replies to Reviewer Comments strictly for evaluation purposes. Applicants should not include confidential, proprietary, or privileged information in their Concept Papers, Full Applications, or Replies to Reviewer Comments unless such information is necessary to convey an understanding of the proposed project.

Concept Papers, Full Applications, Replies to Reviewer Comments, and other submissions containing confidential, proprietary, or privileged information must be marked as described below. Failure to comply with these marking requirements may result in the disclosure of the unmarked information under the Freedom of Information Act or otherwise. The U.S.
Government is not liable for the disclosure or use of unmarked information, and may use or disclose such information for any purpose.

The cover sheet of the Concept Paper, Full Application, Reply to Reviewer Comments, or other submission must be marked as follows and identify the specific pages containing confidential, proprietary, or privileged information:

Notice of Restriction on Disclosure and Use of Data:

Pages [___] of this document may contain confidential, proprietary, or privileged information that is exempt from public disclosure. Such information shall be used or disclosed only for evaluation purposes or in accordance with a financial assistance or loan agreement between the submitter and the Government. The Government may use or disclose any information that is not appropriately marked or otherwise restricted, regardless of source.

The header and footer of every page that contains confidential, proprietary, or privileged information must be marked as follows: “Contains Confidential, Proprietary, or Privileged Information Exempt from Public Disclosure.” In addition, every line and paragraph containing proprietary, privileged, or trade secret information must be clearly marked with double brackets or highlighting.

F. TITLE TO SUBJECT INVENTIONS

Ownership of subject inventions is governed pursuant to the authorities listed below. Typically, either by operation of law or under the authority of a patent waiver, Prime Recipients and Subrecipients may elect to retain title to their subject inventions under ARPA-E funding agreements.

- Domestic Small Businesses, Educational Institutions, and Nonprofits: Under the Bayh-Dole Act (35 U.S.C. § 200 et seq.), domestic small businesses, educational institutions, and nonprofits may elect to retain title to their subject inventions. If they elect to retain title, they must file a patent application in a timely fashion.

- All other parties: The Federal Non Nuclear Energy Act of 1974, 42. U.S.C. 5908, provides that the Government obtains title to new inventions unless a waiver is granted (see below).
• Class Waiver: Under 42 U.S.C. § 5908, title to subject inventions vests in the U.S. Government and large businesses and foreign entities do not have the automatic right to elect to retain title to subject inventions. However, ARPA-E typically issues “class patent waivers” under which large businesses and foreign entities that meet certain stated requirements may elect to retain title to their subject inventions. If a large business or foreign entity elects to retain title to its subject invention, it must file a patent application in a timely fashion.

G. **Government Rights in Subject Inventions**

Where Prime Recipients and Subrecipients retain title to subject inventions, the U.S. Government retains certain rights.

1. **Government Use License**

   The U.S. Government retains a nonexclusive, nontransferable, irrevocable, paid-up license to practice or have practiced for or on behalf of the United States any subject invention throughout the world. This license extends to contractors doing work on behalf of the Government.

2. **March-In Rights**

   The U.S. Government retains march-in rights with respect to all subject inventions. Through “march-in rights,” the Government may require a Prime Recipient or Subrecipient who has elected to retain title to a subject invention (or their assignees or exclusive licensees), to grant a license for use of the invention. In addition, the Government may grant licenses for use of the subject invention when Prime Recipients, Subrecipients, or their assignees and exclusive licensees refuse to do so.

   The U.S. Government may exercise its march-in rights if it determines that such action is necessary under any of the four following conditions:

   • The owner or licensee has not taken or is not expected to take effective steps to achieve practical application of the invention within a reasonable time;

   • The owner or licensee has not taken action to alleviate health or safety needs in a reasonably satisfactory manner;

   • The owner has not met public use requirements specified by Federal statutes in a reasonably satisfactory manner; or

   • The U.S. Manufacturing requirement has not been met.
H. RIGHTS IN TECHNICAL DATA

Data rights differ based on whether data is first produced under an award or instead was developed at private expense outside the award.

- Background or “Limited Rights Data”: The U.S. Government will not normally require delivery of technical data developed solely at private expense prior to issuance of an award, except as necessary to monitor technical progress and evaluate the potential of proposed technologies to reach specific technical and cost metrics.

- Generated Data: The U.S. Government normally retains very broad rights in technical data produced under Government financial assistance awards, including the right to distribute to the public. However, pursuant to special statutory authority, certain categories of data generated under ARPA-E awards may be protected from public disclosure for up to five years. Such data should be clearly marked as described in Section VIII.E of the FOA. In addition, invention disclosures may be protected from public disclosure for a reasonable time in order to allow for filing a patent application.

I. REGULATIONS APPLICABLE TO RESULTING AWARDS

Effective December 26, 2014, this FOA and any awards made under it will be governed by 2 C.F.R. Part 200, the Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards, as modified by 2 C.F.R. Part 910, the Department of Energy Financial Assistance Rules.

J. PROTECTED PERSONALLY IDENTIFIABLE INFORMATION

Applicants may not include any Protected Personally Identifiable Information (Protected PII) in their submissions to ARPA-E. Protected PII is defined as data that, if compromised, could cause harm to an individual such as identity theft. Listed below are examples of Protected PII that Applicants must not include in their submissions.

- Social Security Numbers in any form;
- Place of Birth associated with an individual;
- Date of Birth associated with an individual;
- Mother’s maiden name associated with an individual;
- Biometric record associated with an individual;
- Fingerprint;
- Iris scan;
- DNA;
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- Medical history information associated with an individual;
- Medical conditions, including history of disease;
- Metric information, e.g. weight, height, blood pressure;
- Criminal history associated with an individual;
- Ratings;
- Disciplinary actions;
- Performance elements and standards (or work expectations) are PII when they are so intertwined with performance appraisals that their disclosure would reveal an individual’s performance appraisal;
- Financial information associated with an individual;
- Credit card numbers;
- Bank account numbers; and
- Security clearance history or related information (not including actual clearances held).
IX. GLOSSARY

**Applicant:** The entity that submits the application to ARPA-E. In the case of a Project Team, the Applicant is the lead organization listed on the application.

**Application:** The entire submission received by ARPA-E, including the Concept Paper, Full Application, and Reply to Reviewer Comments.

**ARPA-E:** Advanced Research Projects Agency-Energy.

**Cost Share:** The Prime Recipient share of the Total Project Cost.

**Deliverable:** A deliverable is the quantifiable goods or services that will be provided upon the successful completion of a project task or sub-task.

**DOE:** U.S. Department of Energy.

**DOE/NNSA:** U.S. Department of Energy/National Nuclear Security Administration

**FFRDCs:** Federally Funded Research and Development Centers.

**FOA:** Funding Opportunity Announcement.

**GOGOs:** U.S. Government-Owned, Government-Operated laboratories.

**Key Participant:** Any individual who would contribute in a substantive, measurable way to the execution of the proposed project.

**Milestone:** A milestone is the tangible, observable measurement that will be provided upon the successful completion of a project task or sub-task.

**Prime Recipient:** The signatory to the funding agreement with ARPA-E.

**PI:** Principal Investigator.

**Project Team:** A Project Team consists of the Prime Recipient, Subrecipients, and others performing or otherwise supporting work under an ARPA-E funding agreement.

**R&D:** Research and development.

Questions about this FOA? Email ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov (with FOA name and number in subject line); see FOA Sec. VII.A.

Problems with ARPA-E eXCHANGE? Email ExchangeHelp@hq.doe.gov (with FOA name and number in subject line).
Standalone Applicant: An Applicant that applies for funding on its own, not as part of a Project Team.

Subject Invention: Any invention conceived or first actually reduced to practice under an ARPA-E funding agreement.

Task: A task is an operation or segment of the work plan that requires both effort and resources. Each task (or sub-task) is connected to the overall objective of the project, via the achievement of a milestone or a deliverable.

Total Project Cost: The sum of the Prime Recipient share and the Federal Government share of total allowable costs. The Federal Government share generally includes costs incurred by GOGOs, FFRDCs, and GOCOs.

TT&O: Technology Transfer and Outreach. (See Section IV.G.8 of the FOA for more information).